

III-Construction of needlet .

G. KERKYACHARIAN, LPMA-CREST
MATHEMATICAL STATISTIC AND INVERSE PROBLEMS.CIRM.02/16.

February , 2016

Part III :Framework of Dirichlet spaces (Th.Coulhon,P.Petrushev,G.K.)

Let (M, μ) be a connected, locally compact space with μ a borelian measure with support M .

We will try to show that a positive self-adjoint operator rich enough would help us to build a theory of regularity spaces on M . Let us first review some fact on positive self-adjoint operators, closed quadratic forms, semigroup and Markov semi-group.

Semi-group, positive operator and quadratic form.

Let $E = \mathbb{L}(M, \mu)$.

- Self-adjoint contraction semigroup:

$$\forall t > 0, P_t = P_t^*, P_t \circ P_s = P_{t+s}, \|P_t(f)\| \leq \|f\|,$$

$$\forall f \in E, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|P_t(f) - f\| = 0$$

Then the infinitesimal generator A with domain

$$D(A) = \{f \in E, \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_t(f) - f}{t} = A(f)\} \text{ exists}$$

$$\text{then } A = A^*, \forall f \in D(A), -\langle A(f), f \rangle \geq 0.$$

- If A is a self-adjoint operator , with dense domain $D(A)$, and

$$\forall f \in D(A), -\langle A(f), f \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then by Hille-Yoshida theorem A is the generator of a self-adjoint contraction semigroup. There exists a spectral decomposition of identity associated to $-A$

$$Id = \int_0^\infty dE_\lambda, \quad -A = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda$$

$$\text{and} \quad P_t = e^{tA} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dE_\lambda$$

- If $-A$ is a positive self-adjoint operator , with dense domain $D(A)$ then we can define a quadratic form $\mathcal{E}(f, g)$:

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = D(\sqrt{-A}), \quad \forall f, g \in D(\mathcal{E}), \quad \mathcal{E}(f, g) = \langle \sqrt{-A}(f), \sqrt{-A}(g) \rangle$$

then $D(\mathcal{E})$ with norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}}^2 = \|f\|^2 + \mathcal{E}(f, f)$ is complete. \mathcal{E} is a closed positive quadratic form.

From quadratic form to positive self adjoint operator.

If \mathcal{E} a closed positive quadratic form, with dense domain $D(\mathcal{E}) \subset E$. Then one can associate a positive self-adjoint operator L :

$$D(L) = \{f \in D(\mathcal{E}), \exists C < \infty \text{ such that } \forall g \in D(\mathcal{E}), \quad |\mathcal{E}(f, g)| \leq C\|g\|\}$$

For such f one define $L(f)$ as

$$\forall g \in D(\mathcal{E}), \quad \mathcal{E}(f, g) = \langle L(f), g \rangle$$

Then L is a positive self adjoint operator.

Practical definition of a positive self-adjoint operator: Friedrich extension.

Actually what one has usually a positive symmetric operator with dense domain : $A, D(A)$. Not self-adjoint. Then this operator could always extended to a positive self-adjoint operator

$$\overline{A} = (\overline{A})^*, D(A) \subset D(\overline{A}), \overline{A}|_{D(A)} = A$$

(Friedrich extension). This is due to the fact that the quadratic form:

$$D(\mathcal{E}) = D(A), \mathcal{E}(f, g) = \langle A(f), g \rangle$$

is actually closable to $\overline{\mathcal{E}}, D(\overline{\mathcal{E}})$ and one use the previous procedure to build a self adjoint extension to A .

Beurling-Deny conditions and Markov semi-group.

For a positive operator $-A$ on $\mathbb{L}^2(\mu, M)$ with \mathcal{E} and P_t the associate quadratique form and semi-group we have the equivalence (Beurling-Deny conditions):

1. If $u \in D(\mathcal{E})$ then,

$$(u \wedge 1)_+ \in D(\mathcal{E}), \text{ and } \mathcal{E}((u \wedge 1)_+, (u \wedge 1)_+) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$$

2.

$$\forall f \in D(A), (f - 1)_+ \in D(A), \langle A(f), (f - 1)_+ \rangle \leq 0$$

3. P_t is a SUBMARKOVIAN operator :

$$0 \leq f \leq 1, f \in \mathbb{L}^2 \implies 0 \leq P_t f \leq 1.$$

Then P_t could be extended as a semigroup on $\mathbb{L}^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (with some precautions for $p = \infty$) and L_l , as the infinitesimal generator is defined for each p on some dense domain $D(L_{(p)}) \subset \mathbb{L}^p$.

"Gradient".

Under some further regularity, we can define (under the hypothesis :

$$\Gamma(f, f) = \frac{1}{2}A(f^2) - fA(f)$$

(for instance if $A(f) = f''$ then $\Gamma(f, f) = |f'|^2$. ($\Gamma(f, f)$ is the "square of the gradient " of f ,) $\Gamma(f, f) \geq 0$ and one can check :

$$\int_M A(f)(x)f(x)d\mu(x) = - \int_M \Gamma(f, f)(u)d\mu(u)$$

(For a Laplacian on a Riemannian manifold : $\Gamma(f, f) = |\nabla(f)|^2$)

Then we define

$$\rho(x, y) = \sup_{\Gamma(\psi, \psi) \leq 1} (\psi(x) - \psi(y))$$

We suppose that ρ is a complete metric compatible with the original topology.
In the case of Riemannian geometry, $\rho(x, y)$ is the Riemannian distance.

Main hypothesis.

We will assume the following properties

1. We suppose that (M, ρ, μ) has the doubling property :
 $\exists d > 0$ (which plays the role of an upper dimension)
such that:

$$\forall x \in M, r > 0, \quad 0 < |B(x, 2r)| \leq 2^d |B(x, r)| < \infty$$

2. POINCARÉ INEQUALITY : $\exists C$ such that, $\forall B(x, r)$:

$$\int_{B(x, r)} |f(u) - f_{B(x, r)}|^2 d\mu(u) \leq Cr^2 \int_{B(x, 2r)} \Gamma(f, f)(u) d\mu(u)$$

$$(f_{B(x, r)} = \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} f(x) d\mu(x))$$

Heat kernel bounds.

