

# Sharp minimax and adaptive variable selection

Alexandre Tsybakov<sup>1</sup>

joint work with  
Cristina Butucea<sup>1,3</sup> and Natalia Stepanova<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ENSAE, France

<sup>2</sup> Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

<sup>3</sup> University Paris-Est

Luminy, February 9, 2016

# Overview

- 1 Statement of the problem
- 2 Non-asymptotic minimax selection bounds
- 3 Phase transitions
- 4 Adaptation to sparsity
- 5 Extensions and related problems

# Statement of the problem

We observe

$$X_j = \theta_j + \sigma \xi_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$  i.i.d. standard Gaussian r.v. and we assume that  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$  belongs to

$$\Theta_d(s, a) = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{there exists a set } S \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\} \right. \\ \left. \text{with } s \text{ elements, such that } |\theta_j| \geq a \text{ for all } j \in S, \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \theta_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \notin S \right\}.$$

Here,  $a > 0$  and  $s \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  are given constants.

**Variable selection problem:** estimate the binary vector

$\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_d)$  where

$$\eta_j = I(\theta_j \neq 0).$$

**A selector**  $\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a binary valued estimator in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}_1, \dots, \hat{\eta}_d), \quad \hat{\eta}_j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

**Hamming loss** of a selector  $\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is

$$|\hat{\eta} - \eta| := \sum_{j=1}^d |\hat{\eta}_j - \eta_j|.$$

## Two risk measures:

- **Hamming risk**

$$E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta|$$

- **Probability of wrong recovery**

$$P_{\theta}(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta))$$

where  $S(\theta)$  is the support of  $\theta$  (and of  $\eta$ ), and  $S_{\hat{\eta}}$  is the support of  $\hat{\eta}$ .

## Relation between the two risk measures:

- Probability of wrong recovery is the ‘Hamming risk with an indicator loss’:

$$P_{\theta}(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)) = P_{\theta}(|\hat{\eta} - \eta| \geq 1)$$

since  $S_{\hat{\eta}} = \{j : \hat{\eta}_j = 1\}$  and  $S(\theta) = \{j : \eta_j(\theta) = 1\}$ .

- By Markov inequality,

$$P_{\theta}(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)) \leq E_{\theta}|\hat{\eta} - \eta|.$$

## Statistical questions:

### 1 Minimax estimation w.r.t. the Hamming risk

$$\inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta|$$

- $\Theta = \Theta_d(s, a)$  or
- $\Theta = \Theta_d^+(s, a) = \{\theta \in \Theta_d(s, a) : \theta_j \geq 0, \forall j\}$ ,

$\inf_{\tilde{\eta}}$  denotes the **minimum over all selectors**.

### 2 Minimax estimation w.r. to the prob. of wrong recovery

$$\inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}(S_{\tilde{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)).$$

## Further statistical question:

- Adaptive estimation w.r.t. the Hamming risk and to the Probability of wrong recovery:

adaptation to  $a$  and  $s$ .



## Further statistical question:

- **Adaptive estimation w.r.t. the Hamming risk and to the Probability of wrong recovery:**

**adaptation to  $a$  and  $s$ .**

- **Surprisingly:** no answers known to questions about minimax Hamming estimation, or adaptation to  $a$  and  $s$  for both risks.
- **Very rough results** available about the minimax probability of wrong recovery (in the regression/Lasso context):

If  $a \geq C\sigma\sqrt{\log d}$  for  $C > 0$  large enough, then there is a selector  $\hat{\eta}$  such that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s,a)} P_{\theta}(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)) \rightarrow 0,$$

whereas no such selector exist if  $a < c\sigma\sqrt{\log d}$ , for  $c > 0$  small enough (e.g., Wainwright, 2009).

# Bayesian setting

- More is known about the **Bayesian setting**:
  - Genovese, Jin, Wasserman, Yao (2012) *JMLR*,
  - Ji, Jin (2012) *Ann. Statist.*

consider linear regression model with fixed and random covariates, in a Bayesian setup with  $s \sim d^{1-\beta}$ , for some known  $\beta$  in  $(0, 1)$ .

