# Set Theory and C\*-algebras: automorphisms of continuous quotients

# Alessandro Vignati IMJ-PRG - Université Paris Diderot

# 14th International Workshop on Set Theory Luminy, 8-13 October 2017

Alessandro VignatilMJ-PRG - Université Paris Diderot Set Theory and C\*-algebras: automorphisms of continuous quotients

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

Can the homeomorphisms of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  be "described"?

Alessandro VignatilMJ-PRG - Université Paris Diderot Set Theory and C\*-algebras: automorphisms of continuous quotients

< □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の Q @ 2/14

Can the homeomorphisms of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  be "described"?

An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  is "describable" (trivial) if there is an almost permutation (i.e., bijection  $f : \omega \setminus n_1 \to \omega \setminus n_2$ ) such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(A) \mid A \in x\}$  for all  $x \in \beta \omega \setminus \omega$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

Can the homeomorphisms of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  be "described"?

An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  is "describable" (trivial) if there is an almost permutation (i.e., bijection  $f : \omega \setminus n_1 \to \omega \setminus n_2$ ) such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(A) \mid A \in x\}$  for all  $x \in \beta \omega \setminus \omega$ .

## Theorem

- (Rudin) Assume CH. Then there are nontrivial homeomorphisms of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ . In fact there are  $2^{\aleph_1} > \mathfrak{c}$  automorphisms.
- (Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic) It is consistent that all homeomorphisms of βω \ ω are trivial. In fact, it follows from OCA + MA<sub>ℵ1</sub>.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

Can the homeomorphisms of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  be "described"?

An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$  is "describable" (trivial) if there is a bijection  $f: \setminus \omega \setminus n_1 \to \omega \setminus n_2$  such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(A) \mid A \in x\}$  for all  $x \in \beta \omega \setminus \omega$ .

#### Theorem (Rudin, Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic)

The automorphisms structure of the C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $\ell_{\infty}/c_0$  is independent of ZFC.

Let X be locally compact and second countable. An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta X \setminus X$  is trivial if there are compact sets  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq X$  and an homeomorphism  $f: X \setminus K_1 \to X \setminus K_2$  such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(C) \mid C \in x\}$ .

《曰》《聞》《臣》《臣》

э.

Let X be locally compact and second countable. An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta X \setminus X$  is trivial if there are compact sets  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq X$  and an homeomorphism  $f: X \setminus K_1 \to X \setminus K_2$  such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(C) \mid C \in x\}$ .

# Question

Let X be locally compact, noncompact, and second-countable. Are all homeomorphisms of  $\beta X \setminus X$  trivial?

(日)

590

Let X be locally compact and second countable. An homeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\beta X \setminus X$  is trivial if there are compact sets  $K_1, K_2 \subseteq X$  and an homeomorphism  $f: X \setminus K_1 \to X \setminus K_2$  such that  $\phi(x) = \{f(C) \mid C \in x\}$ .

#### Question

Let X be locally compact, noncompact, and second-countable. Are all homeomorphisms of  $\beta X \setminus X$  trivial?

#### Alternatively

## Question

Let X be locally compact, noncompact, and second-countable. Are all automorphisms of the C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra  $C_b(X)/C_0(X)$  "describable"?

	$CH \Rightarrow \exists$ nontrivial	Forcing Axioms $\Rightarrow$ all trivial
$X = \mathbb{N}$	Rudin	Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic
dim(X) = 0	Parovicenko	Farah, Farah-McKenney

	$CH \Rightarrow \exists$ nontrivial	Forcing Axioms $\Rightarrow$ all trivial
$X = \mathbb{N}$	Rudin	Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic
dim(X) = 0	Parovicenko	Farah, Farah-McKenney
$X = \bigsqcup X_i, X_i$ cpct	Coskey-Farah	McKenney-V.

	$CH \Rightarrow \exists$ nontrivial	Forcing Axioms $\Rightarrow$ all trivial
$X = \mathbb{N}$	Rudin	Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic
dim(X) = 0	Parovicenko	Farah, Farah-McKenney
$X = \bigsqcup X_i, X_i$ cpct	Coskey-Farah	McKenney-V.
$X = [0,1), X = \mathbb{R}$	Yu (but see K.P. Hart)	partially Farah-Shelah

	$CH \Rightarrow \exists$ nontrivial	Forcing Axioms $\Rightarrow$ all trivial
$X = \mathbb{N}$	Rudin	Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic
dim(X) = 0	Parovicenko	Farah, Farah-McKenney
$X = \bigsqcup X_i, X_i$ cpct	Coskey-Farah	McKenney-V.
$X = [0,1), X = \mathbb{R}$	Yu (but see K.P. Hart)	partially Farah-Shelah
X manifold	V.	partially Farah-Shelah