These two previous properties are equivalent to the following one :

The semi-group P_t is a positive symmetric kernel operator

$$P_t(f)(x) = \int_M P_t(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

and we have : $\exists C_1 > 0, C_2 > 0, c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0$, such that
 $\forall (x, y) \in M \times M, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq 1,$

$$\frac{C_1 e^{-c_1 \frac{\rho^2(x, y)}{t}}}{\sqrt{|B(x, \sqrt{t})| |B(y, \sqrt{t})|}} \leq P_t(x, y) \leq \frac{C_2 e^{-c_2 \frac{\rho^2(x, y)}{t}}}{\sqrt{|B(x, \sqrt{t})| |B(y, \sqrt{t})|}}$$

Moreover $\exists 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto P_t(x, y)$ is lip- α .

Examples

Compact Riemannian manifold

Riemannian manifold with Positive Ricci curvature....

Nilpotent Lie Group, compact Lie group, homogeneous spaces G/K , associated to sublaplacian.

Exemple :Jacobi

$$M = [-1, 1]; \quad d\mu(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx = W(x)dx,$$

with $-1 < \alpha, \beta$. Let \mathcal{P} the vector space of polynomials restricted to $[-1, 1]$. This vector space is dense in $\mathbb{L}^2(M, \mu)$. Let us define $\forall f \in \mathcal{P}$,

$$L(f) = \frac{1}{W(x)} \frac{d}{dx} ((1-x^2)W(x) \frac{d}{dx}(f))$$

$$= (1-x^2)f'' + [\beta - \alpha - (2 + \beta - \alpha)x]f'$$

$$\int L(f)(x)f(x)W(x)dx = - \int (1-x^2)|f'(x)|^2 W(x)dx \leq 0$$

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int (1-x^2)f'(x)g'(x)W(x)dx$$

$$\text{So : } \Gamma(f, f) = \int (1-x^2)|f'(x)|^2 W(x)dx = \frac{1}{2}\{L(f^2) - 2fL(f)\}$$

One can verify the Beurling-Deny conditions so that e^{tL} is a subMarkovian semigroup.

Moreover $L(1) = 0$ so $P_t(1) = 1$.

So P_t is Markovian. Moreover the other regularity could be checked and :

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x, y) &= \arccos(xy + \sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-y^2}) = |\arccos x - \arccos y| \\ &= \int_x^y \frac{du}{\sqrt{1-u^2}}\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following behavior of the balls :

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \sim r(r^2 + 1 - x)^{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}(r^2 + 1 + x)^{\beta + \frac{1}{2}}$$

So the measure of the balls is not the same when x is close to 0 or close to the boundary $1, -1$. Moreover

$$\frac{\mu(B(x, 2r))}{\mu(B(x, r))} \leq 3.$$

So the doubling property is verified.

The eigenvectors are the Jacobi polynomials $P_k^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \in \mathcal{P}$.

$$A(P_k^{\alpha,\beta}) = -k(k + \alpha + \beta + 1)P_k^{\alpha,\beta} = \lambda_k p_k$$

So if $q_k = q_k^{\alpha,\beta}$ are the normalized Jacobi polynomials, one can see that the operator is closable in the following way :

$$D(\overline{L}) = \{f = \sum \alpha_k q_k, \quad \sum |\alpha_k|^2 \lambda_k^2 < \infty\}$$

$$\overline{L}(f) = \sum \lambda_k \alpha_k q_k$$

One can check that \overline{L} is selfadjoint, so in this case the Friedrich extension is the closure of the operator (L is essentially self-adjoint. In the general case it could happen that there is different non comparable self-adjoint extensions. The semigroup P_t is actually a kernel operator with a positive kernel:

$$P_t(x, y) = \sum_k e^{-\lambda_k t} q_k(x) q_k(y)$$

(As it is written it is not obvious that it positive.)

With some work one can verify the Poincare inequality.
So we have the Gaussian behavior of the heat kernel.

$$P_t(x, y) \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(B(x, \sqrt{t})\mu(B(y, \sqrt{t}))}} e^{-c \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t}}$$
$$P_t(x, y) \geq C' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu(B(x, \sqrt{t})\mu(B(y, \sqrt{t}))}} e^{-c' \frac{d(x, y)^2}{t}}$$

with the previous distance and measure of the balls.

Main result : Functional calculus.

Let Θ be an even function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\delta > 0$, the operator:

$$\Theta(\delta\sqrt{L}) = \int_0^\infty \Theta(\delta\sqrt{\lambda}) dE_\lambda$$

is actually a kernel operator, and the kernel $\Theta(\delta\sqrt{L})(x, y)$ verifies REGULARITY property:

$$\bullet (x, y) \in M \times M \mapsto \Theta(\delta\sqrt{L})(x, y) \quad \text{is } Lip - \alpha$$

CONCENTRATION on the diagonal properties :

$$\bullet \forall s > 0, \delta > 0, |\Theta(\delta\sqrt{L})(x, y)| \leq C(\Theta, s) \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B(x, \delta)||B(y, \delta)|}} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{\rho(x, y)}{\delta})^s}$$

As a consequence, by Young Lemma :

$$\bullet \exists C, \forall \delta > 0, \forall f \in \mathbb{L}^p, \|\Theta(\delta\sqrt{L})f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$$

Spectral decomposition, Spectral space.

Let

$$L = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE_\lambda; \quad \sqrt{L} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dF_\lambda; \quad F_\lambda = E_{\lambda^2}$$

The operator F_λ is a kernel operator, with a real symmetric non negative kernel, but NOT localised. Let us define :

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \{f \in \mathbb{L}^2, \quad F_\lambda(f) = f\}$$

And more we can extend this definition and we can define Σ_λ^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and

$$1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty \implies \Sigma_\lambda^1 \subset \Sigma_\lambda^p \subset \Sigma_\lambda^q \subset \Sigma_\lambda^\infty;$$

These are the "low frequencies" spaces or Shannon spaces.

Σ_λ^p as a space of analytic vectors.

