

Subgaussian estimators of the mean

Matthieu Lerasle

CNRS, Nice

with L. Devroye, G. Lugosi and R. Oliveira

Subgaussian estimators

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a family of probability measures P on $\mathbb{R}$ with respective mean E_P and variance σ_P^2 . Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $L > 0$ and $x_n > 0$.

Subgaussian estimators

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a family of probability measures P on $\mathbb{R}$ with respective mean E_P and variance σ_P^2 . Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $L > 0$ and $x_n > 0$.

Definition

1. A single- x L -subgaussian estimator on $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$ is a map $\hat{E} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, if $X_1^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim P^{\otimes n}$,

$$\forall x \leq x_n, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}(X_1^n, x) - E_P \right| > L\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{n}} \right) \leq e^{-x}.$$

Hereafter, we denote by $\hat{E}_x(.) = \hat{E}(., x)$.

Subgaussian estimators

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a family of probability measures P on $\mathbb{R}$ with respective mean E_P and variance σ_P^2 . Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $L > 0$ and $x_n > 0$.

Definition

1. A single- x L -subgaussian estimator on $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$ is a map

$\hat{E} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, if $X_1^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim P^{\otimes n}$,

$$\forall x \leq x_n, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}(X_1^n, x) - E_P \right| > L\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{n}} \right) \leq e^{-x}.$$

Hereafter, we denote by $\hat{E}_x(.) = \hat{E}(., x)$.

2. A multiple- x L -subgaussian estimator on $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$ is a map

$\hat{E} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, if $X_1^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim P^{\otimes n}$,

$$\forall x \leq x_n, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}(X_1^n) - E_P \right| > L\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{n}} \right) \leq e^{-x}.$$

Position of the problem

Our goal is to find, for some large classes $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_2$, the largest x_n for which there exists subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$.

Position of the problem

Our goal is to find, for some large classes $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_2$, the largest x_n for which there exists subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$.

Example of classes:

$$\mathcal{P}_2^\sigma = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } \sigma_P = \sigma\} \quad .$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2^{\leq \sigma} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } \sigma_P \leq \sigma\} \quad .$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa} = \left\{ P \in \mathcal{P}_4, \text{ s. t. } \frac{(P|X - E_P|^4)^{1/4}}{\sigma_P} \leq \kappa \right\} \quad .$$

Position of the problem

Our goal is to find, for some large classes $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_2$, the largest x_n for which there exists subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}, x_n)$.

Example of classes:

$$\mathcal{P}_2^\sigma = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } \sigma_P = \sigma\} \quad .$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2^{\leq \sigma} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } \sigma_P \leq \sigma\} \quad .$$

$$\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa} = \left\{ P \in \mathcal{P}_4, \text{ s. t. } \frac{(P|X - E_P|^4)^{1/4}}{\sigma_P} \leq \kappa \right\} \quad .$$

We provide a general method to *build* subgaussian estimators.

We also present a generic strategy to *prove* some impossibility results.

Behavior of the empirical mean

1. The central limit theorem ensures that, for any fixed x , the empirical mean $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is asymptotically subgaussian over $\mathcal{P}_2$, with $L = \sqrt{2}$.

Behavior of the empirical mean

1. The central limit theorem ensures that, for any fixed x , the empirical mean $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is asymptotically subgaussian over $\mathcal{P}_2$, with $L = \sqrt{2}$.
2. Catoni (2012) proved that $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is $\sqrt{2} + o(1)$ -subgaussian over $(\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa}, x_n)$ for any x_n such that $e^{x_n} = o(\log n)$.

Behavior of the empirical mean

1. The central limit theorem ensures that, for any fixed x , the empirical mean $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is asymptotically subgaussian over $\mathcal{P}_2$, with $L = \sqrt{2}$.
2. Catoni (2012) proved that $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is $\sqrt{2} + o(1)$ -subgaussian over $(\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa}, x_n)$ for any x_n such that $e^{x_n} = o(\log n)$.
3. Bernstein's inequality proves that $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is $\sqrt{2} + o(1)$ -subgaussian over $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}, x_n)$ if $\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ is a set of probability measures having exponential moments, for any $x_n = o(n)$.

