



On maximal hard-core thinnings of stationary particle processes

Christian Hirsch, Günter Last

15/05/17, SGSIA 19, CIRM Luminy

Riddle





Riddle





Matérn hard-core thinning













Random sequential adsorption







Random sequential adsorption









Infinite-volume limits of optimal thinnings?

Maximal Thinnings · May 15, 2017 · Page 5





1 Definition & Existence

- 2 Uniqueness in barely supercritical regime
- 3 Non-uniqueness at high intensities?
- 4 Questions





1 Definition & Existence

- 2 Uniqueness in barely supercritical regime
- 3 Non-uniqueness at high intensities?
- 4 Questions





Goal

intrinsic characterization of infinite-volume maximal hard-core thinnings





Goal

intrinsic characterization of infinite-volume maximal hard-core thinnings

- Two approaches
 - Iccally maximal. no improvement through exchange of finitely many particles
 - intensity-maximal. hard-core thinnings achieving maximum possible intensity
 - intensity- and locally maximal thinnings *equivalent* under weak moment assumption



Basic set-up



- $\Phi = \{K_i\}_{i \ge 1}$ stationary process of particles in $\mathcal{K} =$ convex compact bodies
 - e.g. Boolean model: $\Phi = \{B_{R_i}(X_i)\}_{i \ge 1}$, where
 - $\label{eq:constraint} \blacksquare \ \{X_i\}_{i\geq 1} = \mbox{Poisson point process in } \mathbb{R}^d \mbox{ with intensity } \gamma > 0$
 - $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 1} = \text{iid family of radii}$



Basic set-up



• $\Phi = \{K_i\}_{i \ge 1}$ stationary process of particles in $\mathcal{K} =$ convex compact bodies

- e.g. Boolean model: $\Phi = \{B_{R_i}(X_i)\}_{i \ge 1}$, where
- $\blacksquare \ \{X_i\}_{i\geq 1} = \text{Poisson point process in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with intensity } \gamma > 0$
- $\{R_i\}_{i\geq 1} = \text{iid family of radii}$

important characteristics

intensity $\gamma \cong$ mean number of particles per unit volume

$$\gamma = \mathbb{E} \# \{ K_i \in \Phi : c(K_i) \in [0, 1]^d \},\$$

where c(K) = center of mass of K

typical grain distribution \mathbb{Q}

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}f(K) = \frac{1}{\gamma}\mathbb{E}\sum_{c(K_i)\in[0,1]^d} f(K_i - c(K_i))$$

for any measurable function $f:\mathcal{K}\to[0,\infty)$





investigation of thinnings that are stationary and hard-core

stationarity

• $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi)) =$ family of all distributions of *stationary* $\{0, 1\}$ -marked particle processes whose underlying unmarked particle process is distributed according to $\mathcal{L}(\Phi)$

hard-core property

• $\mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi)) = distributions of$ *hard-core* $particle systems that are stationary thinnings of the particle process <math>\Phi$, i.e.,

If $\mathcal{L}(\Psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi))$, then, a.s., $K_i \cap K_j = \emptyset$ for all $K_i, K_j \in \Psi, K_i \neq K_j$

maximization of volume fraction

 $\blacksquare \ \text{ that is, for } \mathcal{L}(\Psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{hc}}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi)) \text{ put}$

$$\gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Psi)) = \mathbb{E}\sum_{\substack{K_i \in \Psi\\c(K_i) \in [0,1]^d}} \nu_d(K_i),$$

where ν_d = Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^d



- two approaches for *intrinsic definition* of infinite-volume maximal thinnings
- intensity-maximal

Iocally maximal thinnings







two approaches for *intrinsic definition* of infinite-volume maximal thinnings

intensity-maximal

■ $\mathcal{L}(\Psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi))$ is *intensity-maximal* if it achieves the maximal volume fraction among stationary hard-core thinnings, i.e.,

$$\gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Psi)) = \gamma_{\max},$$

where $\gamma_{\max} = \sup_{\mathcal{L}(\Psi') \in \mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi))} \gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Psi')).$

Iocally maximal thinnings





two approaches for *intrinsic definition* of infinite-volume maximal thinnings

intensity-maximal

■ $\mathcal{L}(\Psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi))$ is *intensity-maximal* if it achieves the maximal volume fraction among stationary hard-core thinnings, i.e.,

$$\gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Psi)) = \gamma_{\max},$$

where $\gamma_{\max} = \sup_{\mathcal{L}(\Psi') \in \mathcal{T}_{hc}(\mathcal{L}(\Phi))} \gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Psi')).$