We have the following equivalence :

1. $f \in \Sigma_\lambda^p$
2. $f \in \cap_{k=1}^\infty D(L_{(p)}^k)$ and

$$\forall \nu > \lambda, \exists C_\nu > 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \|L^k(f)\|_p \leq C_\nu \nu^{2k} \|f\|_p$$

($z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto e^{-zL}(f) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^k \frac{z^k L^k(f)}{k!}$ is a (\mathbb{L}^p value)
entire function of type exponential 2λ .)

Definition of spaces of distribution

Let us fix some $a \in M$.

$$\mathcal{S}(M) = \{\phi \in \cap_m D(L^m);$$

$$\forall l, n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}_{l,n}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in M} (1 + \rho(x, a))^l |L^n(\phi)(x)| < \infty\}$$

(This coincides, in the \mathbb{R}^d case with the usual definition)

One can see :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}), f \text{ even}, \forall y \in M, \quad x \mapsto f(\sqrt{L})(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

The dual of \mathcal{S} is the space of distribution \mathcal{S}' .

Littlewood-Paley decomposition

Let us define, for $1 < b < \infty$ the b - Littlewood-Paley functions :

$$\Phi_0 \geq 0, \quad \Phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \Phi \text{ even}$$

$$|u| \leq 1 \implies \Phi_0(u) = 1, \quad \text{supp}(\Phi_0) \subset \{|u| \leq b\}.$$

Moreover let us take Φ non increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ .

$$\forall j \geq 1, \quad \Phi_j(u) = \Phi_0\left(\frac{u}{b^j}\right) - \Phi_0\left(\frac{u}{b^{j-1}}\right) = \Phi_1\left(\frac{u}{b^{j-1}}\right).$$

So

$$\Phi_j \geq 0, \quad \Phi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{supp}(\Phi_j) \subset \{b^{j-1} \leq |u| \leq b^{j+1}\}.$$

$$1 = \sum_j \Phi_j(u)$$

Then, due to the concentration properties :

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}', \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j(\sqrt{L})f$$

The convergence is in the \mathbb{L}^p sense if $1 \leq p < \infty$ if $f \in \mathbb{L}^p$ and uniform if f is uniformly continuous and bounded (U.C.B.)

Spaces of low-frequency approximation.

For $f \in \mathbb{L}^p(M)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define:

$$\sigma(t, f, p) = \inf_{g \in \Sigma_t^p} \|f - g\|_p$$

Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $0 < s < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \sim \|f\|_p + \left(\int_1^\infty (t^s \sigma(t, f, p))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \}$$

Clearly, for $b > 1$, fixed, we have the discretized characterisation :

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \sim \|f\|_p + \|b^{-js} \sigma(b^j, f, p)\|_{l_q(j)}$$

Littlewood-Paley definition of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces

Let Φ_j be a b -Littlewood Paley family of functions, and $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < q, p \leq \infty$:

$$f \in B_{p,q}^s \quad : \{f \in \mathcal{S}', (\sum_j (b^{js} \|\Phi_j(\sqrt{L})f\|_p)^q)^{1/q} = \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} < \infty\}$$

(usual modification for $q = \infty$) This is due to

$$\exists C, \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \forall \delta > 0, \|\Phi(\delta\sqrt{L})f\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$$

Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

Let us define now:

Triebel-Lizorkin $F_{p,q}^s$: Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$:

$$f \in F_{p,q}^s : \{f \in \mathcal{S}', \ \|(\sum_j |b^{js} \Phi_j(\sqrt{L})f(x)|^q)^{1/q}\|_p = \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} < \infty$$

(usual modification for $q = \infty$)

These definitions are independant of $b > 1$ and any related Littlewood-Paley family. All the related norms are equivalent.

Sobolev and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s$

Let us recall the definition of Sobolev space :

$s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$:

$$\|f\|_{H_s^p} = \|(I_d + L)^{s/2}(f)\|_p$$

Then

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty, \quad H_p^s = F_{p,2}^s$$

$$\text{For } s = 0, \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty, \quad H_0^s = \mathbb{L}^p = F_{p,2}^0$$

Besov Spaces as interpolation spaces..

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $0 < s < k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f\|_{H_p^k} = \|f\|_p + \|L_{(p)}^{k/2}(f)\|_p$$

$$B_{p,q}^s = [\mathbb{L}^p, H_p^k]_{\theta,q}, \quad s = \theta k$$

$$\|f\|_{[\mathbb{L}^p, D(L_{(p)}^k)]_{\theta,q}} \sim \|f\|_p + \left(\int_0^1 (t^{-\theta k} \|(tL)^k e^{tL}(f)\|_p)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

(Jackson and Bernstein properties)

Injections

1.

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall q \leq p, \quad B_{p,q}^s \subset F_{p,q}^s$$

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall p \leq q, \quad F_{p,q}^s \subset B_{p,q}^s$$

2. $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq p' \leq \infty,$

$$B_{p,q}^s \subset B_{p',q}^{s'}, \quad s - \frac{d}{p} = s' - \frac{d}{p'}.$$

$B_{\infty,\infty}^s$ and Lipschitz spaces

Let us recall : $\forall s > 0$,

$$Lip(s) = \{f, \quad \|f\|_{\infty} + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{\rho(x, y)^s} = \|f\|_{Lip(s)} < \infty\}$$

Then:

$$\forall 0 < s < \alpha, \quad Lip(s) = B_{\infty,\infty}^s$$

Semi-group characterization

1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 < s < \infty$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < s < m$. Then

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s} \sim \|f\|_p + \left(\int_0^1 [t^{-s/2} \|(tL)^m e^{-tL} f\|_p]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

2. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < s < \infty$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < s < m$. Then

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s} \sim \|f\|_p + \left\| \left(\int_0^1 [t^{-s/2} |(tL)^m e^{-tL} f(x)|]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}_p}$$

With the usual modification for $q = \infty$.

Spectral space and sampling

δ –net.

Let us recall that a δ –net of a metric space (M, ρ) is a set $\mathcal{A} \subset M$ such that $\forall x \neq y, x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\rho(x, y) \geq \delta$.

