On the Boltzmann equation without cutoff





Isabelle Tristani CNRS, École Normale Supérieure with F. Hérau and D. Tonon

CIRM - 18 avril 2017

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Kinetic theory

- System described by the evolution of the density of particles $f = f(t, x, v) \ge 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the time, $x \in \Omega$ the position and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity.

f(t, x, v) dx dv = quantity of particules in the volume element dx dv centered in $(x, v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Kinetic theory

No external force or interaction: free transport equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_{\!\! X} f = 0.$$

If interaction between particles or with a background medium, equation of kind

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_{\!x} f = \underbrace{C(f)}_{\text{collision term}}$$

Maxwell (1867), Boltzmann (1872): Boltzmann collision operator for neutral particles (gaz).

The Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation in the torus

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$Q(g,f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \underbrace{\frac{B(v - v_*, \sigma)}{\text{collision kernel}}} \left(\underbrace{\frac{f(v')g(v'_*)}{\text{"appearing"}}} - \underbrace{\frac{f(v)g(v_*)}{\text{"disappearing"}}} \right) dv_* d\sigma$$

The Boltzmann equation

Boltzmann equation in the torus

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$Q(g,f)(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \underbrace{B(v - v_*, \sigma)}_{\text{collision kernel}} \left(\underbrace{f(v')g(v'_*)}_{\text{"appearing"}} - \underbrace{f(v)g(v_*)}_{\text{"disappearing"}} \right) dv_* d\sigma$$

v, v_* and v', v_*' are the velocities of a pair of particles before and after collision.

- Conservation of momentum and energy:

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*, |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2.$$

- Parametrization of (v', v'_*) :

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

The collision kernel

- Physical motivation: particles interacting according to a repulsive potential of the form $\phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)}$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. We only deal with the case $p > 5 \leftrightarrow \text{Hard potentials}$.
- The collision kernel $B(v v_*, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad \cos \theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma.$$

The collision kernel

- Physical motivation: particles interacting according to a repulsive potential of the form $\phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)}$, $p \in (2, +\infty)$. We only deal with the case $p > 5 \leftrightarrow \text{Hard potentials}$.
- The collision kernel $B(v v_*, \sigma)$ satisfies

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = C|v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad \cos \theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma.$$

- *b* is not integrable on \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$\sin \theta \, b(\cos \theta) \approx \theta^{-1-2s}, \quad s = \frac{1}{p-1}, \quad \forall \, \theta \in (0, \pi/2].$$

Hard potentials \leftrightarrow *s* \in (0, 1/4).

- The kinetic factor $|v - v_*|^{\gamma}$ satisfies $\gamma = \frac{p-5}{p-1}$. Hard potentials $\leftrightarrow \gamma > 0$.

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f,f) \, \phi \, dv =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v-v_*,\sigma) f f_* \left(\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_* \right) d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f,f) \, \phi \, dv =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) f f_* \left(\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_* \right) d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv.$$

- Conservation of mass, momentum and energy:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_i \\ |v|^2 \end{pmatrix} dv = 0$$

Weak form and consequences

For $\phi = \phi(v)$ a test function,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f,f) \,\phi \,dv =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v-v_*,\sigma) f f_* \left(\phi' + \phi'_* - \phi - \phi_* \right) d\sigma \,dv_* \,dv.$$

- Entropy inequality (H-theorem):

$$D(f) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \log f(v) dv \ge 0$$

and

$$D(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = \mu = \text{Maxwellian (Gaussian in } v)$$

 $Q(\mu, \mu) = 0$

A priori estimates

We fix
$$\mu = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}$$
.

In what follows, we shall consider initial data f_0 with same mass, momentum, energy as μ .

A priori estimates: if f_t is solution of the Boltzmann equation associated with f_0 s.t.

$$\int \left(1 + |v|^2 + |\log f_0|\right) f_0 \, dx \, dv < \infty$$

then $f \in L_t^{\infty} \left(L_2^1 \cap L \log L \right)$:

$$\sup_{t\geq 0}\int \left(1+|v|^2+|\log f_t|\right)f_t\,dx\,dv+\int_0^\infty D(f_s)\,ds<\infty.$$

Problem:

Does $f_t \xrightarrow[t \to \infty]{} \mu$? If yes, what is the rate of convergence?

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Main result in the homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

Theorem (T. '14)

Consider $f_0 \ge 0$ of finite entropy. Then if f_t is a "smooth" solution associated to the initial datum f_0 , $\exists \lambda > 0$, C > 0 s.t.

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad ||f_t - \mu||_{L^1} \leq C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- → Cauchy theory: Arkeryd '81, Goudon '97, Villani '98, Fournier-Mouhot '09, Desvillettes-Mouhot '09...
- → Regularization properties of the equation: Elmroth '83, Desvillettes '93, Wennberg '97, Alexandre-Desvillettes-Villani-Wennberg '00, Chen-He '11...

Main result in the homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

Theorem (T. '14)

Consider $f_0 \ge 0$ of finite entropy. Then if f_t is a "smooth" solution associated to the initial datum f_0 , $\exists \lambda > 0$, C > 0 s.t.

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad ||f_t - \mu||_{L^1} \le C e^{-\lambda t}.$$

- → Improvement of the (better than any) polynomial rate of Villani '03 (see also Carlen-Carvalho '94, Toscani-Villani '99...).
- → Improvement of Mouhot '06 result (cutoff case).

Strategy of the proof

$$\partial_t f = Q(f, f)$$

- Linearization around the equilibrium: $f = \mu + h$

$$\partial_t h = Q(\mu, h) + Q(h, \mu)$$
 (+ $Q(h, h)$)

Ah = linear part | Nonlinear part: negligible?