Behavior of the empirical mean

1. The central limit theorem ensures that, for any fixed x , the empirical mean $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is asymptotically subgaussian over $\mathcal{P}_2$, with $L = \sqrt{2}$.
2. Catoni (2012) proved that $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is $\sqrt{2} + o(1)$ -subgaussian over $(\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa}, x_n)$ for any x_n such that $e^{x_n} = o(\log n)$.
3. Bernstein's inequality proves that $\hat{E}_{\text{emp}}$ is $\sqrt{2} + o(1)$ -subgaussian over $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}, x_n)$ if $\mathcal{P}_{\text{exp}}$ is a set of probability measures having exponential moments, for any $x_n = o(n)$.
4. The rate $o(n)$ for x_n in the last result cannot be improved unless P has subgaussian tails by the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem.

The median of mean principle

Suppose to simplify that x divides n and let $B_1, \dots, B_x$ denotes a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into sets B_i with cardinality $|B_i| = n/x$.

The median of mean principle

Suppose to simplify that x divides n and let $B_1, \dots, B_x$ denotes a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into sets B_i with cardinality $|B_i| = n/x$.

For any i , let $Y_i = \frac{x}{n} \sum_{j \in B_i} X_j$, (the vector of means).

The median of mean principle

Suppose to simplify that x divides n and let $B_1, \dots, B_x$ denotes a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into sets B_i with cardinality $|B_i| = n/x$.

For any i , let $Y_i = \frac{x}{n} \sum_{j \in B_i} X_j$, (the vector of means).

Define $\hat{E}_x = \text{median}(Y_i)$ (the median of means).

The median of mean principle

Suppose to simplify that x divides n and let $B_1, \dots, B_x$ denotes a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into sets B_i with cardinality $|B_i| = n/x$.

For any i , let $Y_i = \frac{x}{n} \sum_{j \in B_i} X_j$, (the vector of means).

Define $\hat{E}_x = \text{median}(Y_i)$ (the median of means).

Lemma

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_x - E_P \right| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq e^{-x} .$$

Proof

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$\forall i, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{(2e)^2} .$$

Proof

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$\forall i, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{(2e)^2} .$$

Now by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_x - E_P \right| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\# \left\{ i, \text{ s. t. } |Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right\} \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Bin} \left(x, \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right) \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k \geq x/2} \binom{x}{k} \left(\frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^{x-k} \end{aligned}$$

Proof

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$\forall i, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{(2e)^2} .$$

Now by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_x - E_P \right| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\# \left\{ i, \text{ s. t. } |Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right\} \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Bin} \left(x, \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right) \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k \geq x/2} \binom{x}{k} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^{x-k}}_{\leq \frac{1}{(2e)^x}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$\forall i, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{(2e)^2} .$$

Now by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_x - E_P \right| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\# \left\{ i, \text{ s. t. } |Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right\} \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Bin} \left(x, \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right) \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \underbrace{\sum_{k \geq x/2} \binom{x}{k}}_{\leq 2^x} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^{x-k}}_{\leq \frac{1}{(2e)^x}} \end{aligned}$$

Proof

By Tchebycheff's inequality

$$\forall i, \quad \mathbb{P} \left(|Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{(2e)^2} .$$

Now by definition

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_x - E_P \right| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\# \left\{ i, \text{ s. t. } |Y_i - E_P| > 2e\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x}{n}} \right\} \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\text{Bin} \left(x, \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right) \geq \frac{x}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{\underbrace{k \geq x/2}_{\leq 2^x}} \binom{x}{k} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2e)^2} \right)^{x-k}}_{\leq \frac{1}{(2e)^x}} \leq e^{-x} . \end{aligned}$$

The confidence intervals method

Suppose $\sigma_p^2 = \sigma^2$ is *known*.

