

# Non-accretive Schrödinger operators and exponential decay of their eigenfunctions

**N. Raymond**

(joint work with **D. Krejčířík**, **J. Royer**, and **P. Siegl**)

June, 7th 2017



- 1 Context and motivations
  - From the self-adjoint world...
  - ... to the non-self-adjoint world
- 2 A representation theorem by Almgren-Helffer
  - How to define a nice operator?
  - How to apply the theorem?
- 3 Spectrum and non-self-adjoint Agmon estimates
  - Statements
  - Ideas of the proofs

- 1 Context and motivations
  - From the self-adjoint world...
  - ... to the non-self-adjoint world
- 2 A representation theorem by Almgren-Helffer
  - How to define a nice operator?
  - How to apply the theorem?
- 3 Spectrum and non-self-adjoint Agmon estimates
  - Statements
  - Ideas of the proofs

Let us consider the electromagnetic Schrödinger operator

$$(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + V \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega),$$

subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega$  and where

Let us consider the **electromagnetic Schrödinger operator**

$$(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + V \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega),$$

subject to **Dirichlet boundary conditions** on  $\partial\Omega$  and where

-  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,

Let us consider the **electromagnetic Schrödinger operator**

$$(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + V \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega),$$

subject to **Dirichlet boundary conditions** on  $\partial\Omega$  and where

- $\Omega$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,
- the functions  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{A} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are the scalar (electric) and (magnetic) vector potentials and they satisfy

$$(V, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let us consider the **electromagnetic Schrödinger operator**

$$(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})^2 + V \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega),$$

subject to **Dirichlet boundary conditions** on  $\partial\Omega$  and where

- $\Omega$  is an arbitrary open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,
- the functions  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbf{A} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are the scalar (electric) and (magnetic) vector potentials and they satisfy

$$(V, \mathbf{A}) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{C}^2(\bar{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^d).$$

**As in many talks of this conference, the important point is that  $V$  takes complex values.**

The aim of this talk is to describe the spectrum of this operator.



An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

- has a nice definition via a sesquilinear form  $Q$  and a representation theorem,

An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

- has a nice definition via a sesquilinear form  $Q$  and a representation theorem,
- is not bounded from below,

An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

- has a nice definition via a sesquilinear form  $Q$  and a representation theorem,
- is not bounded from below,
- has a non-empty resolvent set,

An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

- has a nice definition via a sesquilinear form  $Q$  and a representation theorem,
- is not bounded from below,
- has a non-empty resolvent set,
- has compact resolvent,

An example that we can keep in mind is

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Here

$$V = -x^2 + ix^3, \quad \mathbf{A} = 0.$$

We can show that this operator

- has a nice definition via a sesquilinear form  $Q$  and a representation theorem,
- is not bounded from below,
- has a non-empty resolvent set,
- has compact resolvent,
- has a numerical range equal to  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\{Q(u, u), \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 1\} = \mathbb{C}.$$

# What about the self-adjoint situation?

## What about the self-adjoint situation?

- If  $d = 3$  and if  $V$  is real-valued, the self-adjoint Dirichlet realisation is the Hamiltonian of a quantum particle constrained to a nanostructure  $\Omega$  and submitted to an external electromagnetic field  $(-\text{grad } V, -\text{rot } \mathbf{A})$ .



# What about the self-adjoint situation?

- If  $d = 3$  and if  $V$  is real-valued, the self-adjoint Dirichlet realisation is the Hamiltonian of a quantum particle constrained to a nanostructure  $\Omega$  and submitted to an external electromagnetic field  $(-\text{grad } V, -\text{rot } \mathbf{A})$ .
- The literature on the subject is enormous and we may consult, for instance, the bibliography of the recent book

## **Bound States of the Magnetic Schrödinger Operator**

EMS Tracts (27) (2017),

focused on magnetic spectral effects.

# Why considering non-self-adjoint operators?

# Why considering non-self-adjoint operators?

Ask the organizers!

# Why considering non-self-adjoint operators?

Ask the organizers!

Non-self-adjoint operators appear in the context of

- quasi-Hermitian quantum mechanics,
- resonances,
- superconductivity,
- the damped wave equation.

# Why considering non-self-adjoint operators?

Ask the organizers!

Non-self-adjoint operators appear in the context of

- quasi-Hermitian quantum mechanics,
- resonances,
- superconductivity,
- the damped wave equation.