- There is no class  $\Theta_d(s, a)$  but  $\theta$  is random with independent components taking values 0 and  $a_d$  with probabilities  $1 - s_d/d$  and  $s_d/d$ .
- The setting is asymptotic,  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .
- Hamming risk is used.
- The results are about the properties of **Exact recovery** and **Almost full recovery**:

## Bayesian setting (Genovese, Jin et al., 2012)

**Exact recovery (Bayesian)** is possible for if there exists a selector  $\hat{\eta}$  such that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \int E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| d\mathbf{P}_{\theta} = 0,$$

respectively it is impossible when

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \int E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| d\mathbf{P}_{\theta} > 0.$$

**Almost full recovery (Bayesian)** is possible if there exists a selector  $\hat{\eta}$  such that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_d} \int E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| d\mathbf{P}_{\theta} = 0.$$

respectively, almost full recovery is impossible if

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \frac{1}{s_d} \int E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| d\mathbf{P}_{\theta} > 0.$$

# Minimax setting

**Exact recovery (minimax)** is possible for  $(\Theta_d(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$  if there exists a selector  $\hat{\eta}$  such that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| = 0,$$

respectively it is impossible when

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| > 0.$$

**Almost full recovery (minimax)** is possible for  $(\Theta_d(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$  if there exists a selector  $\hat{\eta}$  such that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} \frac{1}{s_d} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| = 0.$$

respectively, almost full recovery is impossible if

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} \frac{1}{s_d} E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| > 0.$$

- Ingster, Stepanova (2014) *J. Mathem Sciences*, B. and Stepanova (2015)

Gaussian white noise model, smoothness classes of  $\theta$ , adaptive exact and almost full recovery.

Hamming loss was also considered e.g. in

- Neuvial, Roquain (2012) *Ann. Statist.*: oracle inequalities for multiple classification under sparsity;
- Zhang, Zhou (2015): community detection in stochastic block models. Exact recovery for minimax Hamming risk.

# Non-asymptotic minimax selection bounds

Define the selector

$$\hat{\eta}_j = I(|X_j| \geq t), \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad (1)$$

where the threshold is defined by

$$t = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{a} \log \left( \frac{d}{s} - 1 \right). \quad (2)$$

**The selector is not of the form  $I(|X_j| \geq C\sigma\sqrt{\log d})$  !**

On the positive valued set  $\Theta_d^+(s, a)$ , we define the selector

$$\hat{\eta}_j^+ = I(X_j \geq t), \quad j = 1, \dots, d, \quad (3)$$

with  $t$  as in (2).

# Theorem 1 - Non-asymptotic minimax Hamming risk

(i) For any  $a > 0$  and  $s < d$  we have:

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s, a)} \frac{1}{s} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| \leq 2\Psi(d, s, a),$$

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s, a)} \frac{1}{s} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta}^+ - \eta| \leq \Psi_+(d, s, a).$$

(ii) Moreover,

$$\inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s, a)} \frac{1}{s} E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \geq \Psi_+(d, s, a),$$

where  $\inf_{\tilde{\eta}}$  denotes the infimum over all selectors  $\tilde{\eta}$  (not necessarily separable).

The minimax constants are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(d, s, a) &= \left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right) \Phi\left(-\frac{a}{2\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{a} \log\left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \Phi\left(-\left(\frac{a}{2\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{a} \log\left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right)\right)_+\right), \end{aligned}$$

$\Phi(\cdot)$  denotes the standard Gaussian cumulative distribution function, and  $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+(d, s, a) &= \left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right) \Phi\left(-\frac{a}{2\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{a} \log\left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \Phi\left(-\left(\frac{a}{2\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{a} \log\left(\frac{d}{s} - 1\right)\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Psi(d, s, a) \leq \Psi_+(d, s, a)$ .