Iocally maximal thinnings

volume fraction cannot be increased by exchanging *finite number* of particles
 more precisely, let ψ ⊂ φ be hard-core thinning of φ. ψ is *locally maximal* if

$$\sum_{K \in \psi' \setminus \psi} \nu_d(K) < \sum_{K \in \psi \setminus \psi'} \nu_d(K)$$

holds for any other hard-core thinning $\psi' \subset \varphi$ such that $\psi \Delta \psi' = (\psi \setminus \psi') \cup (\psi' \setminus \psi)$ is finite

Maximal Thinnings · May 15, 2017 · Page 11







- 1. *if* $\gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Phi)) < \infty$, *then intensity-maximal thinnings exist. Moreover, every intensity-maximal thinning is locally maximal.*
- 2. if additionally $\int \nu_d(K \oplus [-1/2, 1/2]^d) \nu_d(K) \mathbb{Q}(dK) < \infty$, then every locally maximal thinning is intensity-maximal.





- 1. *if* $\gamma_v(\mathcal{L}(\Phi)) < \infty$, *then intensity-maximal thinnings exist. Moreover, every intensity-maximal thinning is locally maximal.*
- 2. if additionally $\int \nu_d(K \oplus [-1/2, 1/2]^d) \nu_d(K) \mathbb{Q}(dK) < \infty$, then every locally maximal thinning is intensity-maximal.

proof sketch

- existence. abstract compactness argument
- *intensity-maximal* ⇒ *locally maximal*. local modification argument
- *locally maximal* ⇒ *intensity-maximal.* approximability of maximal intensity through finite configurations







coverage 71%

coverage 74%

⁰generated with KaMIS v1.0

Maximal Thinnings · May 15, 2017 · Page 13







coverage 77%



coverage 80%





1 Definition & Existence

2 Uniqueness in barely supercritical regime

- 3 Non-uniqueness at high intensities?
- 4 Questions





Gibbsian world

- *existence* through abstract argument √
- uniqueness in regime of subcritical percolation √
- uniqueness in regime of barely supercritical percolation ?
- non-uniqueness at high intensities conjectured

Thinning world

- *existence* through abstract argument √
- uniqueness in regime of subcritical percolation √
- *uniqueness* in regime of *barely supercritical percolation* √
- non-uniqueness at high intensities unclear





Assume that

- the union of particles in Φ almost surely does not percolate, and
- with probability 1, any two finite collections of distinct particles have different volume.

Then, locally maximal hard-core thinning of Φ are unique.

- proof by explicit construction
- choose maximal hard-core thinning in each finite cluster and then take the union



Barely supercritical regime



- uniqueness holds slightly above criticality due to dispensable balls
- $\blacksquare \ K \in \Phi \text{ is } \textit{dispensable} \text{ if there exists } K' \in \Phi \text{ such that}$
 - 1. $K \cap K' \neq \emptyset$,
 - **2.** $\nu_d(K) < \nu_d(K')$,
 - **3.** if $K'' \in \Phi$ is such that $K'' \cap K' \neq \emptyset$, then $K'' \cap K \neq \emptyset$.



intensity of relevant balls strictly smaller than initial intensity





- use *essential enhancements* to make idea rigorous
- similar continuous set-up as in (Franceschetti, Penrose & Rosoman, 2011)
- still modifying essential-enhancement arguments requires fair amount of diligence

"In 1991 Aizenman and Grimmett claimed that any "essential enhancement" of site or bond percolation on a lattice lowers the critical probability, an important result with many implications, such as strict inequalities between critical probabilities on suitable pairs of lattices. Their proof has two parts, one probabilistic and one combinatorial. In this paper **we point out that a key combinatorial lemma**, for which they provide only a figure as proof, **is false**."