If you want to learn how to live without the spectral theorem, you might be interested in

**Elements of spectral theory without the spectral theorem**  
(D. Krejčířík and P. Siegl, 2015).

## 1 Context and motivations

- From the self-adjoint world...
- ... to the non-self-adjoint world

## 2 A representation theorem by Almgren-Helffer

- How to define a nice operator?
- How to apply the theorem?

## 3 Spectrum and non-self-adjoint Agmon estimates

- Statements
- Ideas of the proofs

# A Lax-Milgram theorem

## Theorem (Almg-Helffer, CPDE, 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $Q$  be a *continuous sesquilinear form* on  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ . Assume that there exist  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathcal{V}$  we have

$$|Q(u, u)| + |Q(\Phi_1(u), u)| \geq \alpha \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2,$$

$$|Q(u, u)| + |Q(u, \Phi_2(u))| \geq \alpha \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2.$$

The operator  $\mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad Q(u, v) = \langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$$

is a *continuous isomorphism of  $\mathcal{V}$  onto  $\mathcal{V}$  with bounded inverse*.

## Theorem (Almgol-Helffer, CPDE, 2015)

Assume moreover that  $H$  is a Hilbert space such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is continuously embedded and dense in  $H$  and that  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  extend to bounded operators on  $H$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$\forall u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \quad Q(u, v) =: \langle \mathcal{L}u, v \rangle_H,$$

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}) := \{ u \in \mathcal{V} :$$

the map  $v \mapsto Q(u, v)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{V}$  for the norm of  $H\} ,$

satisfies the following properties:

- i.  $\mathcal{L}$  is bijective from  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$  onto  $H$ ,
- ii.  $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{V}$  and in  $H$ ,
- iii.  $\mathcal{L}$  is closed.



# About the proof

## About the proof

- The usual Lax-Milgram theorem is obtained by replacing  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  by 0.

## About the proof

- The usual Lax-Milgram theorem is obtained by replacing  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  by 0.
- Even if the proof is essentially the same as for the usual theorem, the idea to add the “multipliers”  $\Phi_j$  has fruitful consequences in the applications.

## About the proof

- The usual Lax-Milgram theorem is obtained by replacing  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  by 0.
- Even if the proof is essentially the same as for the usual theorem, the idea to add the “multipliers”  $\Phi_j$  has fruitful consequences in the applications.
- This generalization of the Lax-Milgram theorem is itself a generalization of the “ $\mathbb{T}$ -coercivity” used in  
**Time harmonic wave diffraction problems in materials with sign-shifting coefficients**  
(A. S. Bonnet-Ben Dhia, P. Ciarlet, Jr., and C. M. Zwölf, 2010).

# What is the sesquilinear form in the present context?

The **variational space** is

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ u \in H_{\mathbf{A},0}^1(\Omega) : m_{\mathbf{B},V}^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}, \quad m_{\mathbf{B},V} := \sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{B}|^2 + |V|^2}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \sqrt{\|u\|_{H_{\mathbf{A}}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} m_{\mathbf{B},V} |u|^2 \, dx}.$$

# What is the sesquilinear form in the present context?

The **variational space** is

$$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ u \in H_{\mathbf{A},0}^1(\Omega) : m_{\mathbf{B},V}^{\frac{1}{2}} u \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}, \quad m_{\mathbf{B},V} := \sqrt{1 + |\mathbf{B}|^2 + |V|^2}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} := \sqrt{\|u\|_{H_{\mathbf{A}}^1(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\Omega} m_{\mathbf{B},V} |u|^2 \, dx}.$$

On this space, the **sesquilinear form** is

$$Q(u, v) := \langle (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})u, (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A})v \rangle + \int_{\Omega} Vu\bar{v} \, dx .$$

# What are the multipliers?

The multipliers are

$$\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \Phi := \frac{\operatorname{Im} V}{m_{\mathbf{B},V}}.$$

It is essentially the **sign of the imaginary part** of the potential. They were already used by Almg and Helffer to apply their theorem to a large class of operators including for example

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

# How do we get the $\alpha$ ?

We have extended the class of allowed electro-magnetic fields.

Theorem (Krejčířík-R-Royer-Siegl, Isr. J. Math., 2017)

*We assume that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla V(x)| + |\nabla \mathbf{B}(x)| &= o(m_{\mathbf{B},V}^{2/3}(x)), \\ (\operatorname{Re} V)_-(x) &= o(m_{\mathbf{B},V}(x)), \end{aligned}$$

as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Then, the theorems by Almgol and Helffer can be applied.*



# How do we get the $\alpha$ ?

We have extended the class of allowed electro-magnetic fields.

