# A Rigidity result for the Camassa-Holm equation and applications

Luc Molinet

LMPT (UMR CNRS 7350), Fédération Denis Poisson, Université de Tours

CIRM, June 17 2017

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 二 臣 … のへで

#### The Camassa-Holm equation reads

(CH) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{txx} = -3uu_x + 2u_x u_{xx} + uu_{3x} , (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 , \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) , \end{cases}$$

where u(t, x) is real-valued.

It has been derived in 93' by Camassa and Holm, starting from the Green-Naghdi equations and making an asymptotic expansion that keeps the hamiltonian structure.

Rigorous derivation from the full water waves problem obtained by Constantin and Lannes 2009'.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

A lot of properties :

• An infinite number of conservation laws.

$$M(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u - u_{xx}) dx, \quad E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 + u_x^2$$
$$F(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^3 + u u_x^2$$

The equation may be rewritten in Hamiltonian form :

$$\partial_t E'(u) = -\partial_x F'(u)$$

Non smooth solitary waves

$$\begin{split} u(t,x) &= ce^{-|x-ct|} = \varphi_c(x-ct), \quad c \in \mathbb{R}^* \\ \text{where } \varphi_c &= ce^{-|x|} \text{ is the unique } H^1\text{-weak solutions to} \\ &- c\varphi_c + c\varphi_c'' + \frac{3}{2}\varphi_c^2 = \varphi_c\varphi_c'' + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_c')^2 \\ &= ce^{-|x|} + \frac{3}{2}\varphi_c^2 = \varphi_c\varphi_c'' + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_c')^2 \end{split}$$

To give a sense to the peakon-solutions one rewrites (CH) as

$$u_t + uu_x + (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \partial_x (u^2 + u_x^2/2) = 0$$

It is also worth noticing that the momentum density  $y = u - u_{xx}$  satisfies the transport equation

 $y_t + uy_x + 2u_xy = 0$ 

#### Local well-posedness results

- Locally well-posed in  $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$  for s > 3/2.
- There exist solutions that blow up in finite time by wave breaking

 $\liminf_{t\nearrow T^*}u_x(t,x)=-\infty.$ 

Prob :  $e^{-|x|} \notin H^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$  !

### Theorem (Constantin-M 00')

Let  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $y_0 = u_0 - u_{0,xx} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\exists$ ! solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  such that  $y = u - u_{xx} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}))$ . Moreover, M, E and F are conserved along the flow.

We set  $Y_+ := \{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u - u_{xx} \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}) \}$ . Note that  $e^{-|x|} \in Y_+$  since  $(1 - \partial_x^2)e^{-|x|} = 2\delta_0$ 

Theorem (Constantin-Strauss 00')

Let  $u \in C([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  such that

$$\|u_0 - ce^{-|x|}\|_{H^1} < \varepsilon^4 \le \varepsilon_0^4$$

then

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u(t) - c e^{-|x - \xi(t)|}\|_{H^1} < O(\varepsilon)$$

where  $\xi(t)$  is any point where u(t) reaches it maximum (c > 0).

#### Definition

We say that a solution  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$  with  $u - u_{xx} \in C_w(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{M}_+)$  of (C-H) is Y-almost localized if there exist c > 0 and a  $C^1$ -function  $x(\cdot)$ , with  $x_t \ge c > 0$ , for which for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\Phi \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with  $0 \le \Phi \le 1$  and supp $\Phi \subset [-R_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon}]^c$ .

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u^2(t) + u_x^2(t)) \Phi(\cdot - x(t)) \, dx + \left\langle \Phi(\cdot - x(t)), u(t) - u_{xx}(t) \right\rangle \le \varepsilon \,. \tag{1}$$

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

## Theorem (rigidity property)

Let  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}))$ , with  $u - u_{xx} \in C_w(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{M}_+)$ , be a Y-almost localized solution of (C-H) that is not identically vanishing. Then there exists  $c^* > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$u(t) = c^* \varphi(\cdot - x_0 - c^* t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### Theorem (asymptotic stability)

Let c > 0 be fixed. There exists an universal constant  $0 < \eta \ll 1$  such that for any  $0 < \theta < c$  and any  $u_0 \in Y_+$  satisfying

$$\|u_0 - \varphi_c\|_{H^1} \le \eta \left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)^8, \qquad (2)$$

there exists  $c^* > 0$  with  $|c - c^*| \ll c$  and a  $C^1$ -function  $x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \dot{x} = c^*$  such that

$$u(t, \cdot + x(t)) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} \varphi_{c^*} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}), \qquad (3)$$

where  $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1)$  is the solution emanating from  $u_0$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \|u(t) - \varphi_{c^*}(\cdot - x(t))\|_{H^1(]\theta t, +\infty[)} = 0.$$
 (4)

Using that (C-H) is invariant by the change of unknown  $u(t,x) \mapsto -u(t,-x)$ , we obtain as well the asymptotic stability of the antipeakon profile  $c\varphi$  with c < 0 in the class of  $H^1$ -function with a momentum density that belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{-}(\mathbb{R})$ .