# Proof

- **Upper bound (case of  $\Theta_d^+(s, a)$ ):**

$$|\hat{\eta}^+ - \eta| = \sum_{j:\eta_j=0} I(\xi_j \geq t) + \sum_{j:\eta_j=1} I(\sigma\xi_j + \theta_j < t),$$

and

$$E(I(\sigma\xi_j + \theta_j < t)) \leq P(\xi < (t - a)/\sigma).$$

Thus, for any  $\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s, a)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta}^+ - \eta| &\leq \left( \frac{d}{s} - 1 \right) P(\xi \geq t/\sigma) + P(\xi < (t - a)/\sigma) \\ &= \Psi_+(d, s, a). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof: Lower bound

- Reduction to separable estimators  $\bar{\eta}_j$  with values in  $[0,1]$ .
- Decomposition in element-wise testing problems:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s,a)} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^d E_{j,\theta_j} |\bar{\eta}_j - \eta_j| \geq \\ & \geq \frac{d}{s} \inf_{T \in [0,1]} \left( \left(1 - \frac{s}{d}\right) \mathbb{E}_0(T) + \frac{s}{d} \mathbb{E}_a(1 - T) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_u$  is the expectation with respect to the distribution of  $X = u + \sigma\xi$  with  $\xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

- Bayes solution is the test ( $\varphi =$  density of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ )

$$T^*(X) = I \left( \frac{(s/d)\varphi_\sigma(X - a)}{(1 - s/d)\varphi_\sigma(X)} > 1 \right)$$

which results in the risk  $\Psi_+(d, s, a)$ .

## Theorem 2 - Probability of wrong recovery

For any  $a > 0$  and  $s < d$  the selectors  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\eta}^+$  with the threshold  $t$  defined in (2) satisfy

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s, a)} P_\theta(S_{\hat{\eta}^+} \neq S(\theta)) \leq s\Psi_+(d, s, a),$$

and

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s, a)} P_\theta(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)) \leq 2s\Psi(d, s, a).$$

Furthermore,

$$\inf_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s, a)} P_\theta(S_{\tilde{\eta}} \neq S(\theta)) \geq \frac{s\Psi_+(d, s, a)}{1 + s\Psi_+(d, s, a)}$$

where  $\inf_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}}$  denotes the infimum over all **separable** selectors  $\tilde{\eta}$ .

# Phase transitions

## Theorem 3 - Necessary and sufficient conditions of almost full/exact recovery

- (i) Almost full recovery is possible for  $(\Theta_d(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$  if and only if

$$\Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } d \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this case, the selector  $\hat{\eta}$  defined in (1) with threshold (2) achieves almost full recovery.

- (ii) Exact recovery is possible for  $(\Theta_d(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$  if and only if

$$s_d \Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } d \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this case, the selector  $\hat{\eta}$  defined in (1) with threshold (2) achieves exact recovery.

# Phase transitions

**Theorem - Phase transitions** Assume that  $s < d/2$ .

- (i) If  $a^2 \geq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log(d/s - 1) + W \right)$  for some  $W > 0$ , then the selector  $\hat{\eta}$  defined in (1) with threshold (2) satisfies

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s, a)} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta} - \eta| \leq (2 + \sqrt{2\pi}) s \Phi(-\Delta),$$

$$\text{where } \Delta = \frac{W}{2\sqrt{2 \log(d/s - 1) + W}}.$$

- (ii) If  $a^2 \leq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log(d/s - 1) + W \right)$  for some  $W > 0$ , then

$$\inf_{\tilde{\eta}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s, a)} E_{\theta} |\tilde{\eta} - \eta| \geq s \Phi(-\Delta),$$

where the infimum is taken over all selectors  $\tilde{\eta}$ .

Assume that exact recovery is possible for the classes  $(\Theta_d(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$  and  $(\Theta_d^+(s_d, a_d))_{d \geq 1}$ . Then, for the selectors  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\eta}^+$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s_d, a_d)} \frac{P_\theta(S_{\hat{\eta}^+} \neq S(\theta))}{s_d \Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d)} \\ = & \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d^+(s_d, a_d)} \frac{P_\theta(S_{\tilde{\eta}} \neq S(\theta))}{s_d \Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d)} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} \frac{P_\theta(S_{\hat{\eta}} \neq S(\theta))}{s_d \Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d)} \leq 2, \\ & \liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{T}} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} \frac{P_\theta(S_{\tilde{\eta}} \neq S(\theta))}{s_d \Psi_+(d, s_d, a_d)} \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

# Almost full recovery

Assume that  $\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} s_d/d < 1/2$ .

(i) If, for all  $d$  large enough,

$$a_d^2 \geq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d) + A_d \sqrt{2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d)} \right)$$

for an arbitrary sequence  $A_d \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , then almost full recovery is possible.