	$CH \Rightarrow \exists$ nontrivial	Forcing Axioms $\Rightarrow$ all trivial
$X = \mathbb{N}$	Rudin	Shelah, Shelah-Steprans, Velickovic
dim(X) = 0	Parovicenko	Farah, Farah-McKenney
$X = \bigsqcup X_i, X_i$ cpct	Coskey-Farah	McKenney-V.
$X=[0,1),\ X=\mathbb{R}$	Yu (but see K.P. Hart)	partially Farah-Shelah
X manifold	V.	partially Farah-Shelah

Fix  $n \ge 2$ . There is a specific space  $X_n$  of dimension n such that we don't know whether CH implies the existence of nontrivial homeomorphisms of  $\beta X_n \setminus X_n$ .

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

𝒫𝔅<sup>−</sup> 5/14

÷.

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

《曰》 《聞》 《臣》 《臣》

æ

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

# Definition

If A is a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, there is a universal unital object  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ , the multiplier algebra, which is the largest unital algebra in which A is a "dense" ideal.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

# Definition

If A is a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, there is a universal unital object  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ , the multiplier algebra, which is the largest unital algebra in which A is a "dense" ideal. The algebra  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  is the corona of A.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

# Definition

If A is a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, there is a universal unital object  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ , the multiplier algebra, which is the largest unital algebra in which A is a "dense" ideal. The algebra  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  is the corona of A.

- If A is unital,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = A$ ;
- If  $A = C_0(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = C(\beta X)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A \cong C(\beta X \setminus X)$ ;

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

## Definition

If A is a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, there is a universal unital object  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ , the multiplier algebra, which is the largest unital algebra in which A is a "dense" ideal. The algebra  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  is the corona of A.

- If A is unital,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = A$ ;
- If  $A = C_0(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = C(\beta X)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A \cong C(\beta X \setminus X)$ ;
- If  $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = \mathcal{B}(H)$ . The corona is the Calkin algebra;

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・

A C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is a <sup>\*</sup>-closed Banach subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ , for some  $H = \ell^2(\kappa)$ .

There is a noncommutative analog of the Čech-Stone reminder.

## Definition

If A is a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra, there is a universal unital object  $\mathcal{M}(A)$ , the multiplier algebra, which is the largest unital algebra in which A is a "dense" ideal. The algebra  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  is the corona of A.

• If A is unital, 
$$\mathcal{M}(A) = A$$
;

• If 
$$A = C_0(X)$$
,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = C(\beta X)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A \cong C(\beta X \setminus X)$ ;

- If  $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(A) = \mathcal{B}(H)$ . The corona is the Calkin algebra;
- If  $A_n$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, define

$$B = \bigoplus A_n = \{(a_n) \mid ||a_n|| \to 0\}, \ C = \prod A_n = \{a_n \mid \sup ||a_n|| < \infty\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}(B) = C$ .  $\prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n$  is said the **reduced product**.

Let A be a nonuntail separable  $C^*$ -algebra. Can the automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  be described?

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ▶ < 三 ♪ ○ < ?/14

Let A be a nonuntail separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. Can the automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$  be described?

#### Conjecture

 $CH \Rightarrow No. PFA \Rightarrow Yes.$ 

## Theorem (Phillips-Weaver, Farah)

If  $A = \mathcal{K}(H)$ , the answer is independent of ZFC.

Let  $A_n, B_n$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. An isomorphism  $\Phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is trivial if there is an almost permutation fand maps  $\phi_n: A_n \to B_{f(n)}$  making the following commute



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● のへで

Assume  $OCA_{\infty}$  and  $MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Let  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  be unital separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\Phi \colon \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  an isomorphism, and suppose that (after a certain  $m \in \omega$ )

- each A<sub>n</sub> is amenable (as a Banach algebra)
- no  $A_n$  or  $B_n$  can be written as  $C_n \bigoplus D_n$ , where  $C_n, D_n$  are unital.

Then  $\Phi$  is trivial.

Assume  $OCA_{\infty}$  and  $MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Let  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  be unital separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\Phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  an isomorphism, and suppose that (after a certain  $m \in \omega$ )

- each A<sub>n</sub> is amenable (as a Banach algebra)
- no  $A_n$  or  $B_n$  can be written as  $C_n \bigoplus D_n$ , where  $C_n, D_n$  are unital.

Then  $\Phi$  is trivial.

#### Theorem (Farah-Shelah)

If  $A_n$  are unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n$  is countably saturated.

э.

Assume  $OCA_{\infty}$  and  $MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Let  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$  be unital separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\Phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  an isomorphism, and suppose that (after a certain  $m \in \omega$ )

- each A<sub>n</sub> is amenable (as a Banach algebra)
- no  $A_n$  or  $B_n$  can be written as  $C_n \bigoplus D_n$ , where  $C_n, D_n$  are unital.

