Methods for Constructing Multivariate Tight Wavelet Frames

Joachim Stöckler TU Dortmund

joint work with M. Charina, M. Putinar, C. Scheiderer

MAIA 2016, Luminy Multivariate Tight Wavelet Frames

Tight wavelet frames

A tight wavelet frame of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a family

$$X(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_N) =$$

$$\{\psi_{n;j,k}(x) = |\det M|^{j/2}\psi_n(M^jx-k) : 1 \le n \le N, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$$

obtained by dilations by powers of the matrix $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{d \times d}$ and shifts by \mathbb{Z}^d of the functions $\psi_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, such that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\langle f, \psi_{n;j,k} \rangle|^2$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

 $\mathbb{T}^d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d: |z_1| = \cdots |z_d| = 1\}$ is the *d*-dimensional torus.

Special types of these frames can be constructed by solving the following matrix-extension (or matrix factorization) problem (Ron, Shen 1997):

Given a vector

$$F(z) = (F_1(z), \ldots, F_m(z))^T$$

of trigonometric polynomials $F_j \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^d]$,

$$F_j(z) = \sum_{0 \le |lpha| \le r} c_{lpha} z^{lpha}, \quad z = (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

find a matrix G(z) of trigonometric polynomials such that

$$I_{m\times m}-F(z)F(z)^*=G(z)G(z)^*.$$

UEP = Unitary Extension Principle

Find a matrix $G \in (\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^d])^{m imes N}$ such that

$$I_{m\times m}-F(z)F(z)^*=G(z)G(z)^*.$$

Warm-up: Can we have $G \in (\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{T}^d])^{m \times m}$? Yes, but ... this requires

$$\det(I_{m \times m} - F(z)F(z)^*) = 1 - F(z)^*F(z) = |\det G(z)|^2$$

be a single square modulus of a trigonometric polynomial.

This property is very restrictive for multivariate trignometric polynomials!

UEP = Unitary Extension Principle

Observations from Linear Algebra (with Lai 2006) reduce the matrix extension problem to a scalar problem:

$$I - F(z)F(z)^* = G(z)G(z)^*$$
matrix extension

$$H^* = (1 - F^*F, F^*G) \qquad \downarrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad G = (I - FF^*, FH^*)$$

$$1 - F(z)^*F(z) = H(z)^*H(z)$$
scalar extension

Proof: If $\begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m+N}$ is a vector of norm 1 in the scalar extension, then $I_{m+N} - \begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix}^* = \left(I_{m+N} - \begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix}^*\right)^2,$

so taking the first m rows of the left-hand side gives a proper matrix G.

UEP = Unitary Extension Principle

Connection to Algebraic Geometry:

Existence of "sum-of-squares" decompositions

$$1-\sum_{j=1}^{m}|F_{j}(z)|^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}|H_{n}(z)|^{2}, \qquad z\in\mathbb{T}^{d},$$

with trigonometric polynomials H_j is related to Hilbert's 17th problem.

- Even if "sum-of-squares" decompositions exist, there are no a-priori bounds on the number N and the degree of H_j, in general.
- M. Marshall, Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares, 2010.

M. Charina, M. Putinar, C. Scheiderer, J. S.: An algebraic perspective on multivariate tight wavelet frames, part I (Constr. Approx. 2013) and II (Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 2015).

Examples where UEP works

Box-splines on \mathbb{R}^d with direction set $\Xi \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $d + d_0$ distinct directions:

• The scaling-symbol is a product of univariate trigonometric polynomials

$$F_1(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{d+d_0} \left(rac{1+z^{\xi_k}}{2}
ight)^{r_k}$$

The vector F(z) has components F_1, \ldots, F_{2^d} , where F_j 's come from putting negative signs to some/all coordinates z_k .

• The scalar sos-decomposition

$$1 - F(z)^*F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N |H_j(z)|^2$$

exists with $N = d + d_0 2^d$ trigonometric polynomials H_j . The main step of the proof uses the Riesz-Féjer Lemma.

Examples where UEP works

Improvements for box-splines: Semi-Definite Programming (SDP)

- The number of terms in the sos-decomposition can be reduced by a standard method for positive polynomials.
 - Take a monomial vector

$$X(z) = [z^{\alpha}; \alpha \in A],$$

where A contains all monomials that appear in H_1, \ldots, H_N , and write

$$1 - F(z)^*F(z) = X(z)BX(z)^*.$$

with a hermitian positive semi-definite matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{|A| \times |A|}$ which is computed from the coefficients of H_j 's.

Using SDP, find another representation

$$1 - F(z)^*F(z) = X(z)CX(z)^*$$

where C is hermitian and positive semi-defnite with smaller rank. Then find new H_j 's from C.

