On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negative eigenvalues. Rayleigh-Faber-Krahn inequalities for the principal frequencies

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner

University of Basel, Switzerland and RWTH Aachen

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



 Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain and ν is the outer normal. Consider the parabolic problem with dynamical boundary conditions

$$(PP) \qquad u_t - \Delta u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \partial_{\nu} u + \sigma u_t = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x). \end{cases}$$

J. Escher, J. v. Below, J.L. Vazquez and E. Vitillaro

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Separation of variables

$$u(x,t)=e^{-\lambda t}\varphi x$$

leads to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\textit{EP}) & \Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ & \partial_{\nu} \varphi = \lambda \sigma \varphi \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{array}$$

Note that $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\varphi_0 = \text{const.}$ is always an eigenpair.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Separation of variables

$$u(x,t)=e^{-\lambda t}\varphi x$$

leads to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} (EP) & \Delta \varphi + \lambda \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ & \partial_{\nu} \varphi = \lambda \sigma \varphi \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{array}$$

Note that $\lambda_0 = 0$, $\varphi_0 = \text{const.}$ is always an eigenpair.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

The corresponding *Rayleigh quotient* is

$$R[\varphi] = rac{\int_\Omega |
abla arphi|^2 \, dx}{\int_\Omega arphi^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega} arphi^2 \, ds}.$$

The cases $\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma < 0$ are essentially different.

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

3

The corresponding Rayleigh quotient is

$$R[arphi] = rac{\int_\Omega |
abla arphi|^2 \, dx}{\int_\Omega arphi^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega} arphi^2 \, ds}.$$

The cases $\sigma > 0$ and $\sigma < 0$ are essentially different.

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Theorem

There exist infinitely many eigenvalues

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n = \infty.$$

The corresponding system of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_j\}_0^\infty$ is complete in $H^1(\Omega)$.

<ロ> <同> <同> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

(b) $\sigma < 0, \ \sigma \neq \sigma_0 := -\frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial \Omega|}$ (C. B., J. v. Below and W. Reichel)

Set

$$a(v,v) := \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial \Omega} v^2 ds$$

and define

$$\mathcal{K}_{\pm j} := \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx = 1, a(\varphi_{\pm k}, v) = 0, \pm k \in \{0, 1 \cdots j - 1\} \right\}$$

Consequently, for $v \in \mathcal{K}_{\pm j}$

$$R[v] = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} v^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial \Omega} v^2 \, ds} = \frac{1}{a(v, v)}.$$

くロト (過) (目) (日)

æ

Theorem

The eigenvalues can be characterized by a variational principle.

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = \sup_{\mathcal{K}_j} a(v, v).$$

Taking into account their multiplicity we have $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \cdots$.

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-j}} = \inf_{\mathcal{K}_{-j}} a(v, v).$$

Analogously we have $0 = \lambda_0 > \lambda_{-1} \ge \cdots$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

In particular

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} = \sup_{\mathcal{K}} a(v, v).$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-1}} = \inf_{\mathcal{K}} a(v, v).$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} \in H^1(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}|^2 \, d\boldsymbol{x} = 1, \, \boldsymbol{a}(1, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = 0 \right\}.$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

æ

We denote by $\{\varphi_{\pm j}\}_0^\infty$ the eigenfunctions which correspond to $\lambda_{\pm j}.$

- $\{\varphi_j\}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthogonal basis $(a(\varphi_j, \varphi_i) = \delta_{ij})$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.
- If n > 1, then $\lim_{m \to \infty} \lambda_{\pm m} = \pm \infty$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

The case n = 1 is special. Let $\Omega = [0, L]$.

- If *σ* < -L/2, then there exists exactly one negative eigenvalue λ₋₁ with a sign-changing eigenfunction.
- If −L/2 < σ < 0, then there exist exactly two negative eigenvalues λ₋₂ < λ₋₁ < 0.
 λ₋₁ is simple.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Theorem

If
$$\sigma < \sigma_0 = -\frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial \Omega|}$$
, then λ_1 is simple and
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} = \sup_{H^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} v^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial \Omega} v^2 \, ds}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx}.$$

2 If $\sigma_0 < \sigma < 0$, then λ_{-1} is simple and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-1}} = \inf_{H^1(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} v^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial \Omega} v^2 \, ds}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx}$$

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

The role of σ_0

Observe that the condition a(v, 1) = 0 is not required because it is automatically fulfilled.: Assume $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx = 1$ for some $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $a(v, 1) \neq 0$. Define $c := -\frac{a(v, 1)}{a(1, 1)}$, this leads to a(v + c, 1) = a(v, 1) + c a(1, 1) = 0

and

$$a(v + c, v + c) = \ldots = a(v, v) - \frac{a(v, 1)^2}{a(1, 1)}.$$

Note: $a(1, 1) = |\Omega| + \sigma |\partial \Omega|$

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

The role of σ_0

Thus, if
$$\sigma < \sigma_0 = -\frac{|\Omega|}{|\partial \Omega|}$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1(\sigma)} \ge a(v+c, v+c) \ge a(v, v)$$
and if $\sigma_0 < \sigma < 0$
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-1}(\sigma)} \le a(v+c, v+c) \le a(v, v).$$

<ロト <回 > < 注 > < 注 > 、

∃ 𝒫𝔄𝔅



Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

Definition

 Ω^* = ball of the same volume as Ω , ($|\Omega^*| = |\Omega|$).