Theorem (Krejčířík-R-Royer-Siegl, Isr. J. Math., 2017)

*We assume that*

$$|\nabla V(x)| + |\nabla \mathbf{B}(x)| = o(m_{\mathbf{B},V}^{2/3}(x)),$$

$$(\operatorname{Re} V)_-(x) = o(m_{\mathbf{B},V}(x)),$$

as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Then, the theorems by Almg and Helffer can be applied.*

In the previous work by Almgog and Helffer, the power  $\frac{3}{2}$  was replaced by 1 and the small  $o$  by a big  $\mathcal{O}$ . About this power, see also **Helffer-Mohammed** (Annales Inst. Fourier, 1988) in the self-adjoint case.

# Comments

- Our theorems apply for instance to wilder electric potentials:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - e^{x^2} + ie^{x^4} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}) .$$

# Comments

- Our theorems apply for instance to wilder electric potentials:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - e^{x^2} + ie^{x^4} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}) .$$

- The coercivity is not an effect of the real part of  $V$ .

# Comments

- Our theorems apply for instance to wilder electric potentials:

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - e^{x^2} + ie^{x^4} \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}) .$$

- The coercivity is not an effect of the real part of  $V$ .
- The imaginary part of  $V$  and the magnetic field “play at the same level”: the intensity of the magnetic field, as the imaginary part of  $V$ , can compensate the negative part of the electric potential.

# Compact resolvent

As a consequence of our analysis and using our weaker assumptions, we can extend a result of Almg-Helffer:

## Proposition

*Under our assumptions, if, moreover,*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} m_{\mathbf{B}, V}(x) = +\infty,$$

*then  $\mathcal{L}$  is an operator with **compact resolvent**.*

## Comments

- The possibility to define a nice (non-accretive) non-self-adjoint operator and its properties related to compactness are strongly connected to coercivity (and its generalizations).

## Comments

- The possibility to define a nice (non-accretive) non-self-adjoint operator and its properties related to compactness are strongly connected to coercivity (and its generalizations).
- When the operator has compact resolvent, the spectrum is discrete and the functions belonging to the algebraic eigenspaces are in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by definition. Their existence is related to coercivity and so, we can reasonably expect to relate their decay to the coercivity.

# Comments

- The possibility to define a nice (non-accretive) non-self-adjoint operator and its properties related to compactness are strongly connected to coercivity (and its generalizations).
- When the operator has compact resolvent, the spectrum is discrete and the functions belonging to the algebraic eigenspaces are in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by definition. Their existence is related to coercivity and so, we can reasonably expect to relate their decay to the coercivity.
- In the last part of this talk, we will discuss these decay estimates and we will show that the definition of the operator, its compactness properties and the decay of its eigenfunctions can be deduced from the same weighted coercivity estimate.



- 1 Context and motivations
  - From the self-adjoint world...
  - ... to the non-self-adjoint world
- 2 A representation theorem by Almgren-Helffer
  - How to define a nice operator?
  - How to apply the theorem?
- 3 Spectrum and non-self-adjoint Agmon estimates
  - Statements
  - Ideas of the proofs

Here come our main theorems.

For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let

$$\rho_c := \{ \mu \in \mathbb{C} : -c - \operatorname{Re} \mu - |\operatorname{Im} \mu| > 0 \} .$$

We also introduce

$$m_\infty := \liminf_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} m_{\mathbf{B}, V}(x) ,$$

and assume  $m_\infty > 0$ .

## Theorem (Krejčířík-R-Royer-Siegl, Isr. J. Math., 2017)

Under our assumptions, there exist  $\gamma_1 > 0$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that we have

$$\rho_{\gamma_2} \subset \rho(\mathcal{L}).$$

Moreover,

$$\rho_{\gamma_2} \subset \rho_{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \check{m}_\infty} \subset \text{Fred}_0(\mathcal{L})$$

for all  $\check{m}_\infty \in (0, m_\infty)$ .

In particular, the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$  contained in  $\rho_{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \check{m}_\infty}$ , if it exists, is formed by *isolated eigenvalues with finite algebraic multiplicity*.

# Comments

- This theorem is a **non-self-adjoint** generalization of the **Persson theorem** (Mathematica Scandinavica, 1960). In the self-adjoint case, it states more precisely that  $m_\infty$  is related to the bottom of the essential spectrum.