Maximal δ –net. Let \mathcal{A} be a δ -net . If there is no δ -net \mathcal{B} , $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ then \mathcal{A} is said maximal δ -net.

If \mathcal{A} is a maximal δ -net, then :

$$\cup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} B(x, \delta) = M;$$

$$x, y \in \mathcal{A}, x \neq y \implies B(x, \delta/2) \cap B(y, \delta/2) = \emptyset.$$

Sampling theorem

THEOREM : There exists $\gamma > 0$, only depending of the structural constant, such that $\forall \lambda > 0$ and for any \mathcal{A}_δ , a maximal δ -net with $\delta = \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}$, we have :

$$\forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad \forall f \in \Sigma_\lambda^p,$$

$$\left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\delta} |f(\xi)|^p |B(\xi, \delta)| \right)^{1/p} \simeq \|f\|_p$$

(usual modification for $p = \infty$.)

Spectral spaces and cubature formula.

THEOREM : There exists $\gamma > 0$, only depending of the structural constant, such that $\forall \lambda > 0$ and \mathcal{A}_δ , a maximal δ -net with $\lambda\delta = \gamma$, it exist $(\mu_\xi^\lambda)_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\delta}$ positive weights such that :

$$\forall f \in \Sigma_\lambda^1, \quad \int_M f(x)dx = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_\delta} \mu_\xi^\lambda f(\xi)$$

$$\frac{2}{3}|A_\xi| \leq \mu_\xi^\lambda \leq 2|A_\xi|$$

Where A_ξ is a partition associated to \mathcal{A}_δ .

Frame

$$\text{As } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \Phi_j^2(x) \leq 1$$

by spectral theorem

$$\frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \|\Phi_j(\sqrt{L})(f)\|_2^2 \leq \|f\|_2^2$$

So using the sampling theorem, we get , for $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathcal{A}_{\gamma b^{-j}}$,

$$\frac{1}{4} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_j \sum_{\mathcal{A}_j} |\langle f, \psi_{j,\xi} \rangle|^2 \leq 2 \|f\|_2^2$$

$$\text{where : } \psi_{j,\xi}(x) = \sqrt{|B(\xi, b^{-j})|} \Phi_j(\sqrt{L})(x, \xi).$$

The previous result means exactly that : $(\phi_{j,\xi})_{j \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathcal{A}_j}$ is a frame

Properties of $\psi_{j,\xi}(x)$.

For a suitable choice of Φ and b :

1. \mathbb{L}^p —norm control : $\forall 0 < p \leq \infty, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \xi \in \mathcal{A}_j,$

$$\|\psi_{j,\xi}\|_p \simeq |B(\xi, b^{-j})|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}$$

2. $\psi_{j,\xi}$ is "almost" supported by $B(\xi, b^{-j})$:

For $0 < \beta < 1 \exists C, \kappa > 0$, such that $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \xi \in \mathcal{A}_j$

$$|\psi_{j,\xi}(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B(\xi, b^{-j})|}} e^{-\kappa(b^j \rho(x,\xi))^\beta}$$

(Exponential concentration).

3. Spectral localisation : $\psi_{j,\xi} \in \Sigma_{b^{j-1}, b^{j+1}}$

Second main result :Existence of a good dual frame

One can built a family $(\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi})_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j}$, which is a dual frame (not THE dual frame!) to the previous one, with the same properties:

- Splitting property: $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_j(\sqrt{L})(x, y) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}_j} \overline{\psi_{j,\xi}(y)} \tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(x) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}_j} \psi_{j,\xi}(x) \overline{\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(y)}$$

- $\|\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}\|_p \simeq |B(\xi, 2^{-j})|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}}$
- $|\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(x)| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{|B(\xi, b^{-j})|}} e^{-\kappa(b^j \rho(x,\xi))^\beta}$
- Spectral localisation $\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi} \in \Sigma_{b^{j-2}, b^{j+2}}$

Frame characterization of Besov and Triebel spaces.

Let b suitably choosen.

- Littlewood-Paley .

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{S}', \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Phi_j(\sqrt{L})f$$

- Frame decomposition

$$f = \sum_j \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} \langle f, \psi_{j,\xi} \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(x)$$

We can exchange ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$

Concentration property.

Due to the concentration properties of the $\psi_{j,\xi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}$ we have :

$$\exists C < \infty, \forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} \|\psi_{j,\xi}\|_1 |\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(y)| \leq C$$

We can exchange ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$

Sparse characterization of Besov space.

So: using

- $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < p, q \leq \infty$

$$\left[\sum_j (b^{js} \left(\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} |\langle f, \psi_{j,\xi} \rangle|^p \|\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}})^q \right]^{1/q} \sim \|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s}$$

We can exchange ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$

•

Characterization of $F_{p,q}^s$. $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < q \leq \infty, 0 < p < \infty$:

$$\left\| \left\{ \sum_j \left[b^{js} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} |\langle f, \psi_{j,\xi} \rangle| |\tilde{\psi}_{j,\xi}(x)|^q \right]^{1/q} \right\} \right\|_p \sim \|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s}$$

We can exchange ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$

Compact case.

The following properties are equivalent :

- $Diam(M) < \infty$ ($\iff \mu(M) < \infty \iff M$ is compact)
- $\mathbb{L}^2(M) = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k} = \ker(L - \lambda_k I_d); \quad \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}) < \infty$
- $\forall r > 0 \quad \int \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} d\mu(x) < \infty$
- $\forall \lambda > 0, \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty \quad \Sigma_\lambda^1 = \Sigma_\lambda^p = \Sigma_\lambda^\infty = \bigoplus_{\sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq \lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}$
- $\forall t > 0, e^{-tL}$ is an Hilber-Schmidt operator
- $\forall t > 0, e^{-tL}$ is a trace class operator

If this is realized , and if $N(\delta, M)$ is the covering number of M (or the cardinal of a maximal δ –net):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda_k \leq t^{-1}} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}\right) &= \dim\left(\Sigma_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}}\right) \sim \int \frac{1}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} d\mu(x) \sim N(\sqrt{t}, M) \\
 &\sim \int_M P_t(x, x) d\mu(x) = \int_M \int_M P_{t/2}(x, y)^2 d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\
 &= \sum_k e^{-\lambda_k t} \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}) = \text{Tr}(e^{-tL}) = \|e^{-t/2L}\|_{HS}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, in the compact Riemannian case of dim n , without boundary :

$$\dim\left(\bigoplus_{\sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq \lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}\right) \sim \int \frac{1}{|B(x, \lambda^{-1})|} d\mu(x) \sim N(\lambda^{-1}, M) \sim \lambda^n$$

Regularity of Gaussian Process in geometrical framework.