Essential enhancements revisited; Balister, Bollobás & Riordan, 2014





- Assume that Φ is a Boolean model of balls with intensity $\gamma > 0$ and random radii uniformly distributed on [1, 2]
- $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma_u > \gamma_c$ such that locally maximal thinnings are unique whenever $\gamma < \gamma_u$





- Assume that Φ is a Boolean model of balls with intensity $\gamma > 0$ and random radii uniformly distributed on [1, 2]
- $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma_u > \gamma_c$ such that locally maximal thinnings are unique whenever $\gamma < \gamma_u$
 - construct two-type percolation process
 - **balls** in Φ are *red* independently with probability $1 p, p \in (0, 1)$
 - dispensable balls are green independently with probability $1-q, q\in (0,1)$





- Assume that Φ is a Boolean model of balls with intensity $\gamma > 0$ and random radii uniformly distributed on [1, 2]
- $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma_u > \gamma_c$ such that locally maximal thinnings are unique whenever $\gamma < \gamma_u$
 - construct two-type percolation process
 - **balls** in Φ are *red* independently with probability $1 p, p \in (0, 1)$
 - dispensable balls are *green* independently with probability $1 q, q \in (0, 1)$
 - define *percolation probability* $\theta(p,q) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n(p,q)$, where

 $\theta_n(p,q) = \mathbb{P}(o \iff \partial B_n \text{ via uncolored overlapping balls})$

essential enhancement \Rightarrow

$$\theta(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_{\mathsf{c}} + \varepsilon, 0) \le \theta(\gamma^{-1}\gamma_{\mathsf{c}} - \varepsilon, 1)$$





1 Definition & Existence

- 2 Uniqueness in barely supercritical regime
- 3 Non-uniqueness at high intensities?
- 4 Questions





Gibbsian world

- *existence* through abstract argument √
- uniqueness in regime of subcritical percolation √
- uniqueness in regime of barely supercritical percolation ?
- non-uniqueness at high intensities conjectured

Thinning world

- existence through abstract argument √
- uniqueness in regime of subcritical percolation √
- *uniqueness* in regime of *barely supercritical percolation* √
- non-uniqueness at high intensities unclear





Partial Result

Locally maximal thinnings are unique at arbitrarily high intensities if grain sizes are measured through highly fluctuating functional





Partial Result

Locally maximal thinnings are unique at arbitrarily high intensities if grain sizes are measured through highly fluctuating functional

- $\Phi = Boolean model$ with random radii distributed uniformly on the interval [0, 1].
- for $a \ge 1$ put

 $\nu_a(B_r(x)) = e^{ar}$

Theorem

If $a \ge 1$ is sufficiently large, then there is **uniqueness** of locally ν_a -maximal thinnings

idea. Similarity with RSA + disagreement percolation





1 Definition & Existence

- 2 Uniqueness in barely supercritical regime
- 3 Non-uniqueness at high intensities?

4 Questions





- intensity maximal thinnings and locally maximal thinnings as equivalent intrinsic characterization of infinite-volume limit of maximal thinnings in bounded windows
- existence via *abstract compactness argument*
- uniqueness in sub-critical and barely supercritical percolation regime
- uniqueness for highly fluctuating grain sizes





- intensity maximal thinnings and locally maximal thinnings as equivalent intrinsic characterization of infinite-volume limit of maximal thinnings in bounded windows
- existence via *abstract compactness argument*
- uniqueness in sub-critical and barely supercritical percolation regime
- uniqueness for highly fluctuating grain sizes
- non-uniqueness at *high intensities*? In certain dimensions?
- symmetry-breaking?
- constructive algorithm for maximal thinnings?





Thank you!

Maximal Thinnings · May 15, 2017 · Page 26



Literature



[1] M. Aizenman and G. R. Grimmett.

Strict monotonicity for critical points in percolation and ferromagnetic models. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 63(5-6):817–835, 1991.

- [2] M. Franceschetti, M. D. Penrose, and T. Rosoman. Strict inequalities of critical values in continuum percolation. J. Stat. Phys., 142(3):460–486, 2011.
- [3] M. Hörig.

Zufällige harte Partikelsysteme. PhD thesis, KIT, 2010.

- S. Lamm, P. Sanders, C. Schulz, D. Strash, and R. F. Werneck.
 Finding Near-Optimal Independent Sets at Scale.
 In Proceedings of the 16th Meeting on Algorithm Engineering and Exerpimentation (ALENEX'16), 2016.
- [5] J. van den Berg and J. E. Steif.

Percolation and the hard-core lattice gas model. Stochastic Process. Appl., 49(2):179–197, 1994.