- Study of the linearized operator Λ (semigroup estimates):
 - enlargement argument to link the linear and nonlinear theories.
- Proof of bilinear estimates on the collision operator *Q*.

Enlargement argument

$$\underbrace{E := L^2(\mu^{-1/2})}_{\text{small Hilbert space}} \qquad \qquad \underbrace{E := L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)}_{\text{large Banach space}}$$

$$\underbrace{\text{Baranger-Mouhot '05}}_{\text{Decay of the semigroup?}}$$

Enlargement argument



(1) There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for any $h_0 \in E$, we have:

$$\forall t \ge 0$$
, $||S_{\Lambda}(t)(I - \Pi_0)h_0||_E \le e^{-\lambda t}||(I - \Pi_0)h_0||_E$.

Enlargement argument



(2) Exhibit a splitting of Λ :

(3) Notion of regularity: $AS_{\mathbb{B}}(t)$ has some regularizing properties ($\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$) with an exponential rate e^{at} .

The conclusion: decay of the semigroup $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in \mathcal{E}

Theorem (Gualdani-Mischler-Mouhot '13)

For any $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $a' > \max(a, -\lambda)$, there exists a constant $C \ge 1$ such that:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad ||S_{\Lambda}(t)(I - \Pi_0) h_0||_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C e^{a^l t} ||(I - \Pi_0) h_0||_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Key element of the proof: Duhamel formula

$$S_{\Lambda}(t) = S_{\mathcal{B}}(t) + \int_0^t S_{\Lambda}(t-s) \mathcal{A} S_{\mathcal{B}}(s) ds.$$

- Exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- Enlargement argument \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k > 2.

- Exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- Enlargement argument ⇒ exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k > 2.
- There exists a neighborhood of μ in which the linear part of the equation is dominant.

- Exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- Enlargement argument \Rightarrow exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k > 2.
- There exists a neighborhood of μ in which the linear part of the equation is dominant.
- Thanks to Villani's result, we know that a solution of the equation is going to reach this stability neighborhood.

- Exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in $L^2(\mu^{-1/2})$ (already known from Baranger-Mouhot '05).
- Enlargement argument ⇒ exponential decay of $S_{\Lambda}(t)$ in the larger space $L^1(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k > 2.
- There exists a neighborhood of μ in which the linear part of the equation is dominant.
- Thanks to Villani's result, we know that a solution of the equation is going to reach this stability neighborhood.
- Then, we use our result of exponential convergence in this neighborhood.

- 1) Introduction
- 2) The homogeneous case
- 3) The non homogeneous case

Main result in the non homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Theorem (Hérau-Tonon-T. '17)

If f_0 is close enough to the equilibrium μ , then there exists a unique global solution $f \in L_t^{\infty}(X)$ to the Boltzmann equation.

Moreover, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_{\star}$ there exists C > 0 such that

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad ||f_t - \mu||_X \le C e^{-\lambda t} ||f_0 - \mu||_X.$$

- $\hookrightarrow X$ is a Sobolev space of type $H_X^3 L_V^2(\langle v \rangle^k)$ with k large enough.
- $\rightarrow \lambda_{\star} > 0$ is the optimal rate given by the semigroup decay of the associated linearized operator.

Main result in the non homogeneous case

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad (t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Theorem (Hérau-Tonon-T. '17)

If f_0 is close enough to the equilibrium μ , then there exists a unique global solution $f \in L_t^{\infty}(X)$ to the Boltzmann equation. Moreover, for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_{\star}$ there exists C > 0 such that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad ||f_t - \mu||_X \leq C e^{-\lambda t} ||f_0 - \mu||_X.$$

- \hookrightarrow Perturbative Cauchy theory in spaces of type $H_{x,v}^{\ell,m}(\mu^{-1/2})$: Gressman-Strain '11, Alexandre-Morimoto-Ukai-Xu-Yang '11
- → Improvement in the weight.

Strategy of the proof - I

Steps of the proof:

- Study of the linearized problem around equilibrium (based on Mouhot-Neumann '06 result) // homogeneous case.
- Nonlinear estimates in weighted non homogeneous spaces.
- Construction of solutions thanks to *a priori* estimates: if $f_t = \mu + h_t$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |||h_t|||_X^2 \le -K_0 |||h_t|||_X^2 - \left(K_1 - C|||h_t|||_X\right) ||h_t||_Y^2$$

where $||| \cdot |||_X \sim || \cdot ||_X$ and $Y \subset X$.

Strategy of the proof - II

Main difficulties:

- Adapt the computations in L^1 from the homogeneous case to the L^2 framework in the non homogeneous case.
- Regularization properties in x? Hypoellipticity of the equation? Lyapunov functional (see Hérau '07 and Villani '09 for the kinetic Fokker-Planck equation) for the linearized equation (see the Boltzmann operator as a pseudo-differential operator).
- Estimates on the nonlinear term in a non homogeneous framework with polynomial weight.

Regularization properties of the linearized operator

Theorem (Hérau-Tonon-T. '17)

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We have for k large enough and k' > k large enough:

$$||S_{\Lambda}(t)h_{0}||_{H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k})} \leq \frac{C_{r}}{t^{1/2}}||h_{0}||_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall \ t \in (0,1],$$
and

$$||S_{\wedge}(t)h_{0}||_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,s}(\langle v\rangle^{k})} \leq \frac{C_{r}}{t^{1/2+s}}||h_{0}||_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v\rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall t \in (0,1].$$

- → In the spirit of Alexandre-Hérau-Li '15.

Thanks for your attention!