G. KERKYACHARIAN, LPMA , CREST

MATHEMATICAL STATISTIC AND INVERSE PROBLEMS.CIRM.02/16.

February 10, 2016

Gaussian process.

Let (M, d) a compact metric space.

Let (Ω, P) a probability space.

$(Z_x(\omega))_{x \in X}$ a centered Gaussian process:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X; \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\sum \lambda_i Z_{x_i}(\omega) \sim N(0, \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j K(x_i, x_j))$$

where $K(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(Z_x Z_y)$ is the covariance kernel

$K(x, y)$ is a real, positive definite function. i.e.

$$K(x, y) = K(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and}$$

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X; \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \lambda_j K(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

Reciprocally, if $K(x, y)$ is real continuous, positive definite :

$$K(x, y) = \sum_k \nu_k \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y)$$

where $\int K(x, y) \phi_k(y) d\mu(y) = \nu_k \phi_k(x)$

Then : $Z_x(\omega) = \sum_k \sqrt{\nu_k} \phi_k(x) B_k(\omega)$

where B_k is sequence of iid $N(0,1)$ R.V.. Then $Z_x(\omega)$ is Gaussian Process with covariance K .

Question : How one can decide the kind of regularity of the "trajectory: $x \mapsto Z_x(\omega)$ for almost all ω at least for a suitable version of $Z_x(\omega)$?

Let us recall the famous result of Kolmogoroff :

THEOREM: The process $Z_x(\omega)$ had a continuous modification if it exists $0 < p$, $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, $\psi(0) = 0$ continuous non decreasing, and $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ such that :

$\mathbb{E}|Z_x - Z_y|^p \leq \psi(d(x, y))$, and if $D(t, X)$ is the covering number

$$\int_0^1 \frac{D(t, X) \psi(2t)}{f(t)^p} dt < \infty, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{f(x)}{x} dx < \infty.$$

Actually we want to describe the regularity of the process $Z_x(\omega)$ directly from the covariance function $K(x, y)$

Random field and geometry.

We suppose that we are in the previous framework.

- (X, μ, d) is a compact metric space, and we suppose that the regularity spaces : Sobolev, Besov, Lipschitz are related to some Positive operator L with all the properties:

- : L is a positive self-adjoint operator determine associate to a regular, and local Dirichlet space with an associated "gradient square"

$$\Gamma(f, g) : \forall f, g \in D(L) \int L(f)g d\mu = \int \Gamma(f, g) d\mu.$$

- : $d(x, y) = \sup_{\Gamma(f, f) \leq 1} \psi(x) - \psi(y)$

- Doubling condition: $\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq 2^d \mu(B(x, r)).$

- Poincare Inequality :

$$\inf_{\lambda} \int_{B(x, r)} (f - \lambda)^2 d\mu \leq Cr^2 \int_{B(x, r)} \Gamma(f, f) d\mu$$

Compact case.

The following properties are equivalent :

- $\text{Diam}(M) < \infty \iff \mu(M) < \infty \iff M \text{ is compact}$
- $\mathbb{L}^2(M) = \bigoplus_k \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}, \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k} = \ker(L - \lambda_k I_d); \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}) < \infty$
- $\forall \lambda > 0, \forall 1 \leq p \leq \infty \quad \Sigma_\lambda^1 = \Sigma_\lambda^p = \Sigma_\lambda^\infty = \bigoplus_{\sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq \lambda} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}$
- If, $N(\delta, M)$ is the covering number of M (or the cardinal of a maximal δ -net): (Peter-Weyl type result)

$$\dim\left(\bigoplus_{\lambda_k \leq t^{-1}} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}\right) \sim \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} \sim N(\sqrt{t}, M) \lesssim t^{\frac{d}{2}}$$

$$\mathbb{L}^2 = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}, \quad P_t(x, y) = \sum_k e^{-\lambda_k t} P_{\lambda_k}(x, y).$$

$$P_{\lambda_k}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k})} e_i^k(x) e_i^k(y),$$

$P_t(x, y)$ has a Gaussian behavior. Moreover $e^{-tL}1 = 1$ which is equivalent to $L1 = 0$.

So we have Sobolev spaces, Besov spaces, Lipschitz spaces. Let us recall

$$\forall 0 < s \leq 1, \text{ } Lip_s \subset B_{\infty, \infty}^s$$

and for some $0 < \alpha$, $\forall 0 < s < \alpha$, $B_{\infty, \infty}^s \subset Lip_s$. (Actully, in the Riemannian case $\alpha = 1$.)

Subordination to the geometry.

Here is the main hypothesis:

We focus on continuous definite positive kernel, subordinate to the spectral decomposition :

$$K(x, y) = \sum_k \sum_{j=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k})} \nu_k^j e_k^j(x) e_k^j(y)$$

i.e. the eigenfunctions of L are eigenfunctions of K . Actually, this is equivalent to $KL = LK$. where K is the kernel operator:

$$f \mapsto Kf(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y)$$

Let us study the regularity of:

$$Z_x(\omega) = \sum_k \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k})} \sqrt{\nu_k^i} e_k^i(x) B_k^i(\omega); \quad B_k^i, \text{ i.i.d. } N(0, 1).$$

Regularity theorem.

THEOREM

1. Let us suppose that $\exists 0 < s$ such that :

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|K(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^s} \leq C < \infty.$$

Then for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \mapsto Z_x(\omega) \in B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha$, $\alpha < \frac{s}{2}$

(Let us observe that $B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha \subseteq B_{\infty, \infty}^\alpha$, $B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha$ is separable and $B_{\infty, \infty}^\alpha$ is not separable.)

