

Approximations of geometrically ergodic Markov chains

Daniel Rudolf

University Jena / TU Chemnitz

March 2016, Luminy
(joint work with N. Schweizer)

Markov chain Monte Carlo

Approximate

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x) \pi(x) dx.$$

($G = \mathbb{R}^d$ and dx is the Lebesgue measure.)

Markov chain Monte Carlo:

Construct a Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with limit distribution π and

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \pi(f).$$

Typically Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is used.

Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm

$\pi_u(x)$ is the unnormalized density, i.e. $\pi(x) = c\pi_u(x)$.

MH algorithm M with transition from x_n to x_{n+1} :

- 1 Draw x' from proposal density $q(x_n, \cdot)$;
- 2 Set

$$x_{n+1} = \begin{cases} x' & \text{with probab. } a(x_n, x') \\ x_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with

$$a(x_n, x') = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi_u(x')q(x', x_n)}{\pi_u(x_n)q(x_n, x')} \right\}.$$

Latent variables

Assume $\pi_u(x)$ cannot be computed but

$$\pi_u(x) = \int_T \hat{\pi}_u(x, t) \theta_x(dt).$$

(see Andrieu, Roberts 2009, Andrieu, Vihola 2015)

Substitute $\pi_u(x)$ in the MH algorithm by an unbiased approximation,

$$\pi_{u,N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\pi}_u(x, T_i^x),$$

with i.i.d. sample T_1^x, \dots, T_N^x and $T_i^x \sim \theta_x$.

Monte Carlo within Metropolis (MCWM)

MCWM algorithm M_N with transition from \tilde{x}_n to \tilde{x}_{n+1} :

- 1 Draw x' from proposal density $q(\tilde{x}_n, \cdot)$;
- 2 Compute independently $\pi_{u,N}(x')$ and $\pi_{u,N}(\tilde{x}_n)$.
- 3 Set

$$\tilde{x}_{n+1} = \begin{cases} x' & \text{with probab. } a_N(\tilde{x}_n, x') \\ \tilde{x}_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with

$$a_N(\tilde{x}_n, x') = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\pi_{u,N}(x')q(x', \tilde{x}_n)}{\pi_{u,N}(\tilde{x}_n)q(\tilde{x}_n, x')} \right\}.$$

(Beaumont 2003, Andrieu, Roberts 2009 and Medina-Aguayo et al. 2015)

(Korattikara et al. 2014, Alquier et al. 2014, Bardenet et al. 2015, Pillai, Smith 2015)

Abstract problem

Setting:

- $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}, (\tilde{X}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ Markov chains with transition kernels P, \tilde{P}
- distribution of X_n and \tilde{X}_n denoted by p_n and \tilde{p}_n , assume $p_0 = \tilde{p}_0$
- \tilde{P} is an approximation or perturbation of P

Problem:

“What is the difference of p_n and \tilde{p}_n ?”

Quantitative bounds?

Total variation and V -norm

Assume π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are distributions on G .

Total variation

$$\|\pi - \tilde{\pi}\|_{\text{tv}} := 2 \sup_{A \subseteq G} |\pi(A) - \tilde{\pi}(A)| = \sup_{|f| \leq 1} \left| \int_G f(y)(\pi(dy) - \tilde{\pi}(dy)) \right|.$$

V -norm

$$\|\pi - \tilde{\pi}\|_V = \sup_{|f| \leq V} \left| \int_G f(y)(\pi(dy) - \tilde{\pi}(dy)) \right|$$

for a measurable function $V: G \rightarrow [1, \infty)$.

Ergodicity assumption

Unperturbed Markov chain $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is geometrically ergodic:

P is geometrically ergodic

$\iff P$ is V -uniformly ergodic

$\iff \exists C \in (0, \infty) \quad \exists \rho \in [0, 1) \quad \text{s.t.}$

$$\|P^n(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_V \leq CV(x)\rho^n$$

Lyapunov assumption

V is a Lyapunov function of perturbed Markov chain $(\tilde{X}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$\exists L \in (0, \infty) \quad \exists \delta \in [0, 1) \quad \text{s.t.}$

$$\tilde{P}V(x) := \int_G V(y) \tilde{P}(x, dy) \leq \delta V(x) + L.$$

Abstract result

Theorem (quantitative upper bound)

Define

$$\gamma_{\text{tv}} = \sup_{x \in G} \frac{\|P(x, \cdot) - \tilde{P}(x, \cdot)\|_{\text{tv}}}{V(x)}, \quad \gamma_V = \sup_{x \in G} \frac{\|P(x, \cdot) - \tilde{P}(x, \cdot)\|_V}{V(x)},$$

and

$$\kappa = \max \left\{ \int_G V(x) \tilde{p}_0(dx), \frac{L}{1 - \delta} \right\}.$$

Then, for any $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\|p_n - \tilde{p}_n\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \gamma_{\text{tv}}^{1-r} \gamma_V^r \frac{C^r \kappa}{(1 - \varrho)^r}.$$

Notes and remarks

- We have

$$\gamma_{\text{tv}} \leq \min\{2, \gamma_V\},$$

$$PV(x) \leq V(x) + L \implies \gamma_V \leq L + 2.$$

- If π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are stationary distributions of P and \tilde{P} , then

$$\|\pi - \tilde{\pi}\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \gamma_{\text{tv}}^{1-r} \gamma_V^r \frac{C^r L}{(1-\delta)(1-\varrho)r}.$$

(Essentially follows by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$)

Perturbation of Markov chains literature

- Ferré, Hervé, Ledoux, *Regular Perturbation of V -geometrically ergodic Markov chains*, 2013.
- Kartashov, *Strong Stable Markov Chains*, 1996.
- Mitrophanov, *Sensitivity and convergence of uniformly ergodic Markov chains*, 2005.
- Pillai, Smith, *Ergodicity of Approximate MCMC Chains with Applications to Large Data Sets*, 2015.
- Rudolf, Schweizer, *Perturbation theory for Markov chains via Wasserstein distance*, 2015.
- Stuart, Shardlow, *A Perturbation theory for ergodic Markov chains and application to numerical approximations*, 2000.