Theorem

- Let σ > 0. Then the ball is a critical domain. However it is neither a local minimizer nor a local maximizer.
- 2 Let $\sigma < \sigma_0 < 0$ Then $\lambda_1(\Omega) > \lambda_1(\Omega^*)$.
- Solution Let $\sigma_0 < \sigma < 0$. Then $\lambda_{-1}(\Omega) > \lambda_{-1}(\Omega^*)$ for nearly spherical domains Ω .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●



프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

3

Proof (1), $\sigma > 0$

Recall

$$\lambda_1(\sigma) = R(\varphi) == rac{\int_\Omega |
abla \varphi|^2 \, dx}{\int_\Omega \varphi^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2 \, ds},$$

where φ denotes the corresponding eigenfunction.

Let $(\Omega_t)_t$ be a family of smoothly perturbed domains such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega^*$. Set

$$R(ilde{arphi}(t)) = rac{\int_{\Omega_t} |
abla ilde{arphi}(t)|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_t} ilde{arphi}(t)^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega_t} ilde{arphi}(t)^2 \, ds},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(t; y_t)$ denotes the corresponding eigenfunction for Ω_t and $y_t \in \Omega_t$.

(ロ) (同) (目) (日) (日) (の)

Proof (1), $\sigma > 0$

Recall

$$\lambda_1(\sigma) = R(\varphi) == rac{\int_\Omega |
abla \varphi|^2 \, dx}{\int_\Omega \varphi^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega} \varphi^2 \, ds},$$

where φ denotes the corresponding eigenfunction.

Let $(\Omega_t)_t$ be a family of smoothly perturbed domains such that $\Omega_0 = \Omega^*$. Set

$${old R}(ilde{arphi}(t)) = rac{\int_{\Omega_t} |
abla ilde{arphi}(t)|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_t} ilde{arphi}(t)^2 \, dx + \sigma \oint_{\partial\Omega_t} ilde{arphi}(t)^2 \, ds},$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \varphi(t; y_t)$ denotes the corresponding eigenfunction for Ω_t and $y_t \in \Omega_t$.

(ロ) (同) (目) (日) (日) (の)

Proof (1), $\sigma > 0$

Then

$$rac{d}{dt}R(ilde{arphi}(t))|_{t=0}=0$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} R(\tilde{\varphi}(t))|_{t=0} = 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} c_i^2 \mu_i^2 \left(-\frac{1}{\mu_2} + \frac{\lambda_1 \sigma \varphi(R)}{k(R)} \right) \\ -\lambda_1 \sigma \varphi^2(R) \ddot{S}(0) - k(R) \varphi(R) \ddot{V}(0).$$

Any sign can occur.

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

∃ 𝒫𝔄𝔅

Proofs (2) $\lambda_1(\Omega) > \lambda_1(\Omega^*), \sigma < \sigma_0$

Consider the Robin eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta \psi + \mu_1 \psi = 0$$
 in Ω , $\partial_v \psi = \alpha \psi$ on $\partial \Omega$, $\alpha < 0$.

The first eigenvalue $\alpha \to \mu_1(\alpha)$ is concave. $\lambda_1(\sigma)$ is the intersection of $\mu(\alpha)$ with the line α/σ . ($\sigma < \sigma_0$!) By the RFK inequality of Bossel $\mu^{\Omega}(\alpha) \ge \mu^{\Omega^*}(\alpha)$.

 \implies For all $\sigma < \sigma_0$ we have $\lambda_1(\Omega) \ge \lambda_1(\Omega^*)$.



We consider the Robin problem

 $\Delta \psi + \mu_1 \psi = 0$ in Ω , $\partial_{\nu} \psi = \alpha \psi$ on $\partial \Omega$, $\alpha > 0$.

In this case a RFK type inequality is not valid in general, s. Bareket, Ferone, Nitsch and Trombetti, Freitas and Krejcirik.

Lemma

C. B. and A. W. For nearly spherical domains

 $\mu_1^{\Omega}(\alpha) \leq \mu^{\Omega^*}(\alpha).$

Catherine Bandle and Alfred Wagner On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

Proofs (3) $\lambda_{-1}(\Omega) > \lambda_{-1}(\Omega^*)$

The same arguments as before apply.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

The lower bound given in the previous theorem holds only locally for domains near the ball. By means of the *harmonic transplantation* it is possible to construct global lower bounds.