2. Conversely If $\exists \alpha > 0$ such that $Z_x(\omega) \in B_{\infty, \infty}^\alpha$ for almost all ω , then

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|K(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^{2\alpha}} \leq C < \infty.$$

Example:

Let us suppose that

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq C d(y, y')^s, \text{ for some } 0 < s \leq 1$$

So, as $Lip_s \subset B_{\infty, \infty}^s$, the theorem implies

$$\text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega, x \mapsto Z_x(\omega) \in B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha, \alpha < \frac{s}{2}$$

But $B_{\infty, \infty}^\alpha = Lip_\alpha$, if $\alpha < \alpha_0$. Actually $\alpha_0 = 1$ if X is a compact Riemannian manifold.

Sketch of the proof.

To simplify the notations we write:

$$K(x, y) = \sum \nu_k u_k(x) u_k(y); \quad L(u_k) = \lambda_k u_k;$$

$$Z_x(\omega) = \sum \sqrt{\nu_k} B_k(\omega) u_k(x)$$

u_k is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of L .

Let a Littlewood-Paley decomposition : $1 = \sum_{j \geq 0} \psi_j(x)$,

$$Supp(\psi_0) \subset \{|\xi| \leq 2\}; \quad \forall j \geq 1, \quad Supp(\psi_j) \subset \{2^{j-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$$

We have to prove:

$$\|Z.(\omega)\|_{B_{\infty,1}^\alpha} \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\alpha} \|\psi_j(\sqrt{L})(Z.(\omega))\|_\infty < \infty, \quad a.e.$$

It is enough to prove

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\alpha} \|\psi_j(\sqrt{L})(Z.(\omega))\|_\infty\right] = \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\|\psi_j(\sqrt{L})(Z.(\omega))\|_\infty] < \infty.$$

If \mathcal{A}_j a maximal $\gamma 2^{-j-1}$ -net. We have $Card(\mathcal{A}_j) \lesssim 2^{jd}$.
 We have (as $\Psi_j(\sqrt{L})(f) \in \Sigma_{2^{j+1}}$):

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\Psi_j \sqrt{L}\|_\infty(Z(\omega))\|_\infty] \sim \mathbb{E}[\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} |\Psi(2^{-j} \sqrt{L})(Z(\omega)(\xi))|] =$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{A}_j} \left| \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \Psi_j(\sqrt{\lambda_k}) \sqrt{\nu_k} u_k(\xi) B_k(\omega) \right|]$$

Let us recall:

Pisier inequality If $(X_i)_{i \in \mathcal{A}}$ are centered Gaussian R.V. and $\sigma^2 \geq \mathbb{E}(X_i^2)$, $\forall i$ then

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup |X_i|) \leq \sigma \sqrt{2 \log(2 \text{card}(\mathcal{A}))}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \Psi_j(\sqrt{\lambda_k}) \sqrt{\nu_k} u_k(\xi) B_k(\omega)\right]^2 = \\ \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \mathbb{E}[\Psi_j^2(\sqrt{\lambda_k}) \nu_k u_k^2(\xi)] \leq \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \nu_k u_k^2(x) \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\alpha} \mathbb{E}[\|\psi_j(\sqrt{L})(Z.(\omega))\|_\infty] \lesssim \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{j\alpha} \sqrt{j} \left\{ \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \nu_k u_k^2(x) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

But one can check :

$$\sup_{x \in M} \|K(x, .)\|_{B_{\infty, \infty}^s} < \infty \iff \exists C' < \infty, \quad \sup_{x \in M} \sum_{2^{j-1} \leq \sqrt{\lambda_k} \leq 2^{j+1}} \nu_k u_k^2(x) \leq C' 2^{-js}$$

So $Z_x(\omega) \in B_{\infty, 1}^\alpha$, a.s. if $\alpha < \frac{s}{2}$.

Some more result.

Under the hypothesis of the theorem :

1. (Wiener measure) On $B_{\infty,1}^\alpha$, there exists a unique Borel measure Q_α , such that:

$$\delta_x : \omega \in B_{\infty,1}^\alpha \mapsto \omega(x)$$

is a centered Gaussian process and

$$K(x, y) = \int_{B_{\infty,1}^\alpha} \delta_x(\omega) \delta_y(\omega) dQ_\alpha(\omega) = \mathbb{E}(\delta_x \delta_y)$$

2. If \mathbb{H}_K is the RKHS associated to $K(x, y)$. Then

$$\text{Moreover } \mathbb{H}_K \subseteq B_{\infty,\infty}^{\frac{s}{2}} \iff \sup_{x \in M} \|K(x, \cdot)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^s} < \infty$$

$$\mathbb{H}_K = \left\{ f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f(x) = \sum_k \alpha_k \sqrt{\nu_k} u_k(x), \alpha. \in l_2 \right\}$$

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}_K}^2 = \|\alpha.\|_2^2$$

Ex : The Brownian motion.

$$M = [0, 1], \quad K(x, y) = \frac{x + y - |x - y|}{2} = x \wedge y$$

Computing the eigen vector and eigen number of the associate kernel operator:

$$K(x, y) = \sum_k \frac{1}{((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2} 2 \sin((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi x) \sin(k + \frac{1}{2})\pi y)$$

$$Z_x(\omega) = \sum_k \frac{1}{(k + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \sqrt{2} \sin((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi x) B_k(\omega); \quad B_k \sim N(0, 1), \text{ i.i.d.}$$

Now we have the following "bad" Dirichlet space : (with Neumann-Dirichlet conditions)

$$A(f) = f'', \quad D(A) = C^2([0, 1[, \cap C^1[0, 1], \quad f(0) = f'(1) = 0.$$

$$\int_0^1 A(f)(x) f(x) dx = - \int_0^1 f'^2(x) dx$$

$$A(\sin((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi \cdot))(x) = -((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi)^2 \sin((k + \frac{1}{2})\pi x)$$

$$\rho(x, y) = \sup_{|f'| \leq 1} f(x) - f(y) = |x - y|;$$

The Poincare and the doubling properties are obvious.

$$\text{Clearly } |K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq |y - y'|$$

$$\text{so } x \mapsto Z_x(\omega) \in Lip(s)([0, 1]), \quad s < \frac{1}{2}; \omega - a.s.$$

$$\mathbb{E}(|Z_x - Z_y|^2) = \psi(x, y) =$$

$$K(x, x) + K(y, y) - 2K(x, y) = |x - y|$$

But unfortunately 1 Does not belong to $D(A)$. and the semi-group is not Markov

Let us go through the circle.

