





From Metronomic to.... Chaotic Therapy?

Nicolas ANDRE

Paediatric Haematology/Oncology Children Hospital Of La Timone INSERM-U911 Metronomics Global Health Initiative Marseille, France nicolas.andre@ap-hm.fr





DECLARATION

• I have no conflict of interest to declare

© Cartoonbank.com



"Try this—I just bought a hundred shares."













CANCER Global Killer



Incidence of New Cancers Worldwide Will DOUBLE Between 2002 and 2030



Source: US Center for Disease Control







Chronic Myeloid Leukemia



Simple predictable disease = Simple treatment

GLEEVEC

- Same dose
- Every day



Adjust

- PK

- Toxicity/Resistance



Simple predictable disease = Simple treatment







Complex unpredictable disease ???



Gleevec: The Exception that Proves the Rule...?



First-line crizotinib versus chemotherapy in ALK-positive lung cancer. Solomon B al. N Engl J Med. 2014;371(23):2167-77.



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE



(Wagle et al., J. Clin. Oncol. 2011)

B-RAF inhibitor: PLX4032



23 weeks of treatment: widespread disease relapse (visceral, subcutaneous)

MEK1 mutation associated to resistance to B-RAF inhibitor







Evolution of Chemotherapy

will lead us to present innovative alternative ways of administering metronomic chemotherapy [2–4] tion of chemotherapeutic drugs at without prolonged drug-free brea i.e. modulating the dose and frequ trations in order to maintain a cons chemotherapy is expected to bring

Please cite this article in press as precision medicine. Semin Cance

without prolonged drug-free b i.e. modulating the dose and free trations in order to maintain a c chemotherapy is expected to br

Please cite this article in pres precision medicine. Semin Ca treatments for cancer patients, thanks to the advances made in biology, chemistry, physics, mathematics and engineering (Fig. 1).

* Corresponding author at: SMARTc, Pharmacokinetics Unit, Faculté de Pharmacie de Marseille, 27 Bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille 05, France. *E-mail address:* joseph.ciccolini@univ-amu.fr (J. Ciccolini).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.09.002 1044-579X/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Here we will try to address the the early theoretical concepts the widespread use of what is now c apy" – i.e. the administration of c maximum tolerated dose (MTD), w cures to allow for the patient to related toxicities. We will explain

http

1044

ncer.2015.09.002 Il rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Benzekry S, et al. Metronomic reloaded: Theoretical models brin Ple precision medicine. Semin Cancer Biol (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.09.002 pre

Please cite this article in press as: Benzekry precision medicine. Semin Cancer Biol (201

without prolonged drug-fi i.e. modulating the dose a trations in order to mainta chemotherapy is expected

Please cite this article in precision medicine. Sem

..e. modulating the dose and f trations in order to maintain a chemotherapy is expected to b

Please cite this article in pre precision medicine. Semin C



Evolution of Chemotherapy





Fig. 3. Left: Skipper–Schabel–Wilcox log-kill model. Tumor growth is exponential (linear in log-scale) and each cycle of chemother of the tumor volume (as opposed to a constant amount of cells). This is reflected by a constant log-kill. The simulation assumes a lc tumor mass) over six three-weeks cycles, for an initial total tumor load of 10⁹ cells, the first cycle starting at Day-0. The dashed line MTD chemotherapy approaches consider as the goal to achieve for eradication of the disease. Right: Norton–Simon model. Un exhibits a decreasing specific growth rate. The Norton–Simon hypothesis implies a larger log-kill for smaller tumors and suggests to protocol. This is illustrated by comparison of a three-weeks regimen (black curve) and a densified two-weeks regimen (gray curtumor burden and thus larger probability of "cure". However, note that when tumor regrows, both schedules have the same time

Please cite this article in press as: Benzekry S, et al. Metronomic reloaded: Theoretical models bringing precision medicine. Semin Cancer Biol (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.09.002



PENN RADIATION ONCOLOGY With permission: Carto



Evolution of Chemotherapy

computer-based personalized chemotherapy protocols.

theoretical and mathematical models and discuss ways they can be improved to better take into account the complexity and rapid evolution of tumors and ultimately optimize treatment efficacy. This will lead us to present innovative theoretical models that support alternative ways of administering chemotherapy. These include metronomic chemotherapy [2-4] - i.e. the frequent administration of chemotherapeutic drugs at relatively low, non-toxic doses, without prolonged drug-free break – and adaptive therapy [5,6] i.e. modulating the dose and frequency of chemotherapy administrations in order to maintain a constant tumor volume. Metronomic chemotherapy is expected to bring substantial benefit over existing MTD regimen by interfering or the tumor micro-environr developing metronomic che implementing computationa mizing metronomic regimen modeling support.