This theorem implies the growth of the high Sobolev norms for some smooth solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation. Indeed, it follows from this theorem that any solution of the Camassa-Holm equation emanating from an initial datum  $u_0 \in Y_+ \cap H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $s \ge 3/2$ , satisfying (2), has a  $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -norm that tends to  $+\infty$  as t tends to infinity.

• The proof of the rigidity result uses the finite speed propagation of the momentum density *y*.

• The proof of the asymptotic stability follows the framework developed by Martel and Merle.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●

## Proof of the asymptotic stability

Let  $u_0 \in Y_+$  such that

 $\|u_0-c\varphi\|_{H^1}<\varepsilon^8$ 

 $\exists ! C^1$ -function  $x(\cdot)$  with  $|\dot{x}(t) - c| \ll c$  and

$$\|u(t,\cdot)-c\varphi(\cdot-x(t))\|_{H^1}=O(\varepsilon)$$

such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(\cdot - x(t))u(t, \cdot) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .$ Let  $\{t_n\} \nearrow +\infty$ . By Ascoli theorem  $x(t_n + \cdot) - x(t_n) \longrightarrow \tilde{x} \text{ in } C(-T, T]$ and by local compactness  $(Y \hookrightarrow H^{\frac{3}{2}-}(\mathbb{R}))$  $u(t_n, \cdot + x(t_n)) \longrightarrow \tilde{u}_0 \text{ in } H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  Denoting by  $\tilde{u}$  the solution of (C-H) emanating from  $\tilde{u}_0$  this yields

 $u(t_n+t,\cdot+x(t_n+t))\longrightarrow \tilde{u}(t,\cdot+\tilde{x}(t)) \text{ in } H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall t\in\mathbb{R},$ 

where we used a continuous dependence result for (C-H) with respect to the weak  $H^1$ -topology. This enables to prove that  $\tilde{u}$  is an Y-almost localized solution and thus

$$\tilde{u}_0 = c_0 \varphi(\cdot - x_0)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

It remains to prove that  $c_0$  and  $x_0$  does not depend on  $\{t_n\}$ .

First the orthogonality condition forces  $x_0 = 0$ .

Now, since there is local strong convergence in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  we must hat

 $\max u(t_n,\cdot) \to c_0$ 

We set  $\lambda(t) = \max_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)$  so that

$$u(t_n, \cdot + x(t_n)) - \lambda(t_n) \varphi \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

Since this is true for any  $\{t_n\} \nearrow \infty$  we get that

$$u(t,\cdot+x(t))-\lambda(t)arphi \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R})$$

It remains to prove that  $\lambda(t) \rightarrow c^*$ . This uses an almost monotonicity result or the part of *E* that travels at the right or the left of an almost localized solution

Step 1: Uniform exponential decay of Y localized solutions.

This is a consequence of almost monotonicity results for the parts of E and M that travel at the right or the left of an almost localized solution.

**Step 2**: Proof of the compact support of *y* at the right side.

Let  $q(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the flow associated with u

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} q_t(t,x)&=&u(t,q(t,x)) &,\ (t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^2\ q(0,x)&=&x &,\ x\in\mathbb{R} \end{array} 
ight. .$$

 $y_t + uy_x = -2u_x y \Rightarrow \partial_t \Big( y(t, q(t, \cdot)) e^{2\int_0^t u_x(s, q(s, \cdot)) \, ds} \Big) = 0$ 

On the other hand  $\partial_x q(0,x) = 1$  and

$$\partial_t q_x(t,x) = q_x(t,x)u_x(t,q(t,x))$$

ensure that

$$q_{x}(t,x) = \exp\left(\int_{0}^{t} u_{x}(s,q(s,x)) ds\right)_{\text{Binds}} = 0.00$$

This yields

 $orall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad y(t,q(t,\cdot))q_{\scriptscriptstyle X}^2(t,\cdot) = y(0,\cdot) \;.$ 

By the Y localization of u there exists  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall |x| > R_0, \quad u(t, x(t) + R_0) < rac{c}{10}$$

In particular  $\frac{d}{dt/t=0}q(t,x(0)+R_0)=u(0,x(0)+R_0)<\frac{c}{10}$  and by continuity

$$\forall t \leq 0, \quad q(t, x(t) + R_0) - x(t) \geq R_0 + \frac{c}{2}|t|$$

Combining this with  $|u_x| \leq u$  and the exponential decay we get

 $\forall t \leq 0, \forall x \geq 0, \quad |u_x(t, q(t, x(0) + R + x))| \leq Ce^{-\beta(R+|t|)}$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

This ensures that for  $\forall t \leq 0, \ \forall x \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{C_0} \leq q_x(t, x(0) + R_0 + x) \leq C_0$ 