Application to MCWM

MCWM algorithm M_N for large N should be close to MH algorithm M .

Question:

$$\|m_n - m_{n,N}\|_{\text{tv}} \leq ?$$

($m_{n,N}$ and m_n distributions of M_N and M after n steps)

For the Theorem we need

- M is V -uniformly ergodic.
- V Lyapunov function of M_N , i.e. for some $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and $L \in (0, \infty)$

$$M_N V(x) \leq \delta V(x) + L.$$

- Estimate of γ_{tv} and/or γ_V .

Standing assumption

M is V -uniformly ergodic and for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $R \in (0, \infty)$ holds

$$MV(x) \leq \alpha V(x) + R.$$

Define

$$K_1 = \sup_{x \in G} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\pi_u(x)}{\widehat{\pi}_u(x, T_1^x)} \right|^2,$$
$$K_2 = \sup_{x \in G} \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\widehat{\pi}_u(x, T_1^x)}{\pi_u(x)} - 1 \right|^2.$$

Recall $\pi_{u,N}(x)$ unbiased estimate of $\pi_u(x)$ given by

$$\pi_{u,N}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{\pi}_u(x, T_j^x) \quad \text{with i.i.d. sample } T_1^x, \dots, T_N^x \sim \theta_x$$

Consequence I (K_1 involved)

With arguments from Medina-Aguayo et al. 2015 follows

$$N > \frac{K_2(K_1\alpha + 3)^3}{(1 - \alpha)^3} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \delta \in [0, 1), L \in [R, \infty) \text{ s.t.} \\ M_N V(x) \leq \delta V(x) + L \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$N > 4400K_2 \implies \gamma_{\text{tv}} \leq \sup_{x \in G} \|M_N(x, \cdot) - M(x, \cdot)\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \frac{6K_2^{1/3}}{N^{1/3}}.$$

Corollary I (MCWM quantitative upper bound)

$$\|m_n - m_{n,N}\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \frac{2K_2^{1/3} \log\left(\frac{N}{216K_2}\right)}{N^{1/3}} \cdot \frac{C(L+2)\kappa}{(1-\varrho)}.$$

Consequence II (K_1 not involved)

Additionally assume for proposal q that

$$\int_G V(y)q(x, y)dy \leq K_3 V(x).$$

With arguments from Medina-Aguayo et al. 2015 follows

$$N > 64K_2 \implies \gamma_V \leq \frac{6(1 + K_3)K_2^{1/3}}{N^{1/3}}$$

and

$$N > \frac{216K_2(K_3 + 1)^3}{(1 - \alpha)^3} \implies \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \exists \delta \in [0, 1), \text{ s.t.} \\ M_N V(x) \leq \delta V(x) + R. \end{array} \right.$$

Consequence II (K_1 not involved)

Corollary II (MCWM quantitative upper bound)

Additionally assume for proposal q that

$$\int_G V(y)q(x, y)dy \leq K_3 V(x).$$

Then

$$\|m_n - m_{n,N}\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \frac{6(1 + K_3)K_2^{1/3}}{N^{1/3}} \cdot \frac{C_\kappa}{(1 - \rho)}.$$

Work in progress: Integration error

Approximate

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x) \pi(x) dx.$$

- $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}, (\tilde{X}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ Markov chains with transition kernels P, \tilde{P}
- π stationary distribution of P
- \tilde{P} is an approximation or perturbation of P

Question:

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(\tilde{X}_j) - \pi(f) \right| \leq ?$$

Theorem (Integration error)

- $\exists \varrho \in [0, 1)$ s.t. $\|P^n(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_V \leq V(x)\varrho^n$.
- $\exists \delta \in [0, 1)$ $\exists L \in (0, \infty)$ s.t. $\tilde{P}V(x) \leq \delta V(x) + L$.
- Define

$$|f|_V = \sup_{x \in G} \frac{|f(x)|}{V(x)},$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(\tilde{X}_j) - \pi(f) \right| \leq \frac{\kappa \gamma V}{1 - \varrho} |f|_V + \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n f(X_j) - \pi(f) \right|.$$

References

- Alquier, Friel, Everitt, Boland, *Noisy Monte Carlo: Convergence of Markov chains with approximate transition kernels*, 2014.
- Andrieu, Roberts, *The pseudo-marginal approach for efficient Monte Carlo computations*, 2009.
- Andrieu, Vihola, *Convergence properties of pseudo-marginal Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithms*, 2015
- Bardenet, Doucet, Holmes, *On Markov chain Monte Carlo methods for tall data*, 2015.
- Beaumont. *Estimation of population growth or decline in generally monitored populations*, 2003.
- Korattikara, Chen, Welling *Austerity in MCMC Land: Cutting the Metropolis-Hastings Budget*, 2013.
- Medina-Aguayo, Lee, Roberts, *Stability of noisy Metropolis-Hastings*, 2015.