2. Historical concepts

Fifty years ago, Skipper, to introduce theoretical cc

Please cite this article in press as: Benzekry S, et al. Metronomic reloaded: Theoretical models bri precision medicine. Semin Cancer Biol (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2015.09.002







NATURE MEDICINE • VOLUME 6 • NUMBER 5 • MAY 2000

Chemotherapeutic drugs—more really is not better

Recent insights into the molecular mechanisms that regulate the process of metastasis and the complex interactions between metastatic cells and host factors have provided a biological foundation for the design of more effective therapies.

Isaiah J. Fidler & Lee M. Ellis

«... Cancer is a chronic disease and should be treated as such... »

NATURE/Vol 459/28 May 2009

A change of strategy in the war on cancer

Patients and politicians anxiously await and increasingly demand a 'cure' for cancer. But trying to control the disease may prove a better plan than striving to cure it, says **Robert A. Gatenby**.



For cancer, seek and destroy or live and let live? André Nature 2009



Evolution of Chemotherapy

GLEEVEC



[CANCER RESEARCH 60, 1878-1886, April 1, 2000]



Antiangiogenic Scheduling of Chemotherapy Improves Efficacy against Experimental Drug-resistant Cancer¹

Timothy Browder, Catherine E. Butterfield, Birgit M. Kräling, Bin Shi, Blair Marshall, Michael S. O'Reilly, and Judah Folkman²

Laboratory of Surgical Research [T. B., C. E. B., B. M. K., B. S., B. M., M. S. O., J. F.] and Division of Hematology/Oncology [T. B.], Children's Hospital; Departments of Surgery and Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School [J. F.]; Department of Pediatric Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute [T. B.]; and the Joint Center for Radiation Therapy [M. S. O.], Boston, Massachusetts 02115





Continuous low-dose therapy with vinblastine and VEGF receptor-2 antibody induces sustained tumor regression without overt toxicity

Giannoula Klement,¹ Sylvain Baruchel,² Janusz Rak,¹ Shan Man,¹ Katherine Clark,¹ Daniel J. Hicklin,³ Peter Bohlen,³ and Robert S. Kerbel¹

¹Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre, Biological Sciences Program, Division of Cancer Biology Research, and Toronto-Sunnybrook Regional Cancer Centre, Toronto, Ontario M 4N 3M5, Canada; Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
 ²Hospital for Sick Children, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Hernatology/Oncology, New Agent and Innovative Therapy Program, Toronto, Ontario MSG IX8, Canada
 ³ImClone Systems Inc., New York, New York 10014, USA The Journal of Clinical Investigation | April 2000 | Volume 105 | Number 8



Less is more, regularly: metronomic dosing of cytotoxic drugs can target tumor angiogenesis in mice



See related article, pages R15–R24.

Douglas Hanahan,1,2 Gabriele Bergers,1,2 and Emily Bergsland2,3



CANCER RESEARCH 00, 1878-1880, April 1, 2000]

Antiangiogenic Scheduling of Chemotherapy Improves Efficacy against Experimental Drug-resistant Cancer¹

Timothy Browder, Catherine E. Butterfield, Birgit M. Kräling, Bin Shi, Blair Marshall, Michael S. O'Reilly, and Judah Folkman²

Laboratory of Surgical Research [T.B., C.E.B., B.M.K., B.S., B.M., M.S.O., J.F.] and Division of Hematology/Oncology [T.B.J, Children's Hospital; Department, Surgery and Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School [J.F.]; Department of Pediatric Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute [T.B.]; and the Joint Center for Radiation Ther [M.S.O.], Boston, Massachusetts 02115



« Low dose : anti-angiogenic scheduling »

- activity in S&R cell lines
 Cyclophosphamide, 5FU, purinethol
- Good tolerance
- Lung cancer
- Breast cancer
- Leukemias

--- Target the endothelium AND NOT CANCER CELLS





The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

¹ Supported by NIH Grant P01 CA45548 (to J. F.) and by a grant to Children's Hospital from EntreMed, Inc. T. B. was a recipient of an American Cancer Society Clinical Oncology Career Development award for the first part of these studies.