Assume that y(0) is not compactly supported at the right. Then there exists  $R > R_0$  such that

 $\int_{x(0)+R}^{x(0)+R} y(0,x) dx = \varepsilon_0 > 0$  $\Rightarrow \int_{x(0)+R_0}^{x(0)+R} y(t,q(t,x)) q_x(t,x)^2 dx = \varepsilon_0$  $\Rightarrow \int_{x(0)+R}^{x(0)+R} y(t,q(t,x)) q_x(t,x) dx \ge \frac{\varepsilon_0}{C_0}$ 

and performing the change of variables z = q(t, x)

$$\int_{q(t,x(0)+R_0)}^{q(t,x(0)+R)} y(t,z)dz \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{C_0} \Rightarrow \int_{x(t)+R_0+c|t|/2}^{+\infty} y(t,z)dz \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{C_0}$$

that contradicts the Y-localization of u as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ :

Therefore supp  $y(t) \subset [-\infty, x(t) + R_0]$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now it will be useful to notice that

$$u(t,x(t)+r_0) = -u_x(t,x(t)+R_0) \ge \frac{e^{-2r_0}}{4\sqrt{R_0}}\sqrt{E(u)} = \alpha_0.$$

Indeed, by the Y-localization of u, the conservation of E(u) and the choice of  $R_0$ 

$$\|u(t,\cdot-x(t))\|_{H^1(]-R_0,R_0[)} \geq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{E(u)}$$
.

But  $y = u - u_{xx} \ge 0$  ensures that  $-u \le u_x \le u$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This forces  $\max_{x \ge u^2(t, y) \ge 1} \|u(t, y)\|_{2}^2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \|E(u)\|_{2}^2$ 

$$\max_{[-r_0,r_0]} u^2(t,\cdot-x(t)) \geq \frac{1}{2r_0} \|u(t,\cdot-x(t))\|_{L^2]-r_0,r_0[}^2 \geq \frac{1}{8r_0} E(u)$$

But since  $u_x \ge -u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , for any  $(t, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  it holds

$$u(t,x) \leq u(t,x_0)e^{-x+x_0}, \quad \forall x \leq x_0.$$

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Applying this estimate with  $x_0 = x(t) + R_0$  we obtain that

$$u(t,x(t)+R_0) \ge \max_{[-R_0,R_0]} u(t,\cdot-x(t))e^{-2R_0}$$

which yields the desired result. Now we set

$$x_+(t) = \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{supp} y(t) \subset ] - \infty, x(t) + x]\}$$

and

$$q^{*}(t) = q(t, x(0) + x_{+}(0)) = x(t) + x_{+}(t)$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

# **Step 3:** Study of the jump of $u_x(t, \cdot)$ at $q^*(t)$ . We set

$$a(t) = u_{x}(t, q^{*}(t)) - u_{x}(t, q^{*}(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (5)

Then  $a(\cdot)$  is a bounded non decreasing derivable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  with values in  $\left[\frac{\alpha_0}{8}, 2\sqrt{E(u)}\right]$  such that

$$a'(t) = \frac{1}{2}(u^2 - u_x^2)(t, q^*(t) -), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (6)

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

First we prove that  $u_x(t)$  has got a jump at  $q^*(t)$ . We proceed by contradiction assuming that there exists  $x_1 < q^*(0)$  such that  $\|y(0)\|_{\mathcal{M}(]x_1,+\infty[)} < \alpha/8$ .

## On $]x_1, q^*(0)[$ it holds

$$egin{aligned} & u_{\mathrm{x}}(0,\mathrm{x}) \leq -lpha_0 - \int_{\mathrm{x}}^{q^*(0)} u_{\mathrm{xx}} \ & \leq -lpha_0 - \int_{\mathrm{x}}^{q^*(0)} u + \int_{\mathrm{x}}^{q^*(0)} y \ & \leq -3lpha_0/4 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

This ensures that  $q_x(0,x) \ge 1$  on  $]x_1, q^*(0)[$ . We can extend this for any t < 0 on  $]q(t, x_1), q^*(t)[$  since

$$\begin{split} u_{x}(t,x) &\leq -\alpha_{0} + \int_{x}^{q^{*}(t)} y \\ &\leq -\alpha_{0} + \int_{q^{-1}(t,x)}^{q^{*}(0)} y(t,q(t))q_{x}(t,x) \, dx \\ &\leq -\alpha_{0} + \int_{x_{1}}^{q^{*}(0)} y(t,q(t))q_{x}^{2}(t,x) \, dx \\ &\leq -\alpha_{0} + \int_{x_{1}}^{q^{*}(0)} y(0,x) \, dx \\ &\leq -3\alpha_{0}/4 \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

This forces  $q^*(t) - q(t, x_1) \to +\infty$  as  $t \to -\infty$  and  $u(t, q(t, x_1)) \ge u(t, q^*(t)) \ge \alpha_0$  that contradicts the almost localization of u.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ◆ ●