

A Sharp Lower Bound for the First Eigenvalue of the Vibrating Clamped Plate Problem under Compression

Mark S. Ashbaugh

Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA

November, 2016

Luminy (Marseille), France

November 21, 2016

In collaboration with

R. Benguria (PUC, Chile), R. Mahadevan (U. de Concepción, Chile)

Outline

- Statement.
- History.
- Result.
- Sketch of Proof.
- References.

Outline

- Statement.
- History.
- Result.
- Sketch of Proof.
- References.

Outline

- Statement.
- History.
- Result.
- Sketch of Proof.
- References.

Outline

- Statement.
- History.
- Result.
- Sketch of Proof.
- References.

Outline

- Statement.
- History.
- Result.
- Sketch of Proof.
- References.

Eigenvalue Problem

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ bounded open.

Eigenvalue problem for clamped plate under compression $\kappa > 0$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta^2 u + \kappa \Delta u &= \lambda u && \text{in } \Omega \\ u = |\nabla u| &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

We take $\kappa < \lambda_{buckling}$ where

$$\lambda_{buckling} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx} : \varphi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

whereby, the operator $\Delta^2 + \kappa \Delta$ is uniformly elliptic and self-adjoint.

First eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda(\Omega, \kappa)$ given by the variational characterization

$$\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\Delta \varphi|^2 - \kappa |\nabla \varphi|^2) dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx} : \varphi \in H_0^2(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Shape Optimization Problem

Do we have

$$\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa),$$

where Ω^* is a ball of the same volume as Ω ?

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

History

- For the clamped plate problem ($\kappa = 0$) this is one of Rayleigh's conjectures.
 - Szegő [6, 7] showed the conjecture under the assumption that first eigenfunction u keeps same sign. Essentially by rearranging Δu in Ω .
 - But this hypothesis is not true as follows from the works of Duffin, Shaffer, Coffman.
 - For any n , Talenti [9] showed $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa = 0) \geq c_n \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa = 0)$ for some constant $c_n \in (0, 1]$. c_n depends on the dimension.
 - For $n = 2$, Nadirashvili [5] shows the optimal result with $c_2 = 1$.
 - For $n = 3$ (and $n = 2$), Ashbaugh and Benguria [1] showed the optimal result with $c_3 = 1$.
- For clamped plate and buckling problem in higher dimensions with better constants, see Ashbaugh, Benguria, and Laugesen [2, 3].
- Free plate problem under tension - see Weinstein and Chien [10], Chasman [4].

Result

Theorem (M. S. A.; R. Benguria; R. Mahadevan)

For $n = 2$, we have $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq \lambda(\Omega^, \kappa)$ for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some $a < \lambda_{\text{buckling}}$.*

Ω^ is a ball of the same volume as Ω (and whose radius we denote by L).*

- Note: The value of a is calculable but not optimal.

Result

Theorem (M. S. A.; R. Benguria; R. Mahadevan)

For $n = 2$, we have $\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq \lambda(\Omega^, \kappa)$ for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some $a < \lambda_{\text{buckling}}$.*

Ω^ is a ball of the same volume as Ω (and whose radius we denote by L).*

- Note: The value of a is calculable but not optimal.

Idea

- The idea of the proof is much the same as that in Ashbaugh and Benguria [1].
- Reduce to a two-ball optimization problem [1, 2, 3, 5, 9] using a rearrangement result of Talenti [8].
- Then study the two-ball optimization problem carefully using properties of Bessel functions.
- For $n = 2$, the analysis shows us that the solution of the two-ball problem corresponds to the situation where one ball degenerates to a point for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some value of $a < \lambda_{buckling}$.
Note: We don't obtain the result for $n = 3$ unlike the clamped plate problem [1].

Idea

- The idea of the proof is much the same as that in Ashbaugh and Benguria [1].
- Reduce to a two-ball optimization problem [1, 2, 3, 5, 9] using a rearrangement result of Talenti [8].
- Then study the two-ball optimization problem carefully using properties of Bessel functions.
- For $n = 2$, the analysis shows us that the solution of the two-ball problem corresponds to the situation where one ball degenerates to a point for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some value of $a < \lambda_{\text{buckling}}$.
Note: We don't obtain the result for $n = 3$ unlike the clamped plate problem [1].

Idea

- The idea of the proof is much the same as that in Ashbaugh and Benguria [1].
- Reduce to a two-ball optimization problem [1, 2, 3, 5, 9] using a rearrangement result of Talenti [8].
- Then study the two-ball optimization problem carefully using properties of Bessel functions.
- For $n = 2$, the analysis shows us that the solution of the two-ball problem corresponds to the situation where one ball degenerates to a point for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some value of $a < \lambda_{\text{buckling}}$.
Note: We don't obtain the result for $n = 3$ unlike the clamped plate problem [1].