Notation

$$G(x, y) = s_n(|x - y|) + h(x, y) : \text{ Green's function}$$

$$\Delta_x h(\cdot, y) = 0 \text{ and } s_n(t) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log(t) & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{(n-2)|\partial B_1|} t^{2-n} & \text{if } n > 2 \end{cases}$$

$$r(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-h(y,y)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ h(y,y)^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} & \text{if } n > 2. \end{cases}$$

$$r_{\Omega} := max_{\Omega} r(y) : \text{ harmonic radius.}$$

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Isoperimetric inequality:

$$|B_{r_0}| \le |\Omega|.$$

Note that $G_{B_R}(x, 0)$ is a monotone function in r = |x|. Consider any radial function $\phi : B_{r_{\Omega}} \to \mathbb{R}$ thus $\phi(x) = \phi(r)$. Then there exists a function $\omega : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi(x) = \omega(G_{B_{r_{\Omega}}}(x,0)).$$

To ϕ we associate the transplanted function $U : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $U(x) = \omega(G_{\Omega}(x, y_h))$. Then for any positive monotone function f(s), the following inequalities hold true.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \qquad (1)$$
$$\int_{\Omega} f(U) dx \ge \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} f(\phi) dx. \qquad (2)$$
$$\int_{\Omega} f(U) dx \le \gamma^n \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} f(\phi) dx, \qquad (3)$$

where $\gamma = \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|B_{\Gamma_{\Omega}}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Moreover since U is constant on $\partial\Omega$ $(U = U(\partial\Omega))$ and since ϕ is radial we deduce $\int_{\partial\Omega} U^{2} dS = U^{2}(\partial\Omega) |\partial\Omega| = \phi^{2}(r_{\Omega}) |\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}| \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{|\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}|} \qquad (4)$ $= \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{|\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}|} \int \phi^{2} dS.$

On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} |\nabla \phi|^2 dx \qquad (1)$$
$$\int_{\Omega} f(U) dx \ge \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} f(\phi) dx. \qquad (2)$$
$$\int_{\Omega} f(U) dx \le \gamma^n \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} f(\phi) dx, \qquad (3)$$

where $\gamma = \left(\frac{|\Omega|}{|B_{r_{\Omega}}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Moreover since *U* is constant on $\partial\Omega$ $(U = U(\partial\Omega))$ and since ϕ is radial we deduce

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} U^2 \, dS = U^2(\partial\Omega) \, |\partial\Omega| = \phi^2(r_\Omega) \, |\partial B_{r_\Omega}| \, \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{|\partial B_{r_\Omega}|} \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}|} \int_{\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}} \phi^2 \, dS.$$

On an eigenvalue problem with infinitely many positive and negati

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Let *u* be a positive normalized radial eigenfunction for λ_{-1} in $B_{r_{\Omega}}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{\sigma'}(B_{r_{\Omega}})$, where $\sigma' := \sigma \frac{|\partial \Omega||B_{r_{\Omega}}|}{|\Omega||B_{r_{\Omega}}|}$. Let *U* be the transplanted function of *u* in Ω . Then we get

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-1}^{\sigma}(\Omega)} \leq \int_{\Omega} U^2 \, dx - |\sigma| \int_{\partial \Omega} U^2 \, dS.$$

We apply (3) to the first integral on the right -hand side and (4) to the second one. Thus

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{-1}^{\sigma}(\Omega)} \leq \gamma^{n} \int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} u^{2} dx - |\sigma| \frac{|\partial \Omega|}{|\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}|} \int_{\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}} u^{2} dS$$
$$= \gamma^{n} \left(\int_{B_{r_{\Omega}}} u^{2} dx + \sigma' \int_{\partial B_{r_{\Omega}}} u^{2} dS \right).$$

▲□ → ▲ 三 → ▲ 三 → りへ(~

Thus

$$0 > \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma}(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{\gamma^{n}} \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma'}(B_{r_{\Omega}})$$

We may rewrite this inequality as

$$|\Omega| \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma}(\Omega) \geq |B_{r_{\Omega}}| \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma'}(B_{r_{\Omega}}).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Theorem

Let $\sigma_0 < \sigma < 0$ and let $\sigma' := \sigma \frac{|\partial \Omega||B_{r_{\Omega}}|}{|\Omega||B_{r_{\Omega}}|}$. Then $|\Omega| \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma}(\Omega) \ge |B_{r_{\Omega}}| \lambda_{-1}^{\sigma'}(B_{r_{\Omega}}).$

Equality holds if and only if $\Omega = B_{r_{\Omega}}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のへで