A 3-approximation algorithm for the maximum leaf *k*-forest problem

Orlando Lee

Institute of Computing - UNICAMP

LAGOS 2017 - Marseille

Joint work with M.F. Reis and M.C. San Felice and F. Usberti

Supported by FAPESP

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



 $D \subseteq V$ is dominating: every vertex of V - D is adjacent to some vertex in D.

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



 $D \subseteq V$ is dominating: every vertex of V - D is adjacent to some vertex in D.

D is **connected**: G[D] is connected.

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



 $D \subseteq V$ is dominating: every vertex of V - D is adjacent to some vertex in D.

D is **connected**: G[D] is connected.

Minimum connected dominating set problem: find a smallest connected dominating set in G.

Maximum leaf spanning tree

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



Maximum leaf spanning tree: find a spanning tree T with maximum number of **leaves**.

Maximum leaf spanning tree

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



Maximum leaf spanning tree: find a spanning tree T with maximum number of **leaves**.

Equivalent to minimum connected dominating set problem.

Maximum leaf spanning tree

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph.



Maximum leaf spanning tree: find a spanning tree T with maximum number of **leaves**.

Equivalent to minimum connected dominating set problem.

D corresponds to the internal vertices of T.

Minimum dominating set with at most k components

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



Minimum dominating set with at most k components

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



Problem: find a smallest dominating set D such that G[D] has at most k components.

Minimum dominating set with at most k components

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



Problem: find a smallest dominating set D such that G[D] has at most k components.

k = 1 corresponds to minimum connected dominating set problem.

Maximum leaf (spanning) k-forest

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



k-forest: forest with at most *k* components (trees).

Maximum leaf (spanning) k-forest

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



k-forest: forest with at most *k* components (trees).

Maximum leaf *k*-forest: find a spanning *k*-forest with maximum number of **leaves**.

Maximum leaf (spanning) k-forest

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph and let k be a positive integer.



k-forest: forest with at most *k* components (trees).

Maximum leaf *k*-forest: find a spanning *k*-forest with maximum number of **leaves**.

Remark: a tree in *F* with exactly two vertices has only one leaf.

• NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).

- NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).
- $O(\Delta)$ -approximation algorithm (Guha and Khuller, 1988).

- NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).
- $O(\Delta)$ -approximation algorithm (Guha and Khuller, 1988).

Maximum leaf spanning tree

- NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).
- $O(\Delta)$ -approximation algorithm (Guha and Khuller, 1988).

Maximum leaf spanning tree

• MAX SNP-hard (Galbiati, Maffioli and Morzenti, 1994).

- NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).
- $O(\Delta)$ -approximation algorithm (Guha and Khuller, 1988).

Maximum leaf spanning tree

- MAX SNP-hard (Galbiati, Maffioli and Morzenti, 1994).
- 3-approximation algorithm (Lu and Ravi, 1996).

- NP-hard (Garey and Johnson, 1979).
- $O(\Delta)$ -approximation algorithm (Guha and Khuller, 1988).

Maximum leaf spanning tree

- MAX SNP-hard (Galbiati, Maffioli and Morzenti, 1994).
- 3-approximation algorithm (Lu and Ravi, 1996).
- 2-approximation algorithm (Solis-Oba, 1998; Solis-Oba, Bonsma and Lowski, 2017).

• We present a 3-approximation algorithm for maximum leaf *k*-forest.

- We present a 3-approximation algorithm for maximum leaf *k*-forest.
- The algorithm is inspired on Lu and Ravi's 3-approximation algorithm for maximum leaf spanning tree.

- We present a 3-approximation algorithm for maximum leaf *k*-forest.
- The algorithm is inspired on Lu and Ravi's 3-approximation algorithm for maximum leaf spanning tree.
- A key ingredient in Lu and Ravi's algorithm (and ours) is the concept of leafy forest.

A leafy tree is a tree T such that:

- T contains at least a vertex of degree 3, and
- each degree 2 vertex in T is adjacent to two degree 3 vertices of T.

- A leafy tree is a tree T such that:
 - T contains at least a vertex of degree 3, and
 - each degree 2 vertex in T is adjacent to two degree 3 vertices of T.
- A leafy forest is a forest in which every component is a leafy tree.

A leafy tree is a tree T such that:

- T contains at least a vertex of degree 3, and
- each degree 2 vertex in *T* is adjacent to two degree 3 vertices of *T*.

A leafy forest is a forest in which every component is a leafy tree.

A leafy forest is **maximal** if it cannot be extended to a larger (more edges or vertices) leafy forest.

Start a new tree T by adding a vertex with degree \geq 3 and all its neighbors.

Repeat one of the following expansions until it is no longer possible:

Start a new tree T by adding a vertex with degree \geq 3 and all its neighbors.

Repeat one of the following expansions until it is no longer possible:

Expansion Type 1: a leaf v of T has ≥ 2 neighbors in G - V(T)



Add all those neighbors to T.

Start a new tree T by adding a vertex with degree \geq 3 and all its neighbors.

Repeat one of the following expansions until it is no longer possible:

Expansion Type 2: a leaf v of T is adjacent to a vertex u in G - V(T) with degree ≥ 3



Add u and all its neighbors in G - V(T) to T.

Start a new tree T by adding a vertex with degree \geq 3 and all its neighbors.

Repeat one of the following expansions until it is no longer possible:

Expansion Type 2: a leaf v of T is adjacent to a vertex u in G - V(T) with degree ≥ 3



Add u and all its neighbors in G - V(T) to T.