Idea

- The idea of the proof is much the same as that in Ashbaugh and Benguria [1].
- Reduce to a two-ball optimization problem [1, 2, 3, 5, 9] using a rearrangement result of Talenti [8].
- Then study the two-ball optimization problem carefully using properties of Bessel functions.
- For $n = 2$, the analysis shows us that the solution of the two-ball problem corresponds to the situation where one ball degenerates to a point for $\kappa \in [0, a]$ for some value of $a < \lambda_{buckling}$.
Note: We don't obtain the result for $n = 3$ unlike the clamped plate problem [1].

Reduction to the two ball problem

We shall use the following theorem, a slight variant of a result of Talenti.

Theorem (cf. Talenti [8], Theorem 1)

Let G be a domain in R^2 , $F \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p > 1$ if $n = 2$. Let U be the solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta U &= F && \text{in } G \\ U &= 0 && \text{on } \partial G \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.4)$$

and let Z be the solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta Z &= F^* && \text{in } G^* \\ Z &= 0 && \text{on } \partial G^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.5)$$

where F^* is radially symmetric decreasing and equimeasurable with F on G^* . Then, if $U \geq 0$ on G , we have:

- $Z \geq U^* \geq 0$ on G^* and therefore, $\int_{G^*} |Z|^2 dx \geq \int_G |U|^2 dx$.
- $\int_{G^*} |\nabla Z|^2 dx \geq \int_G |\nabla U|^2 dx$

Note: If $n > 2$, the same result is true when $F \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)$.

Reduction to the two ball problem

- Ω^* ball centered at the origin of radius L with volume $|\Omega|$.
- u any first eigenfunction for (2.1) in Ω . Since u may not keep the same sign, we take
 $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}$ and $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$
 Ω_{\pm}^* balls with the same volume as Ω_+ and Ω_- centered at the origin, with a and b their radii.
 We have $a^2 + b^2 = L^2$.
- $f(x) = (-\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_+^*$; $g(x) = (\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_-^*$. Let v and w solve

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta v &= f && \text{in } \Omega_+^* \\ v &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_+^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= g && \text{in } \Omega_-^* \\ w &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_-^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.7)$$

Reduction to the two ball problem

- Ω^* ball centered at the origin of radius L with volume $|\Omega|$.
- u any first eigenfunction for (2.1) in Ω . Since u may not keep the same sign, we take

$$\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\} \text{ and } \Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$$

Ω_{\pm}^* balls with the same volume as Ω_+ and Ω_- centered at the origin, with a and b their radii.

We have $a^2 + b^2 = L^2$.

- $f(x) = (-\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_+^*$; $g(x) = (\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_-^*$. Let v and w solve

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta v &= f && \text{in } \Omega_+^* \\ v &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_+^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= g && \text{in } \Omega_-^* \\ w &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_-^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.7)$$

Reduction to the two ball problem

- Ω^* ball centered at the origin of radius L with volume $|\Omega|$.
- u any first eigenfunction for (2.1) in Ω . Since u may not keep the same sign, we take
 $\Omega_+ = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > 0\}$ and $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) < 0\}$
 Ω_{\pm}^* balls with the same volume as Ω_+ and Ω_- centered at the origin, with a and b their radii.
 We have $a^2 + b^2 = L^2$.
- $f(x) = (-\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_+^*$; $g(x) = (\Delta u)^*(x), x \in \Omega_-^*$. Let v and w solve

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta v &= f && \text{in } \Omega_+^* \\ v &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_+^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta w &= g && \text{in } \Omega_-^* \\ w &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega_-^* \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.7)$$

Reduction to the two-ball problem

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_+} |-\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-} |\Delta u|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_+^*} f^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} g^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_+^*} |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\Delta w|^2 dx. \quad (6.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

- By Talenti's theorem, we also have

$$\int_{\Omega_+^*} |v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_+^*} |u_+^*|^2 dx \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_-^*} |w|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_-^*} |u_-^*|^2 dx \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_+^*} |\nabla v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_+^*} |\nabla u_+^*|^2 dx \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\nabla u_-^*|^2 dx. \quad (6.10)$$

Reduction to the two-ball problem

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega_+} |-\Delta u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-} |\Delta u|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_+^*} f^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} g^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_+^*} |\Delta v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\Delta w|^2 dx. \quad (6.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

- By Talenti's theorem, we also have

$$\int_{\Omega_+^*} |v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_+^*} |u_+^*|^2 dx \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_-^*} |w|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_-^*} |u_-^*|^2 dx \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_+^*} |\nabla v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_+^*} |\nabla u_+^*|^2 dx \text{ and } \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\nabla w|^2 dx \geq \int_{\Omega_-^*} |\nabla u_-^*|^2 dx. \quad (6.10)$$

Reduction to the two-ball problem

So, we conclude

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u|^2 - \kappa |\nabla u|^2) \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx} \geq \frac{\int_{\Omega_+^*} (|\Delta v|^2 - \kappa |\nabla v|^2) \, dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} (|\Delta w|^2 - \kappa |\nabla w|^2) \, dx}{\int_{\Omega_+^*} |v|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega_-^*} |w|^2 \, dx}$$