By Fourier serie development :

$$x \in [-1, 1], \quad |x| = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\cos((2n+1)\pi x)}{(2n+1)^2}$$

$$\text{so : } x, y \in [-1, 1] \quad |x-y| \wedge (2-|x-y|) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\cos((2n+1)\pi(x-y))}{(2n+1)^2}$$

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} - |x-y| \wedge (2-|x-y|) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\cos((2n+1)\pi(x-y))}{(2n+1)^2} =$$

$$\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\cos((2n+1)\pi x) \cos((2n+1)\pi y)}{(2n+1)^2} + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\sin((2n+1)\pi x) \sin((2n+1)\pi y)}{(2n+1)^2}$$

So obviously $K(x, y)$ is P.D. and

$$\psi(x, y) = K(x, x) + K(y, y) - 2K(x, y) = 2[|x-y| \wedge (2-|x-y|)]$$

Associated Dirichlet space.

Now let us look to the Dirichlet associated to :

$$f \in C^2(]-1, 1[) \cap C^1[-1, 1], \quad A(f) = f'',$$

$$f(-1) = f(1); \quad f'(-1) = f'(1).$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 A(f)(x)g(x)dx = - \int_{-1}^1 f'(x)g'(x)dx,$$

$$\forall x, y \in [-1, 1],$$

$$|x - y| \wedge (2 - |x - y|) = \inf_{|f'| \leq 1, f(-1)=f(1), f'(-1)=f'(1)} f(x) - f(y)$$

The eigen-vectors associated are clearly

$$(\cos k\pi x)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (\sin k\pi x)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}.$$

. With respect to the metric $\rho(x, y) = |x - y| \wedge (2 - |x - y|)$, Poincaré and the doubling property are easily obtained. So the Gaussian process $Z_x(\omega)_{x \in [-1, 1]}$ associated to $\frac{1}{2}K(x, y)$ is a Brownian field with respect to ρ .

When we restrict $\forall x, y \in [0, 1], \rho(x, y) = |x - y|$.

So if we look to $W_x = Z_x - Z_0$ restricted to $x \in [0, 1]$ we get the classical Brownian Motion

$(W_0 = 0, \mathbb{E}(W_x - W_y)^2 = |x - y|)$ and we get its regularity as a by-product.

Gaussian field, Positive Definite (P.D.) functions.

Let X a set. A gaussian field on X is a family of real random variables (R.V.) $(Z_x(\omega))_{x \in X}$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \forall \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Z_{x_i}$$

is a centered Gaussian R.V.. The "law " of the process is completely determined (because of Gaussianity) by the covariance kernel

$$K(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(Z_x Z_y)$$

$K(x, y)$ is **real positive definite (P.D.)** : $K(x, y) = K(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \forall \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j K(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

Reciprocally to a real P.D. function $K(x, y)$ on $X \times X$ there always exists a Gaussian process Z_x such that $K(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(Z_x Z_y)$.

Gaussian field, Negative Definite (N.D.) functions.

To each D.P $K(x, y)$, (or Gaussian field Z_x) one can associate

$$\Psi(x, y)(= \psi_K(x, y)) = \mathbb{E}(Z_x - Z_y)^2 = K(x, x) + K(y, y) - 2K(x, y)$$

$\psi(x, y)$ is **Real Negative Definite (N.D.)** : $\psi(x, y) = \psi(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(x, x) \equiv 0$

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, \forall \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j \psi(x_i, x_j) \leq 0$$

Let $\psi(x, y) = \psi(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(z, z) \equiv 0$. Let $e \in X$. Let us define :

$$K_e^\psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x, e) + \psi(y, e) - \psi(x, y))$$

Then ψ N.D $\iff K_e^\psi$ P.D.. Moreover if $\psi = \psi_K$, then

$$K_e^\psi(x, y) = K(x, y) + K(e, e) - K(x, e) - K(y, e) = \mathbb{E}[(Z_x - Z_e)(Z_y - Z_e)]$$

$$\text{and } \psi_{K_e^\psi} = \psi_K$$

The law of Gaussian fields and D.P. fonction are corresponding bijectively.

A N.D. kernel ψ does not determines a precise law of a Gaussian fields, unless we impose the cancelation of the process at a point $e \in X$. In this case the process is associated to the P.D. kernel :

$$K_e^\psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x, e) + \psi(y, e) - \psi(x, y))$$

But for N.D. kernel there is a functional calculus : If ψ is N.D.

$$\bullet \quad F(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1 - e^{-su}) d\mu(s) \implies F(\psi) \text{ is N.D..}$$

Ex: $\psi \text{ N.D.} \implies \forall 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \quad \psi^\alpha \text{ N.D.}$

$$\bullet \quad G(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{-su} d\mu(s) \implies G(\psi) \text{ is P.D..}$$

Ex: $\psi \text{ N.D.} \iff \forall 0 < t, \quad e^{-t\psi} \text{ P.D.}$

Brownian field. Fractional Brownian field.

Definition Let (X, d) a metric space. Let $\psi(x, y) = d(x, y)$.

IF ψ is a D.N. function then \exists (several) $(Z_x(\omega))_{x \in X}$ Gaussian fields verifying

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_x - Z_y)^2 = d(x, y).$$

Such field is A Brownian field. If we impose $Z_e = 0$ for some e then there a unique (in law) field : THE brownian field which cancel in e . This process is associated to the P.D. function

$$K_e^\psi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, e) + d(y, e) - d(x, y)\}$$

Fractional Brownian field If $d(x, y)$ is N.D then $\forall 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $(d(x, y))^\alpha$ is N.D. the corresponding processes are Fractional Brownian field, with uniqueness if we impose the cancelation in a fixed point $e \in X$.