² To whom requests for reprints should be addressed, at Children's Hospital, Hunnewell 103, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115.

contestinal dystitution (11) and chronic weight toss. This omitted in the CByD2F1/J mice harboring EMT-6/CTX beca idiosyncratic toxicity and in therapy of L1210 leukemia because direct antileukemic effect. Preparation of cyclophosphamide an of tumors were performed as described previously (6). For combin periments with TNP-470, all drugs were administered s.c. Mice experiments were fed a "Western-type" diet with 42% of calories from 1878



Downloaded from cancerres.aacrjournals.org on April 18, 2012 Copyright © 2000 American Association for Cancer Research





The Effect of 6-Mercaptopurine on the Duration of Steroid-induced Remissions in Acute Leukemia: A Model for Evaluation of Other Potentially Useful Therapy

From the Acute LEUKEMIA GROUP B

EMIL J FREIREICH, EDMUND GEHAN, EMIL FREI III, LESLIE R. SCHROEDER, IRVING J. WOLMAN, RACHAD ANBABI, E. OMAR BURGERT, STEPHEN D. MILLS, DONALD PINKEL, OLEG S. SELAWRY, JOHN H. MOON, B. R. GENDEL, CHARLES L. SPURR, ROBERT STORRS, FARID HAURANI, BARTH HOOCSTRATEN AND STANLEY LEE







Fig. 4.—Survival from start of Phase II treatment to death.

« Low dose purinethol » increases survival in children with ALL

retrospect, as the early prototype of successful N period of time ranging from 2 to 3 years [1]. Interestingly, metronomic chemotherapy (MC), which relies on the Angiogenesis plays a role in the pathoge progression of hematological malignancies [6] frequent administration of chemotherapy at low doses, 1 www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget On ** 1000-*** EPC 34+KDR+ / mL * 800 20 В Α EMP CD31+CD41- / µL 600· 15-400 10 200 41⁸ 412 60 46 412 41⁸ 49 \$0 15000-** С 80 D 60 TSP-1 ng / mL 10000 CEC / mL **40** 5000 20 0 412 41⁸⁰ \$0 49 0 412 #1⁸ 46 *ф*0

Maintenance

- puts the endothelium to rest:
- decreases its activation and mobilization of EPC
- associated with an increase in TSP-1

André Oncotarget 2015



METRONOMICS 1.0

Metronomic Chemotherapy

- Frequent administration & low dose chemotherapy without long breaks (Kerbel Nat Rev Cancer 2004)
- « Less is more, regularly »
- Overcomes resistance, CHANGE THE TARGET !!!





METRONOMICS 1.0

Metronomic Chemotherapy

- Frequent administration & low dose chemotherapy without long breaks (Kerbel Nat Rev Cancer 2004)
- « Less is more, regularly »
- Overcomes resistance, CHANGE THE TARGET !!!
 - What is a small dose?
 - What is a repeated administration?
 - What is a long period of time?





Marseille (CEPCM), Hospital of La Timone, AP-HM, Marseille 13005, France. Correspondence to N.A. (nicolas.andre@ap-hm.fr)

doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.204 Published online 24 Nov 2015 appreation of this concept to cancer systems biology (that is, computational and mathematical modelling of the biological networks underlying tumorigenesis), that exploits the constant improvements in computing resources, has contributed greatly to the analysis of large datasets relating to complex signalling networks². Indeed, huge databases of diverse information

NATURE REVIEWS | CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

© 2015 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights

NATURE REVIEWS CLINICAL ONC





Modelling & Simulation

 Mathematical modeling can help to identify an optimal solution among numerous combinations.



• This strategy is based upon the use of any data made available likely to help **building a mathematical model** and identifying its parameters.







 Once built and validated, the model can generate *in silico* an infinity of simulations untill a solution to a given
 28 problem is found.







METRONOMICS 2.0





The Multi-targeted nature of Metronomic Chemotherapy

Metronomic chemotherapy: new rationale for new directions

Eddy Pasquier, Maria Kavallaris and Nicolas André

NATURE REVIEWS CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



Metronomic Chemotherapy



Metronomic & Immunity



Yi-Bin Hao Cancer Letters 2014





Vincent and al. 5FU selectively kills tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells resulting in enhanced T cell-dependent antitumor immunity Cancer Research 2010





Vincent and al. 5FU selectively kills tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells resulting in enhanced T cell-dependent antitumor immunity CR 2010



Vincent and al. 5FU selectively kills tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells resulting in enhanced T cell-dependent antitumor immunity CR 2010





Vincent and al. 5FU selectively kills tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells resulting in enhanced T cell-dependent antitumor immunity CR 2010








example, metron

schedule empirically found to be most efficacious by Browder et al. [1], as well as daily oral low-dose treatment regimens, which are proposed to be even more effective in killing tumor endothelial cells [5,6]. Metronomic drug schedules have been evaluated in clinical trials, primarily using daily dosing regimens, with promising results [7–9]. Recent studies have shown that other mechanisms, notably antitumor immunity, may also be activated by metronomic chemotherapy. For example, metronomic administration of gemcitabine and docetaxel

Address all correspondence to: Dr David J. Waxma University, 5 Curmmington Mall, Boston, MA 0221 ¹This work was supported in part by grant CA0492 Health (to D.J.W.). The authors have no conflictin ²This article refers to supplementary materials, whic Figures W1 to W5 and are available online at www ³These authors contributed equally to this work. Received 20 November 2013; Revised 24 Decembe

Copyright © 2014 Neoplasia Press, Inc. All rights 1 DOI 10.1593/neo.131910



Figure 1. Impact of metronomic schedule on in SCID mice, either untreated (UT) or given 70 mg/kg BW (3× po) or by i.p. injection even the mean ± SE tumor volumes for n = 5 to 6 mi .01 and ⁶⁶⁶P < .001 compared to 3× p.o. sche with Bonferroni multiple comparison correction bearing SCID mice following metronomic CPA SCID mice treated with the indicated metronomic data in B and normalized to adjust for the free (D) qPCR analysis of host (mouse) macrophage untreated or treated with the indicated metronomic 24 (based on A), corresponding to 6 days after mean ± SE for each treatment group and tim point (n = 2-5 mice per group) *versus* UT con parison correction for one tumor randomly sel



















Chemotherapy



Metronomic temozolomide (cyclophosphamide) TMZ TMZ

Metronomic Antiangiogenic treatment ????

[Shevchenko IJC 2013], [Rozados Oncol Res 2010] [Ghirighelli CCI 2007] [Vincent CR 2010].







2, 3, 4 drugs Together, sequentially More than 2 effects & different targets Toxicity (which impacts on anticancer effects)





Metronomic Chemotherapy 2.0



Eddy Pasquier, Maria Kavallaris and Nicolas André

NATURE REVIEWS CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

Capecitabine Versus Classical Cyclophosphamide, Methotrexate, and Fluorouracil As First-Line Chemotherapy for Advanced Breast Cancer

Martin R. Stockler, Vernon J. Harvey, Prudence A. Francis, Michael J. Byrne, Stephen P. Ackland, Bernie Fitzharris, Guy Van Hazel, Nicholas R.C. Wilcken, Peter S. Grimison, Anna K. Nowak, M. Corona Gainford, Akiko Fong, Lisa Paksec, Tariana Sourjina, Diana Zannino, Val Gebski, R. John Simes, John F. Forbes, and Alan S. Coates

> reduced by one level (to 75% of starting dose) in 82 (3) women and by two levels (to 50% of starting dose) in women (15%). Dose escalation of intermittent capecita 1,000 mg/m² twice daily to 1,250 mg/m² twice daily) was a 11 (10%) of 107 women, six of whom experienced an ac requiring a dose reduction within two cycles.

The average duration of chemotherapy was longer signed capecitabine than in those assigned CMF (9 months, 6 months, 5.5 cycles; Appendix Table A1, online only).

www.jco.org

Information downloaded from jco.ascopubs.o Copyright © 2011 Ameri

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Radiotherapy plus Concomitant and Adjuvant Temozolomide for Glioblastoma

Roger Stupp, M.D., Warren P. Mason, M.D., Martin J. van den Bent, M.D., Michael Weller, M.D., Barbara Fisher, M.D., Martin J.B. Taphoom, M.D., Karl Belanger, M.D., Alba A. Brandes, M.D., Christine Marosi, M.D., Ulrich Bogdahn, M.D., Jürgen Curschmann, M.D., Robert C. Janzer, M.D., Samuel K. Ludwin, M.D., Thierry Gorlia, M.Sc., Anouk Allgeier, Ph.D., Denis Lacombe, M.D., J. Gregory Caimcross, M.D., Elizabeth Eisenhauer, M.D., and René O. Mirimanoff, M.D., for the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Brain Tumor and Radiotherapy Groups and the National Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group*

teria may nave served to exclude pa worst prognosis, who may not be therapy. Moreover, most patients l debulking surgery. The relatively lor disease progression (approximately in both groups) is also noteworthy. survival may reflect either patient s early detection of tumor progressic regular radiographic assessment. F

994

N ENGL J

The N Downloaded from nejm.org on February Copyright © 2005 Ma



Maintenance treatment with capecitabine and bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer (CAIRO3): a phase 3 randomised controlled trial of the Dutch Colorectal

LANCET 385, 9980, 1843–1852,

2015

THE

Cancer Group







METRO-SFCE01

- 1. Metronomic regimen for children with refractory disease
- 2. Multi-drug
- 3. Low toxicities (no temo- no eto)
- 4. Mainly Oral
- 5. Pro-immune and antiangiogenic Treg Activate Dendritic cells



Pasquier, André NRCO 2010

Pilot study of a pediatric metronomic 4-drug regimen

Nicolas André^{1,2}, Sylvie Abed¹, Daniel Orbach³, Corinne Armari Alla⁴, Laetitia Padovani⁵, Eddy Pasquier^{2,6}, Jean Claude Gentet¹, Arnauld Verschuur^{1,2}



| Pt nb | Sex | Tumour Type | Indication | Age (year) | Weight (kg) | Previous Lines of Treatment | HD-CT | RX | Previous Treatments Metronomic | Last TTP | Time on treatment | Best Response | Follow-up Weeks | Status |
|-------|-----|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| 1 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 5.5 | 16 | 3 | yes | yes | etoposide | 8 | 8 | PD | 17 | DOD |
| 2 | м | Rhabdoid Renal Turnor | PD | 12 | 23 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 13 | PD | 33 | DOD |
| 3 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 9 | 22 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 8 | 14 | PD | 62 | AWD |
| 4 | F | Osteosarcoma | M* | 11.5 | 43 | 3 | no | no | no | 6 | 52 | CR | 68 | AWD |
| 5 | м | Neuroblastoma | м | 6 | 20 | 4 | no | yes | COMBAT | 6 | 8 | PD | 12 | DOD |
| 6 | м | Nephroblastoma | м | 12 | 46 | 4 | y es | yes | no | 5 | 14 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 7 | F | Osteosarcoma | м | 16 | 40 | 3 | no | no | no | 25 | 6 | PD | 14 | DOD |
| 8 | м | RMS | м" | 20 | 54 | 2 | no | yes | mlb- cyclo/tmz | 16 | 52 | CR | 56 | CR |
| 9 | F | Osteosarcoma | м*** | 16 | 52 | 2 | no | no | no | 6 | 24 | CR | 72 | AWD |
| 10 | м | Hodgkin Lymphoma | PD | 18 | 45 | 4 | yes**** | уөв | no | ? | 20 | PR | 24 | AWD |
| -11 | м | Glioblastoma | PD | 9.5 | 44 | 3 | по | yes | tmz | 4 | 6 | PD | 6 | DOD |
| 12 | F | Medulloblastoma | PD | 12 | 34 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 6 | PD | 16 | DOD |
| 13 | F | Supratentorial PNET | PD | 11 | 30 | 2 | yes | yes | no | 34 | 14 | PD | 27 | AWD |
| 14 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 14 | 24 | 4 | no | yes | no | 32 | 6 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 15 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 10 | 56 | 3 | no | no | no | 12 | 14 | PD | 30 | DOD |
| 16 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 8.5 | 27 | 1 | no | no | no | 84 | 24 | PD | 25 | AWD |

| Pt nb | Sex | Tumour Type | Indication | Age (year) | Weight (kg) | Previous Lines of Treatment | HD-CT | RX | Previous Treatments Metronomic | Last TTP | Time on treatment | Best Response | Follow-up Weeks | Status |
|-------|-----|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| 1 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 5.5 | 16 | 3 | y 88 | уөв | etoposide | 8 | 8 | PD | 17 | DOD |
| 2 | м | Rhabdoid Renal Turnor | PD | 12 | 23 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 13 | PD | 33 | DOD |
| 3 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 9 | 22 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 8 | 14 | PD | 62 | AWD |
| 4 | F | Osteosarcoma | м* | 11.5 | 43 | 3 | no | no | no | 6 | 52 | CR | 68 | AWD |
| 5 | м | Neuroblastoma | м | 6 | 20 | 4 | no | yes | COMBAT | 6 | 8 | PD | 12 | DOD |
| 6 | м | Nephroblastoma | м | 12 | 46 | 4 | yes | уөв | no | 5 | 14 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 7 | F | Osteosarcoma | м | 16 | 40 | 3 | no | no | no | 25 | 6 | PD | 14 | DOD |
| 8 | м | RMS | м** | 20 | 54 | 2 | no | уөв | m/b- cyclo//tmz | 16 | 52 | CR | 56 | CR |
| 9 | F | Osteosarcoma | м*** | 16 | 52 | 2 | no | no | no | 6 | 24 | CR | 72 | AWD |
| 10 | м | Hodgkin Lymphoma | PD | 18 | 45 | 4 | yes**** | yes | no | ? | 20 | PR | 24 | AWD |
| -11 | м | Glioblastoma | PD | 9.5 | 44 | 3 | no | yes | trnz | 4 | 6 | PD | 6 | DOD |
| 12 | F | Medulloblastoma | PD | 12 | 34 | 3 | no | уөв | etoposide | 6 | 6 | PD | 16 | DOD |
| 13 | F | Supratentorial PNET | PD | 11 | 30 | 2 | y 88 | уөв | no | 34 | 14 | PD | 27 | AWD |
| 14 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 14 | 24 | 4 | no | yes | no | 32 | 6 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 15 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 10 | 56 | 3 | no | no | no | 12 | 14 | PD | 30 | DOD |
| 16 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 8.5 | 27 | 1 | no | no | no | 84 | 24 | PD | 25 | AWD |

| Pt nb | Sex | Tumour Type | Indication | Age (year) | Weight (kg) | Previous Lines of Treatment | HD-CT | RX | Previous Treatments Metronomic | Last TTP | Time on treatment | Best Response | Follow-up Weeks | Status |
|-------|-----|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| 1 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 5.5 | 16 | 3 | yee | уев | etoposide | 8 | 8 | PD | 17 | DOD |
| 2 | м | Rhabdoid Renal Tumor | PD | 12 | 23 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 13 | PD | 33 | DOD |
| 3 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 9 | 22 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 8 | 14 | PD | 62 | AWD |
| 4 | F | Osteosarcoma | M* | 11.5 | 43 | 3 | no | no | no | 6 | 52 | CR | 68 | AWD |
| 5 | м | Neuroblastoma | м | 6 | 20 | 4 | no | yes | COMBAT | 6 | 8 | PD | 12 | DOD |
| 6 | м | Nephroblastoma | м | 12 | 46 | 4 | y 88 | yes | no | 5 | 14 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 7 | F | Osteosarcoma | м | 16 | 40 | 3 | no | no | no | 25 | 6 | PD | 14 | DOD |
| 8 | м | RMS | м** | 20 | 54 | 2 | no | yes | m/b- cyclo//tmz | 16 | 52 | CR | 56 | CR |
| 9 | F | Osteosarcoma | м*** | 16 | 52 | 2 | no | no | no | 6 | 24 | CR | 72 | AWD |
| 10 | м | Hodgkin Lymphoma | PD | 18 | 45 | 4 | yes**** | уев | no | ? | 20 | PR | 24 | AWD |
| -11 | м | Glioblastoma | PD | 9.5 | 44 | 3 | no | yes | trnz | 4 | 6 | PD | 6 | DOD |
| 12 | F | Medulloblastoma | PD | 12 | 34 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 6 | PD | 16 | DOD |
| 13 | F | Supratentorial PNET | PD | 11 | 30 | 2 | yes | yes | no | 34 | 14 | PD | 27 | AWD |
| 14 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 14 | 24 | 4 | no | yes | no | 32 | 6 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 15 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 10 | 56 | 3 | no | no | no | 12 | 14 | PD | 30 | DOD |
| 16 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 8.5 | 27 | 1 | no | no | no | 84 | 24 | PD | 25 | AWD |