This gives

$$\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq J_{a,b} \quad (6.11)$$

for some a, b with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ where

$$J_{a,b} = \min_{v,w} \frac{\int_{|x| \leq a} (|\Delta v|^2 - \kappa |\nabla v|^2) \, dx + \int_{|x| \leq b} (|\Delta w|^2 - \kappa |\nabla w|^2) \, dx}{\int_{|x| \leq a} |v|^2 \, dx + \int_{|x| \leq b} |w|^2 \, dx} \quad (6.12)$$

minimum over $v \in H^2(B_a)$, $w \in H^2(B_b)$ radial and $a \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \big|_{\partial B_a} = b \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \big|_{\partial B_b}$.

Consequently,

$$\lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq \min_{\Omega} \lambda(\Omega, \kappa) \geq \min_{a,b} J_{a,b}. \quad (6.13)$$

We will be done if we can show

$$\min_{a,b} J_{a,b} \geq J_{L,0} = \lambda(\Omega^*, \kappa) \quad (6.14)$$

Variational equations for the two-ball problem

Before we analyze $\min_{a,b} J_{a,b}$, let us write the variational equations at the minimum for $J_{a,b}$ for fixed a, b .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta^2 v + \kappa \Delta v &= \lambda v && \text{in } B_a \\ v &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_a \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta^2 w + \kappa \Delta w &= \lambda w && \text{in } B_b \\ w &= 0 && \text{on } \partial B_b. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.16)$$

In addition,

$$a \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \Big|_{\partial B_a} = b \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \Big|_{\partial B_b} \quad (6.17)$$

and

$$\Delta v|_{\partial B_a} + \Delta w|_{\partial B_b} = 0. \quad (6.18)$$

Solution of the variational equations for the two-ball problem

For given a, b , want to obtain radial solutions v, w in the two-balls to

$$(\Delta^2 + \kappa\Delta)U = \lambda U.$$

Writing this as

$$(\Delta - \alpha^2)(\Delta + \beta^2)U = 0$$

with $(\alpha, \beta > 0)$

$$\alpha^2 = \sqrt{\lambda + \kappa^2/4} - \kappa/2, \quad \beta^2 = \sqrt{\lambda + \kappa^2/4} + \kappa/2$$

we get v, w to be of the form

$$v(r) = AJ_0(\beta r) + BI_0(\alpha r), \quad w(r) = CJ_0(\beta r) + DI_0(\alpha r). \quad (6.19)$$

$v(a) = 0 = w(b)$, $av'(a) = bw'(b)$ and $\Delta v|_{\partial B_a} + \Delta w|_{\partial B_b} = 0$ lead to a system of 4 homogeneous equations in A, B, C, D .

Solution of the variational equations for the two-ball problem







The above has a non-trivial solution iff λ is a zero of





$$F(\alpha, \beta, a) = f(\alpha, \beta, a) + f(\alpha, \beta, b), \quad (a^2 + b^2 = L^2) \quad (6.20)$$

with

$$f(\alpha, \beta, a) = a\beta \frac{J_1(\beta a)}{J_0(\beta a)} + a\alpha \frac{I_1(\alpha a)}{I_0(\alpha a)}. \quad (6.21)$$

References

-  Ashbaugh M. S., and R. D. Benguria, *On Rayleigh's conjecture for the clamped plate and its generalization to three dimensions*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), 1–17.
-  Ashbaugh, M. S., R. D. Benguria, and R. S. Laugesen, *Inequalities for the first eigenvalues of the clamped plate and buckling problems, General Inequalities, 7 (Oberwolfach, 1995)* (edited by C. Bandle, W. N. Everitt, L. Losonczi, and W. Walter), pp. 95–110, Internat. Ser. of Numer. Math. **123**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
-  Ashbaugh, M. S., and R. S. Laugesen, *Fundamental tones and buckling loads of clamped plates*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **23** (1996), 383–402.
-  Chasman, L. M., *An isoperimetric inequality for fundamental tones of free plates*, Commun. Math. Phys. **303** (2011), 421–449.
-  Nadirashvili, N. S., *Rayleigh's conjecture on the principal frequency of the clamped plate*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **129** (1995), 1–10.
-  Szegő, G., *On membranes and plates*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **36** (1950), 210–216.

-  Szegő, G., *Note to my paper "On membranes and plates"*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **44** (1958), 314–316.
-  Talenti, G., *Elliptic equations and rearrangement*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **3** (1976), 697–718.
-  Talenti, G., *On the first eigenvalue of the clamped plate*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **129** (1981), 265–280.
-  Weinstein, A., and W. Z. Chien, *On the vibrations of a clamped plate under tension*, Quart. Appl. Math. **1** (1943), 61–68.