(ii) Moreover, if there exists  $A > 0$  such that for all  $d$  large enough the reverse inequality holds:

$$a_d^2 \leq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d) + A \sqrt{2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d)} \right)$$

then almost full recovery is impossible.

## Exact recovery

Assume that  $s_d \rightarrow \infty$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} s_d/d < 1/2$ .

- (i) If  $a_d^2 \geq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d) + W_d \right)$  for all  $d$  large enough, where the sequence  $W_d$  is such that

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_d}{4 \left( \log(s_d) + \sqrt{\log(s_d) \log(d - s_d)} \right)} \geq 1,$$

then exact recovery is possible;

- (ii) If  $a_d^2 \leq \sigma^2 \left( 2 \log((d - s_d)/s_d) + W_d \right)$  for all  $d$  large enough, where the sequence  $W_d$  is such that

$$\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_d}{4 \left( \log(s_d) + \sqrt{\log(s_d) \log(d - s_d)} \right)} < 1,$$

then exact recovery is impossible.



## Adaptive exact recovery

Assume that  $s_d \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} s_d/d < 1/2$ .  
The phase transition level for exact recovery is,

$$a_d^E = \sigma \left( \sqrt{2 \log(d-s)} + \sqrt{2 \log(s)} \right).$$

In particular, if  $s \sim d^{1-\beta}$ , then  $a_d^E \sim (1 + \sqrt{1-\beta}) \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \log d}$ .

Then the optimal selector  $\hat{\eta}$  has threshold

$$t = \sigma \sqrt{2 \log(d-s)} \sim \sigma \sqrt{2 \log d}$$

achieves exact recovery, adaptively to  $s$ .

## Adaptive almost full recovery

Transition level is  $a_d^{AF} \sim \sigma \sqrt{2 \log(d/s - 1)}$ .

Consider a grid of points  $\{g_1, \dots, g_M\}$  on  $\mathcal{S}_d$  where  $g_j = 2^{j-1}$  and  $M$  is the maximal integer such that  $g_M \leq s_d^*$ . For each  $g_m$ ,  $m = 1, \dots, M$ , we define a selector

$$\hat{\eta}(g_m) = (\hat{\eta}_j(g_m))_{j=1, \dots, d} \triangleq (I(|X_j| \geq w(g_m)))_{j=1, \dots, d},$$

where

$$w(s) = \sigma \sqrt{2 \log \left( \frac{d}{s} - 1 \right)}.$$

Note that  $w(s)$  is monotonically decreasing.

Lepski-type data-driven procedure:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{m} &= \min \{m \in \{2, \dots, M\} : \\ &\quad \sum_{j=1}^d I(w(\mathbf{g}_k) \leq |X_j| < w(\mathbf{g}_{k-1})) \leq \tau \mathbf{g}_k, \\ &\quad \text{for all } k \geq m\},\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \tau = (\log(d/s_d^* - 1))^{-\frac{1}{7}}.$$

Finally, the adaptive selector is

$$\hat{\eta}^{ad} = \hat{\eta}(\mathbf{g}_{\hat{m}}).$$

We assume that

$s_d \in \mathcal{S}_d \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, s_d^*\}$  where  $s_d^*$  is an integer such that  $\frac{d}{s_d^*} \rightarrow \infty$

and that  $s_d < d/4$  together with

$$A_d \geq 4 \left( \log \log \left( \frac{d}{s_d^*} - 1 \right) \right)^{1/2},$$

Then,

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_d(s_d, a_d)} \frac{1}{s_d} E_{\theta} |\hat{\eta}^{ad} - \eta| = 0$$

for all sequences  $(s_d, a_d)_{d \geq 1}$  such that  $a_d \geq a_d^{AF}$ .

## Extensions and related problems

- Exact minimax results for other distributions than the Gaussian. Exponential families with monotone likelihood ratio. Caveat: no meaningful solution for the Bernoulli case.
- Two-dimensional problem: other conditions of almost full and exact recovery (Hajek, Wu and Xu, 2015). More structured subsets. Butucea and Ingster (2013) - exact recovery/ prob. of wrong recovery. Connection to detection boundary of Butucea, Ingster and Suslina (2015). Hajek, Wu and Xu (2015): Meaningful solution for the Bernoulli case.
- Exact minimax results for selection from more structured subsets?
- Sharp adaptation for the minimax Hamming risk?