Then  $\Phi$  is trivial.

#### Theorem (Farah-Shelah)

If  $A_n$  are unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n$  is countably saturated.

#### Theorem

Let  $A_n$  be separable amenable and unital. Then whether all automorphisms of  $\prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n$  are trivial is independent of ZFC.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э.

Alessandro VignatilMJ-PRG - Université Paris Diderot Set Theory and C\*-algebras: automorphisms of continuous quotients

#### Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

#### Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

• This is related to continuous model theory. Under CH, elementary equivalence of reduced products is equivalent to isomorphism (countable saturation).

## Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

- This is related to continuous model theory. Under CH, elementary equivalence of reduced products is equivalent to isomorphism (countable saturation).
- If there is m that divides infinitely many n<sub>i</sub> but doesn't divide infinitely many k<sub>i</sub>, then M({n<sub>i</sub>}) ≠ M({k<sub>i</sub>}.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

- This is related to continuous model theory. Under CH, elementary equivalence of reduced products is equivalent to isomorphism (countable saturation).
- If there is m that divides infinitely many n<sub>i</sub> but doesn't divide infinitely many k<sub>i</sub>, then M({n<sub>i</sub>}) ≠ M({k<sub>i</sub>}.
- This is the only information we have so far.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

- This is related to continuous model theory. Under CH, elementary equivalence of reduced products is equivalent to isomorphism (countable saturation).
- If there is m that divides infinitely many n<sub>i</sub> but doesn't divide infinitely many k<sub>i</sub>, then M({n<sub>i</sub>}) ≠ M({k<sub>i</sub>}.
- This is the only information we have so far.

# Theorem (Folklore)

For every sequence  $n_i$  there is a subsequence  $n_{k_i}$  such that if  $X, Y \subseteq \omega$  are infinite then

$$\mathbb{M}(\{n_{k_i} \mid i \in X\}) \equiv \mathbb{M}(\{n_{k_i} \mid i \in Y\})$$

## Question

If  $n_i$  and  $k_i$  are different naturals, can  $\mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  be isomorphic to  $\mathbb{M}(\{k_i\})$ ?

- This is related to continuous model theory. Under CH, elementary equivalence of reduced products is equivalent to isomorphism (countable saturation).
- If there is m that divides infinitely many n<sub>i</sub> but doesn't divide infinitely many k<sub>i</sub>, then M({n<sub>i</sub>}) ≠ M({k<sub>i</sub>}.
- This is the only information we have so far.

# Theorem (Folklore)

For every sequence  $n_i$  there is a subsequence  $n_{k_i}$  such that if  $X, Y \subseteq \omega$  are infinite then

$$\mathbb{M}(\{n_{k_i} \mid i \in X\}) \equiv \mathbb{M}(\{n_{k_i} \mid i \in Y\})$$

## Theorem (Folklore+Ghasemi)

Assume CH. For every sequence  $\{n_i\}$  there are infinite dimensional C<sup>\*</sup>-algebras  $A_n$  such that  $\prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \cong \mathbb{M}(\{n_i\})$  (all such isomorphisms are nontrivial).

Alessandro VignatilMJ-PRG - Université Paris Diderot Set Theory and C\*-algebras: automorphisms of continuous quotients

æ

#### Problem

Suppose  $A_n, B_n$  are unital and separable and  $\phi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  are maps inducing an isomorphism  $\Phi \colon \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ .

- Can we find isomorphisms  $\psi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  such that  $\pi(\prod \psi_n) = \psi$ ? (up to a finite set)
- Output Can we at least say that A<sub>n</sub> and B<sub>n</sub> must be isomorphic? (up to a finite set)

イロト イ部ト イモト イモト 一日

#### Problem

Suppose  $A_n, B_n$  are unital and separable and  $\phi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  are maps inducing an isomorphism  $\Phi \colon \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ .

- Can we find isomorphisms  $\psi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  such that  $\pi(\prod \psi_n)) = \psi$ ? (up to a finite set)
- Output Can we at least say that A<sub>n</sub> and B<sub>n</sub> must be isomorphic? (up to a finite set)

#### Theorem

Let  $\phi_n, A_n, B_n$  as above

• Yes to 1, if each A<sub>n</sub> is finite-dimensional [McKenney-V.], or abelian [Šemrl].

#### Problem

Suppose  $A_n, B_n$  are unital and separable and  $\phi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  are maps inducing an isomorphism  $\Phi \colon \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ .

- Can we find isomorphisms  $\psi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  such that  $\pi(\prod \psi_n) = \psi$ ? (up to a finite set)
- Output Can we at least say that A<sub>n</sub> and B<sub>n</sub> must be isomorphic? (up to a finite set)

#### Theorem

Let  $\phi_n, A_n, B_n$  as above

- Yes to 1, if each A<sub>n</sub> is finite-dimensional [McKenney-V.], or abelian [Šemrl].
- If each A<sub>n</sub> is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras (AF), then Yes to 2. In particular all B<sub>n</sub> must be AF, and A<sub>n</sub> ≅ B<sub>n</sub> (after a given m) [McKenney-V.]

(日) (部) (문) (문) (문)

# Problem

Suppose  $A_n, B_n$  are unital and separable and  $\phi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  are maps inducing an isomorphism  $\Phi \colon \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$ .

- Can we find isomorphisms  $\psi_n \colon A_n \to B_n$  such that  $\pi(\prod \psi_n)) = \psi$ ? (up to a finite set)
- Can we at least say that A<sub>n</sub> and B<sub>n</sub> must be isomorphic? (up to a finite set)

# Theorem

Let  $\phi_n, A_n, B_n$  as above

- Yes to 1, if each A<sub>n</sub> is finite-dimensional [McKenney-V.], or abelian [Šemrl].
- If each A<sub>n</sub> is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras (AF), then Yes to 2. In particular all B<sub>n</sub> must be AF, and A<sub>n</sub> ≅ B<sub>n</sub> (after a given m) [McKenney-V.]

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Suppose that  $\phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is an isomorphism and that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  cannot be written as  $C_n \oplus D_n$ , and they are all unital and separable. Suppose also that each  $A_n$  is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras, or is abelian. Then there is an almost permutation f such that up to finite sets

$$A_n \cong B_{f(n)}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Suppose that  $\phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is an isomorphism and that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  cannot be written as  $C_n \oplus D_n$ , and they are all unital and separable. Suppose also that each  $A_n$  is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras, or is abelian. Then there is an almost permutation f such that up to finite sets

$$A_n \cong B_{f(n)}$$

If each  $A_n$  is finite-dimensional, or abelian, then  $\phi$  admits a lift which is an isomorphism (up to finite sets).

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Suppose that  $\phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is an isomorphism and that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  cannot be written as  $C_n \oplus D_n$ , and they are all unital and separable. Suppose also that each  $A_n$  is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras, or is abelian. Then there is an almost permutation f such that up to finite sets

$$A_n \cong B_{f(n)}$$

If each  $A_n$  is finite-dimensional, or abelian, then  $\phi$  admits a lift which is an isomorphism (up to finite sets).

For reduced products of matrices this was previously proved by McKenney. Also, again in case  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are matrices, was proved to be consistent (using forcing) by Ghasemi.

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Suppose that  $\phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is an isomorphism and that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  cannot be written as  $C_n \oplus D_n$ , and they are all unital and separable. Suppose also that each  $A_n$  is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras, or is abelian. Then there is an almost permutation f such that up to finite sets

$$A_n \cong B_{f(n)}$$

If each  $A_n$  is finite-dimensional, or abelian, then  $\phi$  admits a lift which is an isomorphism (up to finite sets).

For reduced products of matrices this was previously proved by McKenney. Also, again in case  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are matrices, was proved to be consistent (using forcing) by Ghasemi.

#### Corollary

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Let  $X_i, Y_i$  be metrizable connected compact spaces,  $X = \bigsqcup X_i$  and  $Y = \bigsqcup Y_i$ . Then  $\beta X \setminus X \cong \beta Y \setminus Y$  if and only if there is an almost permutation f such that  $X_i \cong Y_{f(i)}$  (if f(i) is defined).

イロト イ部ト イモト イモト 一日

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Suppose that  $\phi: \prod A_n / \bigoplus A_n \to \prod B_n / \bigoplus B_n$  is an isomorphism and that  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  cannot be written as  $C_n \oplus D_n$ , and they are all unital and separable. Suppose also that each  $A_n$  is a limit of finite-dimensional algebras, or is abelian. Then there is an almost permutation f such that up to finite sets

$$A_n \cong B_{f(n)}$$

If each  $A_n$  is finite-dimensional, or abelian, then  $\phi$  admits a lift which is an isomorphism (up to finite sets).

For reduced products of matrices this was previously proved by McKenney. Also, again in case  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are matrices, was proved to be consistent (using forcing) by Ghasemi.

#### Corollary

Assume  $OCA_{\infty} + MA_{\aleph_1}$ . Let  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$  be metrizable connected compact spaces,  $X = \bigsqcup X_i$  and  $Y = \bigsqcup Y_i$ . Then  $\beta X \setminus X \cong \beta Y \setminus Y$  if and only if there is an almost permutation f such that  $X_i \cong Y_{f(i)}$  (if f(i) is defined). If each  $X_i$  is infinite this is not true under CH.

イロト イ部ト イモト イモト 一日



Thank you!



୬**୯** <sub>14/14</sub>

æ