# Comments

- This theorem is a **non-self-adjoint** generalization of the **Persson theorem** (Mathematica Scandinavica, 1960). In the self-adjoint case, it states more precisely that  $m_\infty$  is related to the bottom of the essential spectrum.
- In practice,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  can be computed explicitly.

Once we know that the spectrum is discrete in some regions of the complex plane, it is natural to try to estimate the **decay of the corresponding eigenfunctions**.

## Theorem (Krejčířík-R-Royer-Siegl, Isr. J. Math., 2017)

Let us assume that

$$\text{sp}(\mathcal{L}) \cap \rho_{\gamma_2 - \gamma_1 m_\infty} \neq \emptyset$$

and consider  $\lambda$  in this set. Let us define the metric

$$g(x) := (\gamma_1 m_{\mathbf{B},V}(x) - \text{Re}(\lambda) - |\text{Im}(\lambda)| - \gamma_2)_+ dx^2,$$

and the *corresponding Agmon distance* (to any fixed point of  $\Omega$ )  $d_{\text{Ag}}(x)$ . It satisfies

$$|\nabla d_{\text{Ag}}|^2 = (\gamma_1 m_{\mathbf{B},V} - \text{Re}(\lambda) - |\text{Im}(\lambda)| - \gamma_2)_+.$$

## Theorem (continued)

*Pick up any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . If  $\psi$  is an eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda$ , we have*

$$e^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{3} d_{\text{Ag}}} \psi \in L^2(\Omega).$$

*The same conclusion holds for all  $\psi$  in the algebraic eigenspace associated with  $\lambda$ .*



# Comments

- This theorem is a **non-self-adjoint generalization of the Agmon estimates** (Lecture Notes in Math., 1985). In the self-adjoint case, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue strictly below the bottom of the essential spectrum, the corresponding eigenfunctions have an exponential decay (which can be measured in terms of the distance between  $\lambda$  and the essential spectrum).

# Comments

- This theorem is a **non-self-adjoint generalization of the Agmon estimates** (Lecture Notes in Math., 1985). In the self-adjoint case, if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue strictly below the bottom of the essential spectrum, the corresponding eigenfunctions have an exponential decay (which can be measured in terms of the distance between  $\lambda$  and the essential spectrum).
- If we apply our theorem to the example

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - x^2 + ix^3 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

we get that, for all eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for all  $\psi$  in the characteristic space of  $\lambda$ ,

$$e^{\delta|x|^{\frac{5}{2}}} \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

The main idea is to exploit the **weighted coercivity** (use the real part of the form and the imaginary part of the weighted form) and to combine it with the principle behind the Agmon estimates.

For any complex number  $\mu$ , consider the shifted form

$$Q_\mu(u, v) := Q(u, v) - \mu \langle u, v \rangle .$$

Let

$$\phi = \frac{\operatorname{Im} V}{m_{\mathbf{B}, V}} , \quad \psi = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{m_{\mathbf{B}, V}} .$$

All the above mentioned results can be deduced from the following explicit estimate.

### Theorem (Weighted coercivity)

For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $W \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} [Q_\mu(u, e^{2W} u)] + \operatorname{Im} [Q_\mu(u, \Phi e^{2W} u)] &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}) e^W u \right\|^2 \\ &+ \int_\Omega |e^W u|^2 \left[ \frac{V_2^2 + \frac{1}{12d} |\mathbf{B}|^2}{m_{\mathbf{B},V}} + V_1 - \operatorname{Re} \mu - |\operatorname{Im} \mu| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 9 \left( |\nabla \Phi|^2 + |\nabla \Psi|^2 + |\nabla W|^2 \right) \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1 = \operatorname{Re} V$  and  $V_2 = \operatorname{Im} V$ .

All the above mentioned results can be deduced from the following explicit estimate.

### Theorem (Weighted coercivity)

For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $W \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and all  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} [Q_\mu(u, e^{2W} u)] + \operatorname{Im} [Q_\mu(u, \Phi e^{2W} u)] \\ & \geq \int_{\Omega} (\gamma_1 m_{\mathbf{B},V} - \gamma_2 - \operatorname{Re} \mu - |\operatorname{Im} \mu| - 9|\nabla W|^2) e^{2W} |u|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_1 = \operatorname{Re} V$  and  $V_2 = \operatorname{Im} V$ .

# Free afternoon?



Credits: **J. Royer**