The confidence intervals method

Suppose $\sigma_P^2 = \sigma^2$ is *known*.

Using the median of means principle, one can build, for any $k \leq Cn$, a confidence interval $\hat{I}_k = \left[\hat{E}_k \pm L\sigma\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \right]$ for E_P with confidence level e^{-k} .

The confidence intervals method

Suppose $\sigma_P^2 = \sigma^2$ is *known*.

Using the median of means principle, one can build, for any $k \leq Cn$, a confidence interval $\hat{l}_k = \left[\hat{E}_k \pm L\sigma\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \right]$ for E_P with confidence level e^{-k} .

Let

$$\hat{k} = \inf \left\{ k \leq Cn, \text{ s. t. } \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{l}_j \neq \emptyset \right\} .$$

The confidence intervals method

Suppose $\sigma_P^2 = \sigma^2$ is *known*.

Using the median of means principle, one can build, for any $k \leq Cn$, a confidence interval $\hat{I}_k = \left[\hat{E}_k \pm L\sigma\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \right]$ for E_P with confidence level e^{-k} .

Let

$$\hat{k} = \inf \left\{ k \leq Cn, \text{ s. t. } \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

$\bigcap_{j=\hat{k}}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j$ is a non-empty closed interval, let $\hat{E}$ denote its midpoint.

The confidence intervals method

Suppose $\sigma_P^2 = \sigma^2$ is *known*.

Using the median of means principle, one can build, for any $k \leq Cn$, a confidence interval $\hat{I}_k = \left[\hat{E}_k \pm L\sigma\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \right]$ for E_P with confidence level e^{-k} .

Let

$$\hat{k} = \inf \left\{ k \leq Cn, \text{ s. t. } \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

$\bigcap_{j=\hat{k}}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j$ is a non-empty closed interval, let $\hat{E}$ denote its midpoint.

Theorem

$\hat{E}$ is a multiple- x $2\sqrt{2}L$ -subgaussian estimator for $(\mathcal{P}_2^{\sigma^2}, Cn - 2)$.

Proof

Let $x \in [0, Cn - 2]$ and let $k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + 2$.

Proof

Let $x \in [0, Cn - 2]$ and let $k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + 2$. By construction,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{Cn} \mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq e^{1-k} \leq e^{-x} .$$

Proof

Let $x \in [0, Cn - 2]$ and let $k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + 2$. By construction,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{Cn} \mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq e^{1-k} \leq e^{-x} .$$

When $E_P \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j$, then $E_P \in \widehat{I}_k$ and $\bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j \neq \emptyset$ so $\widehat{k} \leq k$.

Proof

Let $x \in [0, Cn - 2]$ and let $k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + 2$. By construction,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{Cn} \mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \widehat{I}_j \right) \leq e^{1-k} \leq e^{-x} .$$

When $E_P \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j$, then $E_P \in \widehat{I}_k$ and $\bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j \neq \emptyset$ so $\widehat{k} \leq k$.

When $\widehat{k} \leq k$, $\widehat{E} \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \widehat{I}_j$, so $\widehat{E} \in \widehat{I}_k$.

Proof

Let $x \in [0, Cn - 2]$ and let $k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor + 2$. By construction,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{Cn} \mathbb{P} \left(E_P \notin \hat{I}_j \right) \leq e^{1-k} \leq e^{-x} .$$

When $E_P \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j$, then $E_P \in \hat{I}_k$ and $\bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j \neq \emptyset$ so $\hat{k} \leq k$.

When $\hat{k} \leq k$, $\hat{E} \in \bigcap_{j=k}^{Cn} \hat{I}_j$, so $\hat{E} \in \hat{I}_k$.