Regularity.

Regularity Now let us suppose that X has a metric, (or more sophisticated) structure, so that we can define function spaces. For example if (X, d) is a metric space, the $lip(\alpha)$ – spaces $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ Let $K(x, y)$ D.P. and $Z_x(\omega)$ the associated Gaussian process. Is it possible to say :

$$x \in X \mapsto Z_x(\omega) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{for almost all } \omega)$$

belongs to some function space, from an analysis of the P.D. associated kernel $K(x, y)$?

Compact homogeneous spaces.

Let now M a compact Riemannian space and G a Lie group of isometry acting transitively on M . So $M \sim G/K$ where K is the subgroup of stabilizer of a fixed point $O \in M$. We are interested by G -invariant Gaussian process, or equivalently by continuous real, definite positive invariant functions :

$$\forall g \in G, \forall x, y \in M, \quad K(g.x, g.y) = K(x, y)$$

Two points homogeneous space.

If $\forall (x, y), (x', y') \in M \times M, \rho(x, y) = \rho(x', y'), \exists g \in G, g.x = x', g.y = y'$.

Then continuous real, definite positive invariant functions are :

$$K(x, y) = \sum_k \nu_k P_{\lambda_k}(x, y), \quad \nu_k \geq 0, \quad \sum_k \nu_k \dim(\mathcal{H}_k) < \infty,$$

($P_{\lambda_k}(x, y)$ is the projector on the eigenspace \mathcal{H}_{λ_k} of Δ corresponding to λ_k)
(Bochner-Godement theorem and characterization of spherical functions)

Sphere.

Let $\mathbb{S}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . This is the simplest example of two points homogeneous space. The geodesic distance is given by :

$$\forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^d, \quad d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta) = \arccos(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}})$$

We have the following spectral decomposition of the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^d}$:

$$\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^d) = \bigoplus \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k}, \quad \lambda_k = k(k + d - 1) = k(k + 2\nu),$$

$$P_{\lambda_k}(\xi, \eta) = L_k^d(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle), \quad L_k^d(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{S}^d|} \left(1 + \frac{k}{\nu}\right) C_k^\nu(x); \quad \nu = \frac{d-1}{2}$$

$$C_k^\nu \text{ Gegenbauer polynomial of degree } k : \quad \frac{1}{(1 - 2xr + r^2)^\nu} = \sum_k r^k C_k^\nu(x)$$

The invariant continuous definite positive functions are :

$$K(\xi, \eta) = \sum_k \nu_k L_k^d(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \sum_k \nu_k L_k^d(\cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)))$$

Schoenberg- Bingham result

Let f a continuous function defined on $[-1, 1]$. Then :
 $f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$ is a positive definite function on \mathbb{S}^d FOR ALL
 $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, if and only if

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n, \quad 0 \leq a_n; \quad \sum_n a_n = f(1) < \infty$$

So, for such a function :

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^d L_k^d(x), \quad 0 \leq a_k^d; \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^d L_k^d(1) = \sum_k a_k = f(1)$$

$$(0 < L_k^d(1) \sim k^{d-1})$$

$$\text{So } f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^d L_k^d(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = f(\cos(d_{S^d}(\xi, \eta)))$$

" Brownian " process on the sphere .

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}{j!} \frac{x^{2j+1}}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_j}$$

$$\text{where } (a)_j = a(a+1) \dots (a+j-1) = \frac{\Gamma(j+a)}{\Gamma(a)}$$

$$\text{By Gauss formula } \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j}{j! \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_j} = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

$$f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}}) \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{1}{2} (d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta))$$

$$\text{As, } |f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) - f(\langle \xi, \eta' \rangle)| \leq \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\eta', \eta)$$

One can build a Gaussian probability on W on $B_{\infty,1}^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $s < \frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$\int_{B_{\infty,1}^s(\mathbb{S}^d)} (\omega(\xi) - \omega(\eta))^2 dW(\omega) = d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)$$

The associated process $Z_\xi(\omega) = (\delta_\xi(\omega))_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^d}$ is almost surely in $B_{\infty,1}^s(\mathbb{S}^d) \subset Lip^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$ if $s < \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover

$$\text{Moreover } \mathbb{E}(|Z_\xi - Z_\eta|^2) = 2f(1) - 2f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)$$

Fractional brownian process

From the previous result we have :

$\psi(\xi, \eta) = d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)$ is an invariant negative definite kernel.

So from the general theory of definite negative kernel

$\forall 0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\psi_\alpha(\xi, \eta) = [d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)]^\alpha$ is an invariant negative definite kernel.

Then

$$K(\xi, \eta) = C - \frac{1}{2}(d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta))^\alpha, \quad C \text{ great enough}$$

Is an invariant definite positive kernel.

$$|K(\xi, \eta) - K(\xi, \eta')| = \frac{1}{2}|d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)^\alpha - d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta')^\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\eta', \eta)^\alpha$$

The associated process Z_ξ^α is almost surely in $Lip^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$, $s < \frac{\alpha}{2}$

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_\xi^\alpha - Z_\eta^\alpha)^2 = d_{\mathbb{S}^d}^\alpha(\xi, \eta)$$

General Gaussian process on the sphere.

THEOREM:

$$\text{If } f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n x^n; \quad 0 \leq B_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha}\right); \quad \alpha > 0$$

Then;

$$f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = f(\cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^d}(\xi, \eta)))$$

is an invariant definite positive function on \mathbb{S}^d by Schoenberg-Bingham theorem, and one can prove that: the associated centered Gaussian process $(Z_\xi(\omega))_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^d}$ is almost surely in $B_{\infty,1}^\gamma$, $\gamma < \alpha$.

For example if $0 < a, 0 < b, 0 < \alpha = c - a - b$ the hypergeometric function

$$F_{a,b;c}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

fulfill the condition of the previous theorem.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !