

CLASSICAL HC THEORY

Representation theory of finite groups of Lie type

e.g. $GL_n(q) = \{ M \in Mat_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid \det M \neq 0 \}$

$SL_n(q) = \{ M \in GL_n(q) \mid \det M = 1 \}$

$Sp_{2n}(q) = \{ M \in GL_{2n}(q) \mid MJ^t M = J \}$ with $J = \begin{bmatrix} (0) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & (0) \end{bmatrix}$

... $G_2(q), \dots, E_8(q)$

Representations over $k = \bar{k}$ with either

- char $k = 0$ (e.g. $k = \mathbb{C}$)
 - char $k \nmid q$ [transverse characteristic]
 - ~~• char $k \mid q$ [natural characteristic]~~
- } this lecture

I - Principal series representations

G connected reductive algebraic group

$B \supseteq T$ Borel with max torus

$W = N_G(T)/T$

Ex: $G = GL_n \supseteq B = \begin{bmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ (0) & & * \end{bmatrix} \supseteq T = \begin{bmatrix} * & & (0) \\ & \ddots & \\ (0) & & * \end{bmatrix}$
 $W \cong S_n$

G defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with Frobenius $F: G \rightarrow G$

e.g. $F: (a_{ij}) \in GL_n \mapsto (a_{ij}^q) \in GL_n \rightsquigarrow G^F = GL_n(q)$

One can always choose B and Π F -stable

$\rightsquigarrow$ Facts on W and preserve the set of simple reflections

Motivating example : $V = \text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} k = k G^F / B^F$

? indecomposable summands
 ? simple submodules / quotient
 ? composition factors

] look at $\text{End}_{G^F}(V)$

For simplicity, assume that

- Facts trivially on W
- $q-1 \in k^\times$

Bruhat decomposition:

$$G^F / B^F = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} B^F w B^F / B^F \quad \text{with } \# B^F w B^F / B^F = q^{\ell(w)}$$

let $e = \frac{1}{|B^F|} \sum_{b \in B^F} b$ idempotent of $k B^F$

$\rightsquigarrow k G^F / B^F = k G^F e$ and $e k G^F e \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{G^F}(k G^F e)$

$e x e \mapsto (e \mapsto e x e)$

Define $T_w = q^{\ell(w)} e w e$

(1) if $l(w w') = l(w) + l(w')$ then $B_w^F B_{w'}^F B^F \simeq B_{w w'}^F B^F$
 hence $\forall b \in B^F \quad e w b w' e = e b_1 w w' b_2 e = e w w' e$
 so that $T_w T_{w'} = T_{w w'}$

(2) if $s \in W$ is a simple reflection $B_s^F B_s^F B^F = B^F \sqcup B_s^F B^F$
 hence $\forall b \in B^F$

$$e s b s e = \begin{cases} e b' e = e & \text{if } b \in B^F \cap s B_s^F \leftarrow \frac{|B^F|}{q} e t_s \\ e b_1 s b_2 e = e s e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\leadsto T_s^2 = q^2 (e s e s e) = q^2 \left(\frac{1}{q} e + \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) e s e \right)$$

$$T_s^2 = (q-1) T_s + q T_1 \quad (\text{or } (T_s - q)(T_s + 1) = 0)$$

def: The Hecke algebra of W with parameter q
 is $\mathcal{H}_q(W) = \langle t_w; w \in W \rangle / \begin{matrix} t_s^2 = (q-1)t_s + 1 \\ t_w t_{w'} = t_{w w'} \text{ if } l(w w') = l(w) + l(w') \end{matrix}$

$$\leadsto \mathcal{H}_q(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{G^F}(kG^F/B^F) \quad (\text{pseudo basis to basis})$$

$$t_s \mapsto T_s$$

In part the functor $M \in kG^F\text{-mod} \mapsto \text{Hom}_{G^F}(kG^F/B^F, M)$
 induces a bijection

$$\{M \text{ s.t. } kG^F/B^F \twoheadrightarrow M\} = \text{hd}(kG^F/B^F) \xleftrightarrow{!} \text{Irr}_k \mathcal{H}_q(W)$$

These M are called principal series representations

Examples : (a) $G^F = GL_n(q)$

* if $\text{char } k = 0$ $\mathcal{H}_q(\zeta_n) \simeq k\zeta_n$ simple

$$\{\text{principal series char.}\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Irr } \zeta_n \longleftrightarrow \{\text{partitions of } n\}$$

$$\rho_\lambda \longleftrightarrow \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots)$$

* if $\text{char } k > 0$, let $d = \text{order of } q \text{ in } k^\times$ then

$$\{\text{principal series rep.}\} \longleftrightarrow \{d\text{-regular partitions of } n\}$$

multiplicity of each λ_i is $< d$

(b) $G^F = Sp_{2n}(q)$

$$\{\text{principal series characters}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{bipartitions of } n\}$$

$$\rho_{\lambda, \mu} \longleftrightarrow (\lambda, \mu) \text{ with } |\lambda| + |\mu| = n$$

II Parabolic induction/restriction

1- Levi decomposition

Recall S is the set of simple reflections

$$I \subseteq S \rightsquigarrow \bullet W_I = \langle I \rangle \leq W$$

$$\bullet P_I = BW_IB \text{ parabolic subgroup}$$

- $U_I = R_u(P_I)$ unipotent radical

- $L_I \supseteq T$ with $P_I = L_I \ltimes U_I$ Levi complement

In addition, if I is F -stable, so are W_I, P_I, U_I, L_I .

Ex: $G = GL_n$ $P_I = \begin{bmatrix} * & & & * \\ & \boxed{*} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ (0) & & & \boxed{*} \end{bmatrix}$ U_I (orange arrow) L_I (purple arrow)

$$L_I \triangleq GL_{n_1} \times GL_{n_2} \times \dots \times GL_{n_r}$$

2- Induction / restriction

$$k L_I^F\text{-mod} \xrightleftharpoons[\begin{smallmatrix} * R_{L_I}^G \\ R_{L_I}^G \end{smallmatrix}]{R_{L_I}^G} k G^F\text{-mod}$$

Not the usual induction / restriction (too big!)

$$R_{L_I}^G(M) = \text{Ind}_{P_I^F}^{G^F} \left(\text{Inf}_{L_I^F}^{P_I^F} M \right) = k G^F / U_I^F \otimes_{k L_I^F} M$$

$$*R_{L_I}^G(N) = N^{U_I^F} = \text{Hom}_{G^F}(k G^F / U_I^F, N)$$

Ex: $I = \emptyset, L_I = T$ $R_T^G(k) = k G^F / B^F$

Prop: (i) $R_{L_I}^G$ and $*R_{L_I}^G$ are exact, biadjoint
 (ii) They preserve projectivity

Transitivity : If $I \subseteq J \subseteq S$ then

$$R_{L_J}^G \circ R_{L_I}^{L_J} \triangleq R_{L_I}^G \text{ and } {}^*R_{L_I}^{L_J} \circ {}^*R_{L_J}^G \triangleq {}^*R_{L_I}^G$$

3- Mackey formula

$I, J \subseteq S$ both F -stable and $\alpha \in W$

$L_I \cap {}^\alpha L_J$ is a standard Levi of both L_I and ${}^\alpha L_J$

Thm:
$${}^*R_{L_I}^G \circ R_{L_J}^G \triangleq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in W_I^F \backslash W^F / W_J^F} R_{L_I \cap {}^\alpha L_J}^{L_I} \circ {}^*R_{L_I \cap {}^\alpha L_J}^{L_J} \circ \text{ad } \alpha$$

III Harish-Chandra theory

Goal : compute $R_{L_I}^G(M)$ for M "minimal"

1- Cuspidality and series

def: (i) $X \in \text{Irr } kG^F$ is cuspidal if ${}^*R_{L_I}^G(X) = 0$
for every F -stable proper subset $I \subseteq S$

(ii) A cuspidal pair (L_I, X) is a pair with
 M a cuspidal kL_I^F -module

(iii) $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(L_I, X) = \{ M \in \text{Irr } kG^F \text{ s.t. } R_{L_I}^G(X) \twoheadrightarrow M \}$

Rmk: $*R_{L_I}^G(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ every map $R_{L_I}^G(N) \rightarrow X$ is zero
 $\leadsto X$ is "minimal"

Thm: $\text{Irr } kG^F = \bigsqcup_{\text{cusp. pairs } / \sim_{G^F}} \mathcal{E}(L_I, X)$

proof: let $M \in \text{Irr } kG^F$

Take I minimal s.t. $*R_{L_I}^G(M) = 0$ and $X \subseteq *R_{L_I}^G(M)$

Transitivity + exactness $\Rightarrow X$ is a cuspidal kL_I^F -module

Adjunction $\Rightarrow R_{L_I}^G(X) \twoheadrightarrow M$ (non zero and M is simple)

Therefore $\text{Irr } kG^F = \bigcup \mathcal{E}(L_I, X)$

let (L_I, X) and (L_J, Y) two cusp. pairs s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{L_I}^G(X) \twoheadrightarrow M & & R_{L_J}^G(Y) \twoheadrightarrow M \\ \uparrow & \nwarrow & \uparrow \\ R_{L_I}^G(P_X) & & R_{L_I}^G(P_X) \end{array}$$

hence $\text{Hom}_{G^F}(R_{L_I}^G(P_X), R_{L_J}^G(Y)) \neq 0$

$$\text{Hom}_{L_I^F}(P_X, {}^*R_{L_I}^G R_{L_J}^G(Y)) \quad [\text{adjunction}]$$

$$\bigoplus_{W_I^F \setminus W^F / W_J^F} \text{Hom}_{L_I^F}(P_X, R_{L_I n^{\lambda_J}}^{L_I} \underbrace{{}^*R_{L_I n^{\lambda_J}}^{L_J}({}^{\lambda_J}Y)}_{=0 \text{ unless } L_I \geq {}^{\lambda_J}L_J})$$

by symmetry one must have $y \in W$ s.t. $\mathbb{L}_J \supseteq {}^y \mathbb{L}_I$
 which force $\mathbb{L}_I = {}^z \mathbb{L}_J$ and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}^F}(R_{\mathbb{L}_I}^G(P_x), R_{\mathbb{L}_J}^G(Y)) \cong \bigoplus_{{}^z \mathbb{L}_J = \mathbb{L}_I} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}_I^F}(P_x, {}^z Y)$$

is non zero for $X \cong {}^z Y$ for some $z \in W^F$. $\square$

2. Endomorphism algebra

Let $(\mathbb{L}_I, X)$ be a cuspidal pair

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}_I, X) &= \text{End}_{\mathbb{G}^F}(R_{\mathbb{L}_I}^G(X)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}_I^F}(X, {}^* R_{\mathbb{L}_I}^G R_{\mathbb{L}_I}^G(X)) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{{}^z \mathbb{L}_I = \mathbb{L}_I} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{L}_I^F}(X, {}^z X) \quad \dim = |N_{W^F}(\mathbb{L}_I, X) / W_I^F| \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}, X)$ is close to be a Hecke algebra associated
 to $W(\mathbb{L}, X) = N_{W^F}(\mathbb{L}_I, X) / W_I^F$

Thm [Lusztig, Howlett-Lehrer, Geck-Hiss-Malle]

There is a natural basis of $\{T_w\}_{w \in W(\mathbb{L}, X)}$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{L}, X)$
 s.t. $T_w T_{w'} = \lambda(w, w') T_{ww'}$ when $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$
 for some cocycle $\lambda: W \times W \rightarrow k^\times$

Particular case: $\text{char } k = 0$

- $W(L, X)$ is a Coxeter gp (with natural simple ref. $S(L, X)$)
- $T_s^2 = (q_s - 1)T_s + q_s$ for $s \in S(L, X)$
- λ is trivial

$$\leadsto \mathcal{H}(L, X) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q_s}(W(L, X))$$

From now on we restrict to unipotent representations

Example (a) $G^F = GL_n(q)$

* $\text{char } k = 0$ (Π, k) is the only cuspidal pair

* $\text{char } k = \ell > 0$, $d = \text{order of } q \text{ modulo } \ell$

Given L , at most one cuspidal pair (L, X)

and exactly one iff $L \simeq GL_1^{n_1} \times GL_d^{n_0} \times GL_{d\ell}^{n_1} \times GL_{d\ell^2}^{n_2} \times \dots$

$$\text{In that case } \mathcal{H}(L, X) \simeq \mathcal{H}_q(\zeta_{n_1}) \otimes k\zeta_{n_0} \otimes k\zeta_{n_1} \otimes \dots$$

(b) $G^F = Sp_{2n}(q)$

* $\text{char } k = 0$ at most one cuspidal pair for a given Levi L

and exactly one if $L = Sp_{2m} \times (GL_1)^{n-m}$ with $m = t(t+1)$

$$\text{In that case } \mathcal{H}(L, X) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q^{2t+1}, q}(W(B_{n-m}))$$

i.e. $\overset{q^{2t+1}}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}} \text{---} \overset{q}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}} \text{---} \overset{q}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}} \text{---} \overset{q}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}} \dots \overset{q}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}} \text{---} \overset{q}{\underset{\circ}{\text{O}}}$

* $\text{char } k = \ell > 0$??? [D-Vergara-Voxeot]

3- Compatibility with ind/res

Q: How to compute R_L^G inside a Harish-Chandra series
 $(\mathbb{L}_I, X)$ wrt pair of G^F and $J \supseteq I$
 $\rightsquigarrow (L_I, X)$ wrt pair of L_J^F

For simplicity we assume $\text{char } k = 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{E}^G(\mathbb{L}_I, X) & \longleftrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W^G(\mathbb{L}_I, X) \\ R_J^G \uparrow \downarrow * R_J^G & \curvearrowright & \text{Ind} \uparrow \downarrow \text{Res} \\ \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{E}^{L_J}(\mathbb{L}_I, X) & \longleftrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \text{Irr } W^{L_J}(\mathbb{L}_I, X) \end{array}$$

Rmk: can be generalized to positive char.

Examples: (a) $G^F = GL_n(q)$ and $\text{char } k = 0$

Unipotent characters = principal series char = $\{ \rho_\lambda \}_{\lambda \vdash n}$

$$R_{GL_n}^{GL_{n+1}}(\rho_\lambda) = \sum \rho_{\lambda + \square}$$

e.g. $R_{GL_n}^{GL_{n+1}}(\text{trivial}) = \text{trivial} + \text{standard} + \dots$

$$(b) \ G^F = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q), \ \mathrm{char} k = 0$$

Unipotent characters $\leftrightarrow \{ (\lambda, \mu, t) \text{ with } (\lambda, \mu) \text{ bipart of } n - t(t+1) \}$

$$R_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}}^{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n+2}}(\rho(\lambda, \mu, t)) = \sum \rho(\lambda + \square, \mu, t) + \sum \rho(\lambda, \mu + \square, t)$$