Algorithm: repeatedly build a new leafy tree until no vertex of degree \geq 3 remains.

Maximal leafy forest



Maximal leafy forest



• Each component of G - V(F) is a **path**.

Maximal leafy forest



- Each component of G V(F) is a **path**.
- Only leaves of F can have neighbors in G V(F).

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	ℓ(F)
optimum spanning tree	<i>T</i> *	1	ℓ(T *)
Lu and Ravi's tree	T'	1	ℓ(T ′)

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	$\ell(F)$
optimum spanning tree	<i>T</i> *	1	ℓ(T *)
Lu and Ravi's tree	T'	1	$\ell(T')$

Goal: find T' such that $3\ell(T') \ge \ell(T^*)$.

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	$\ell(F)$
optimum spanning tree	<i>T</i> *	1	ℓ(T *)
Lu and Ravi's tree	T'	1	$\ell(T')$

Goal: find T' such that $3\ell(T') \ge \ell(T^*)$.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(T^*) + 6q - 1$. (Lu and Ravi)

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(T^*) + 6q - 1$. (Lu and Ravi)



Build T' by connecting trees in F through edges/paths and then connnect the forest to the remaining outer paths.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(T^*) + 6q - 1$. (Lu and Ravi)



We might lose leaves by connecting trees through edges/paths. We do not lose leaves by connecting F to an outer path.





 $\ell(T') \ge \ell(F) - 2(q-1)$ (lost at most 2(q-1) leaves of F)

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(T^*) + 6q - 1$. (Lu and Ravi)



 $3\ell(\mathbf{T}') \geq 3[\ell(\mathbf{F}) - 2(\mathbf{q} - 1)]$

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(T^*) + 6q - 1$. (Lu and Ravi)



 $3\ell(T') \ge 3[\ell(F) - 2(q-1)] = 3\ell(F) - 6q + 6 > \ell(T^*)$ (3-approximation)

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	$\ell(F)$
optimum k-forest	F*	q^*	ℓ(F *)
algorithm's k-forest	F'	q'	$\ell(F')$

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	$\ell(F)$
optimum k-forest	F*	q^*	ℓ(F *)
algorithm's k-forest	F'	q'	$\ell(F')$

Goal: find F' such that $3\ell(F') \ge \ell(F^*)$.

Туре	Name	#trees	#leaves
maximal leafy forest	F	q	$\ell(F)$
optimum k-forest	F*	q^*	ℓ(F *)
algorithm's k-forest	F'	q'	$\ell(F')$

Goal: find F' such that $3\ell(F') \ge \ell(F^*)$.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 1: $q \ge k$



Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 1: $q \ge k$



Build F' by connecting trees in F through edges/paths and then connnect the forest to the remaining outer paths.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 1: $q \ge k$



 $\ell(F') \geq \ell(F) - 2(q - k)$ (lost at most 2(q - k) leaves of F)

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 1: $q \ge k$



Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 1: $q \ge k$



 $3\ell(F') \ge 3\ell(F) - 6(q-k) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1 - 6q + 6k \ge \ell(F^*)$

Case 2: q < k



• Natural try: connect *F* to the outer paths and return the *k*-forest.

Case 2: q < k



- Natural try: connect *F* to the outer paths and return the *k*-forest.
- This may not work (few leaves compared to the optimum).

Case 2: q < k



- Natural try: connect *F* to the outer paths and return the *k*-forest.
- This may not work (few leaves compared to the optimum).
- Instead we try to increase the number of trees to k as follows.



While there are less than k trees in F try to

- delete an edge *e* from *F* such that $\ell(F e) > \ell(F)$, or
- add a nontrivial component of G V(F) to F.

Then connect the remaining outer paths to F.

Case 2a: q < k and we added (k - q) new trees



While there are less than k trees in F try to

- delete an edge e from F such that $\ell(F e) > \ell(F)$, or
- add a nontrivial component of G V(F) to F.

Then connect the remaining outer paths to F.

Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 2a: q < k and we added (k - q) new trees



Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 2a: q < k and we added (k - q) new trees



Key Lemma. $3\ell(F) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1$.

Case 2a: q < k and we added (k - q) new trees



 $3\ell(F') \ge 3\ell(F) + 3(k-q) \ge \ell(F^*) + 6q - 2q^* + 1 + 3k - 3q \ge \ell(F^*)$

Case 2b: q < k and we did not add (k - q) new trees



Every degree 2 vertex of F' is adjacent to a leaf.

Case 2b: q < k and we did not add (k - q) new trees



Every degree 2 vertex of F' is adjacent to a leaf. So $3\ell(T') \ge |V(T')|$ for each tree T' in F'.

Case 2b: q < k and we did not add (k - q) new trees



Every degree 2 vertex of F' is adjacent to a leaf. So $3\ell(T') \ge |V(T')|$ for each tree T' in F'. Thus, $3\ell(F') \ge |V(G)| \ge \ell(F^*)$. • Improve the analysis of the performance guarantee.

- Improve the analysis of the performance guarantee.
- Try to extend Solis-Oba's algorithm to maximum leaf k-forest.

- Improve the analysis of the performance guarantee.
- Try to extend Solis-Oba's algorithm to maximum leaf k-forest.
- Design O(Δ) or O(log n)-approximation algorithm for minimum dominating set with at most k components.

- Improve the analysis of the performance guarantee.
- Try to extend Solis-Oba's algorithm to maximum leaf k-forest.
- Design O(Δ) or O(log n)-approximation algorithm for minimum dominating set with at most k components.

Thank you!