When both E_P and $\hat{E}$ belong to $\hat{I}_k$,

$$|\hat{E} - E_P| \leq 2L\sigma \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}} \leq 2L\sigma \sqrt{\frac{x+2}{n}} \leq 2L\sqrt{2}\sigma \sqrt{\frac{1+x}{n}} .$$

Other classes $\mathcal{P}$

The method of confidence intervals can be used to build multiple- x subgaussian intervals

1. over the class $\mathcal{P}_2^{\text{sym}} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } X \sim P \implies 2E_P - X \sim P\},$

Other classes $\mathcal{P}$

The method of confidence intervals can be used to build multiple- x subgaussian intervals

1. over the class $\mathcal{P}_2^{\text{sym}} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_2, \text{ s. t. } X \sim P \implies 2E_P - X \sim P\},$
2. over the classes $\mathcal{P}_\alpha^{\leq \eta} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_\alpha, \text{ s. t. } \mathbb{E}_P[|X - E_P|^\alpha] \leq (\eta\sigma_P)^\alpha\},$ for $\alpha = 3, 4$ and $\eta > 0.$

Laplace distributions

The method of confidence intervals allows to build subgaussian estimators with $x_n \geq cn$ assuming only a *known* variance of the data. These clearly outperform the empirical mean.

Laplace distributions

The method of confidence intervals allows to build subgaussian estimators with $x_n \geq cn$ assuming only a *known* variance of the data. These clearly outperform the empirical mean.

We show now that the order n of x_n is the best possible.

Laplace distributions

The method of confidence intervals allows to build subgaussian estimators with $x_n \geq cn$ assuming only a *known* variance of the data. These clearly outperform the empirical mean.

We show now that the order n of x_n is the best possible.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let La_λ denote the distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with density

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-|x-\lambda|}}{2} .$$

Laplace distributions

The method of confidence intervals allows to build subgaussian estimators with $x_n \geq cn$ assuming only a *known* variance of the data. These clearly outperform the empirical mean.

We show now that the order n of x_n is the best possible.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let La_λ denote the distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with density

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-|x-\lambda|}}{2} .$$

This distribution satisfies $E_{\text{La}_\lambda} = \lambda$ and $\sigma_{\text{La}_\lambda}^2 = 2$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}} = \{ \text{La}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}$.

Laplace distributions

The method of confidence intervals allows to build subgaussian estimators with $x_n \geq cn$ assuming only a *known* variance of the data. These clearly outperform the empirical mean.

We show now that the order n of x_n is the best possible.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let La_λ denote the distribution on $\mathbb{R}$ with density

$$f_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-|x-\lambda|}}{2}.$$

This distribution satisfies $E_{\text{La}_\lambda} = \lambda$ and $\sigma_{\text{La}_\lambda}^2 = 2$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}} = \{ \text{La}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}$.

Theorem

Let $L \geq \sqrt{2}$ and $C = 9L^2$. There doesn't exist single- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}}, Cn)$.

Proof

By contradiction let $x = 9L^2n - 1$ and let $\hat{E}_x$ be a single- x ,
 L -subgaussian estimator. Let $\lambda = 2L\sqrt{2\frac{1+x}{n}}$, $X_1^n \sim \text{La}_0^{\otimes n}$, $Y_1^n \sim \text{La}_\lambda^{\otimes n}$.

Proof

By contradiction let $x = 9L^2n - 1$ and let $\hat{E}_x$ be a single- x , L -subgaussian estimator. Let $\lambda = 2L\sqrt{2\frac{1+x}{n}}$, $X_1^n \sim \text{La}_0^{\otimes n}$, $Y_1^n \sim \text{La}_\lambda^{\otimes n}$.
By the triangular inequality

$$\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \prod_{i=1}^n f_0(x_i) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\lambda(x_i) .$$

Proof

By contradiction let $x = 9L^2n - 1$ and let $\hat{E}_x$ be a single- x , L -subgaussian estimator. Let $\lambda = 2L\sqrt{2\frac{1+x}{n}}$, $X_1^n \sim \text{La}_0^{\otimes n}$, $Y_1^n \sim \text{La}_\lambda^{\otimes n}$.
By the triangular inequality

$$\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \prod_{i=1}^n f_0(x_i) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\lambda(x_i) .$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(X_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(Y_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) .$$