 For the piecewise linear box-spline in ℝ² we reduce the number of frame generators from 10 to 6. (with M. Charina, JAT 2010) Examples of tight frames based on the refinable function of subdivision schemes:

- dimension 2: For the butterfly scheme (N. Dyn, J. Gregory, D. Levin, 1990), we find a tight frame with 13 frame generators; an earlier approach gave 18 generators.
- dimension 3: For the butterfly scheme (Chang, McDonnell, Qin, 2003), we find a tight frame with 31 frame generators. Improvements using the SDP approach were not attempted.
- Several other examples by Antolin and Zalik, Lai and Nam, since 2006.
- extension to irregular subdivision with the Loop scheme

General results from Algebraic Geometry

Motivation came from work of C. Scheiderer, *Sums of squares on real algebraic surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. 119 (2006), 395-410:

- For dimension d = 2, the sos-decomposition always exists.
 But there are no bounds on the number N and the degree r of the trigonometric polynomials H_j.
- For dimension d ≥ 3, there exist non-negative trigonometric polynomials which are NOT sum-of-squares of trigonometric polynomials.

Another Perspective: System Theory

The connection to System Theory is established, when we consider z as a complex variable in the polydisk

$$\mathbb{D}^d = \{(z_1,\ldots,z_d) \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z_k| < 1 \text{ for } 1 \le k \le d\}.$$

The multivariate theory was developed by Agler and McCarthy, Bose, Ball and Trent since 1990.

Another Perspective: System Theory

For dimensions $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$ we define a block diagonal matrix

$$Z = \operatorname{diag}(z_1 I_{n_1}, \ldots, z_d I_{n_d}).$$

Theorem (Agler 1990, Cole, Wermer 1999)

Assume that the polynomial vector $\begin{bmatrix} F \\ H \end{bmatrix} : \mathbb{D}^d \to \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{m}}$ satisfies

$$F(z)^*F(z)+H(z)^*H(z)=1$$
 for all $z\in\mathbb{T}^d$

and is an element of the Schur-Agler class. Then there exist $n_1, \ldots, n_d \in \mathbb{N}$, $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_d$, and a contraction

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(\tilde{m}+N)\times(1+N)}$$

such that

$$egin{bmatrix} F(z)\ H(z) \end{bmatrix} = A + BZ(I - DZ)^{-1}C, \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}^d. \end{cases}$$

This is called a realization of $[F, H]^T$ as the transfer function of a linear system.

Another Perspective: System Theory

• Schur-Agler class: subset of all holomorphic function vectors with

$$F(z)^*F(z) \leq 1, \qquad z \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

which satisfies the von Neumann inequality

$$\|F(T_1,\ldots,T_d)\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq 1$$

for every *d*-tupel of commuting contractions on an arbitrary Hilbert space.

- Similar obstacles as for sum-of-squares: For dimension d ≥ 3, not all polynomial vectors with F*F ≤ 1 on T^d are in the Schur-Agler class (Varopoulos 1974)
- Algorithms for d = 1 and d = 2: Kummert (1989), Basu (2000)

Our "benchmark" example of piecewise linear box-spline frame in \mathbb{R}^2 : Improvement from 6 frame generators (SDP approach) to 5 generators. This is the minimum!

More general OEP = Oblique Extension Principle

More tight wavelet frames are obtained by finding the factorization

$$K(z) - F(z)L(z)F(z)^* = G(z)G(z)^*$$

where K(z) is a given diagonal matrix with trig. polynomials $K_{jj}(z) > 0$, and the trig. polynomial L(z) > 0 is related to $K_{11}(z)$ by some scaling operation.

 ${\cal K}$ is used to increase the number of vanishing moments of wavelet frames.

More general OEP = Oblique Extension Principle

Basic assumption: K has a factorization

$$K(z) = R(z)R(z)^*$$

where R is an $m \times r$ -matrix of trig. polynomials.

Then the scalar extension

$$\frac{1}{L(z)} - F^*(z)K(z)^{-1}F(z) = H(z)^*H(z)$$

with rational trig. vector $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_N)$ leads to

$$K(z) - F(z)L(z)F(z)^* = G(z)G(z)^*$$

where

$$G = (R - FLF^*(R^{\dagger})^*, FLH^*)$$

and $R^{\dagger}(z) = R(z)^* K(z)^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of R(z).

More general OEP = Oblique Extension Principle

Work to be done:

- Results for OEP with trig. polynomials instead of rational functions are only known for special examples.
- The connection to System Theory has not been explored in full generality.

THANK YOU!