Proof

By contradiction let $x = 9L^2n - 1$ and let $\hat{E}_x$ be a single- x , L -subgaussian estimator. Let $\lambda = 2L\sqrt{2\frac{1+x}{n}}$, $X_1^n \sim \text{La}_0^{\otimes n}$, $Y_1^n \sim \text{La}_\lambda^{\otimes n}$. By the triangular inequality

$$\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \prod_{i=1}^n f_0(x_i) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\lambda(x_i) .$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(X_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(Y_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) .$$

Thus, by definition of λ and the subgaussian property.

$$e^{-x} \geq e^{-\lambda n} (1 - e^{-x}) .$$

Proof

By contradiction let $x = 9L^2n - 1$ and let $\hat{E}_x$ be a single- x , L -subgaussian estimator. Let $\lambda = 2L\sqrt{2\frac{1+x}{n}}$, $X_1^n \sim \text{La}_0^{\otimes n}$, $Y_1^n \sim \text{La}_\lambda^{\otimes n}$. By the triangular inequality

$$\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \prod_{i=1}^n f_0(x_i) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \prod_{i=1}^n f_\lambda(x_i) .$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(X_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \geq e^{-\lambda n} \mathbb{P} \left(|\hat{E}_x(Y_1^n) - E_P| > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) .$$

Thus, by definition of λ and the subgaussian property.

$$e^{-x} \geq e^{-\lambda n} (1 - e^{-x}) .$$

Again by definition of λ and x , this implies $e^{(9-6\sqrt{2})L^2n} \leq e^{1+\log 2}$ which is wrong for any $n \geq 2$ since $L \geq \sqrt{2}$.

multiple- x and single- x are different notions

Theorem

For any $L \geq \sqrt{2}$ and any $x_n \rightarrow \infty$, there doesn't exist multiple- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, x_n)$.

The proof relies on the same kind of minimax arguments, but we used the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Po}}$ of Poisson distributions instead of the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}}$.

multiple- x and single- x are different notions

Theorem

For any $L \geq \sqrt{2}$ and any $x_n \rightarrow \infty$, there doesn't exist multiple- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, x_n)$.

The proof relies on the same kind of minimax arguments, but we used the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Po}}$ of Poisson distributions instead of the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}}$.

Consequences

1. Multiple- x and single- x are different notions (by the median of means principle, there *exists* single- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, cn)$).

multiple- x and single- x are different notions

Theorem

For any $L \geq \sqrt{2}$ and any $x_n \rightarrow \infty$, there doesn't exist multiple- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, x_n)$.

The proof relies on the same kind of minimax arguments, but we used the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{Po}}$ of Poisson distributions instead of the class $\mathcal{P}_{\text{La}}$.

Consequences

1. Multiple- x and single- x are different notions (by the median of means principle, there *exists* single- x L -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, cn)$).
2. One cannot derive multiple- x from single- x subgaussian estimators.

Getting optimal L

Catoni (2012) proved that

1. $L = \sqrt{2}$ is optimal on any class $\mathcal{P} \supset (\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2))_{m \in \mathbb{R}}$.

Getting optimal L

Catoni (2012) proved that

1. $L = \sqrt{2}$ is optimal on any class $\mathcal{P} \supset (\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2))_{m \in \mathbb{R}}$.
2. there exists single- x $(\sqrt{2} + o(1))$ -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, \frac{n}{2} - 1)$.

Getting optimal L

Catoni (2012) proved that

1. $L = \sqrt{2}$ is optimal on any class $\mathcal{P} \supset (\mathcal{N}(m, \sigma^2))_{m \in \mathbb{R}}$.
2. there exists single- x $(\sqrt{2} + o(1))$ -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_2, \frac{n}{2} - 1)$.

Theorem

For any $x_n = o\left(\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right)^{2/3}\right)$, there exists multiple- x $(\sqrt{2} + o(1))$ -subgaussian estimators over $(\mathcal{P}_4^{\leq \kappa}, x_n)$.

I: Truncation of the data

For any (E, R) , define

$$\psi_{E,R}(x) = \mu + \left(\frac{R}{|x - E|} \wedge 1 \right) (x - E) .$$

I: Truncation of the data

For any (E, R) , define

$$\psi_{E,R}(x) = \mu + \left(\frac{R}{|x - E|} \wedge 1 \right) (x - E) .$$

Lemma

If $E = E_P$ and $R = \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{x_n}}$, then, for any $x \leq x_n$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{E,R}(X_i) - E_P \right| > (\sqrt{2} + o(1)) \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \right) \leq 1 - 2e^{-t} .$$

I: Truncation of the data

For any (E, R) , define

$$\psi_{E,R}(x) = \mu + \left(\frac{R}{|x - E|} \wedge 1 \right) (x - E) .$$

Lemma

If $E = E_P$ and $R = \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{x_n}}$, then, for any $x \leq x_n$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{E,R}(X_i) - E_P \right| > (\sqrt{2} + o(1)) \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \right) \leq 1 - 2e^{-t} .$$

Proof: exponential Markov's inequality.

II: an insensitivity argument

Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ (E, R), \text{ s. t. } |E - E_P| \leq \varepsilon_1 \sigma_P, \left| R - \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2x_n}} \right| \leq \varepsilon_2 \sigma_P \right\}$ and let

$$\Delta_{E,R} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_{E,R}(X_i) - \psi_{E_P, \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2x_n}}}(X_i)) \ .$$

II: an insensitivity argument

Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ (E, R), \text{ s. t. } |E - E_P| \leq \varepsilon_1 \sigma_P, \left| R - \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2X_n}} \right| \leq \varepsilon_2 \sigma_P \right\}$ and let

$$\Delta_{E,R} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_{E,R}(X_i) - \psi_{E_P, \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2X_n}}}(X_i)) .$$

Lemma

For any $\varepsilon_1 = o(1)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = O(1)$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\forall (E, R) \in \mathcal{R}, \quad |\Delta_{E,R}| \leq o(1) \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-t} .$$

II: an insensitivity argument

Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ (E, R), \text{ s. t. } |E - E_P| \leq \varepsilon_1 \sigma_P, \left| R - \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2X_n}} \right| \leq \varepsilon_2 \sigma_P \right\}$ and let

$$\Delta_{E,R} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_{E,R}(X_i) - \psi_{E_P, \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{n}{2X_n}}}(X_i)) .$$

Lemma

For any $\varepsilon_1 = o(1)$ and $\varepsilon_2 = O(1)$,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\forall (E, R) \in \mathcal{R}, \quad |\Delta_{E,R}| \leq o(1) \sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{t}{n}} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-t} .$$

Proof: Chaining argument+Bernstein's inequality.

III: Median of means principles

Let $\hat{E}_{x_n}, \hat{\sigma}_{x_n}^2$ denote a median of means estimator of E_P and a median of "U-statistics" estimator of σ_P^2 , built with $x_n + 1$ blocks.

III: Median of means principles

Let $\hat{E}_{x_n}, \hat{\sigma}_{x_n}^2$ denote a median of means estimator of E_P and a median of "U-statistics" estimator of σ_P^2 , built with $x_n + 1$ blocks.

Lemma

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{E}_{x_n} - E_P \right| > L\sigma_P \sqrt{\frac{x_n}{n}} \text{ and } \sqrt{\frac{n}{2x_n}} |\hat{\sigma}_{x_n} - \sigma_P| \leq \frac{\kappa}{2} \right) \geq 1 - e^{-x_n} .$$

Some references

- [1] Catoni (2012). Challenging the empirical mean and empirical variance: a deviation study. Annales de l'IHP P&S.
- [2] Hsu and Sabato (2014). Heavy-tailed regression with a generalized median-of-means. ICML.
- [3] Nemirovsky and Yudin (1983). Problem complexity and method efficiency in optimization. Wiley interscience.

Thanks