| Pt nb | Sex | Tumour Type | Indication | Age (year) | Weight (kg) | Previous Lines of Treatment | HD-CT | RX | Previous Treatments Metronomic | Last TTP | Time on treatment | Best Response | Follow-up Weeks | Status |
|-------|-----|-------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|--------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| 1 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 5.5 | 16 | 3 | yes | уөв | etoposide | 8 | 8 | PD | 17 | DOD |
| 2 | м | Rhabdoid Renal Tumor | PD | 12 | 23 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 13 | PD | 33 | DOD |
| 3 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 9 | 22 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 8 | 14 | PD | 62 | AWD |
| 4 | F | Osteosarcoma | M* | 11.5 | 43 | 3 | no | no | no | 6 | 52 | CR | 68 | AWD |
| 5 | м | Neuroblastoma | м | 6 | 20 | 4 | no | yes | COMBAT | 6 | 8 | PD | 12 | DOD |
| 6 | м | Nephroblastoma | м | 12 | 46 | 4 | yes | уөв | no | 5 | 14 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 7 | F | Osteosarcoma | м | 16 | 40 | 3 | no | no | no | 25 | 6 | PD | 14 | DOD |
| 8 | м | RMS | M** | 20 | 54 | 2 | no | уөв | m/b- cyclo//tmz | 16 | 52 | CR | 56 | CR |
| 9 | F | Osteosarcoma | м*** | 16 | 52 | 2 | no | no | no | 6 | 24 | CR | 72 | AWD |
| 10 | м | Hodgkin Lymphoma | PD | 18 | 45 | 4 | yes**** | yes | no | ? | 20 | PR | 24 | AWD |
| -11 | м | Glioblastoma | PD | 9.5 | 44 | 3 | no | yes | tmz | 4 | 6 | PD | 6 | DOD |
| 12 | F | Medulloblastoma | PD | 12 | 34 | 3 | no | yes | etoposide | 6 | 6 | PD | 16 | DOD |
| 13 | F | Supratentorial PNET | PD | 11 | 30 | 2 | yes | yes | no | 34 | 14 | PD | 27 | AWD |
| 14 | м | Medulloblastoma | PD | 14 | 24 | 4 | no | yes | no | 32 | 6 | PD | 22 | DOD |
| 15 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 10 | 56 | 3 | no | no | no | 12 | 14 | PD | 30 | DOD |
| 16 | м | Osteosarcoma | PD | 8.5 | 27 | 1 | no | no | no | 84 | 24 | PD | 25 | AWD |



E S

"Always give a grave prognosis, my boy! If they die, you're accurative, you're skilled!"

PENN RADIATION ONCOLOGY With permission: Cartoonstock.com

Metronomics in patients

450 publications about metronomics in humans

Key Points :

- 1) Which agents?
- 2) Which tumours?
- 3) Which doses?
- 4) Which setting?
- 5) Which schedule?
- 6) Breaks or no breaks
- 7) Which Outcome?







METRONOMICS 3.0



[% change compared to control]



Marseille (CEPCM), Hospital (that is, computational and mathematical modelling of La Timone, AP-HM, of the biological networks underlying tumorigenesis), Marseille 13005, France. that exploits the constant improvements in comput-Correspondence to N.A. ing resources, has contributed greatly to the analysis (nicolas.andre@ap-hm.fr) of large datasets relating to complex signalling networks2. Indeed, huge databases of diverse information

NATURE REVIEWS | CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

© 2015 Macmillan Publishers Limited All r



Figure 4 | Example of PK/PD simulation to optimize a vinorelbine treatment regi regimen, incorporating a 50 mg fixed dose of vinorelbine on days 1, 3 and 5 (D1-D3-D5 5 can provide substantial clinical benefit to many patients; however, mathematical model alternative dynamic dosing schedule (right panels) of 30 mg, 60 mg and 30 mg on days 1 respectively, which was predicted to achieved a higher antiproliferative efficacy (lower safety profile based on absolute neutrophil count (middle panels)¹¹². Shading represents obtained from Springer International Publishing © Barbolosi, D. et al. Cancer Chemother

safety-efficacy multiscale model describing the PK/ PD relationships between docetaxel and epirubicin, allowing the best in silico drug-dosing regimen (that is, docetaxel first and epirubicin 1 day later, a sequence opposite to that usually performed with these drugs) for each patient to be tested in a phase Ib trial¹⁰¹. To date, 17 patients have been recruited and the proposed regimen was both well tolerated, and achieved a response rate of 45%, a median progression-free survival of 10.4 months and a median survival of 54.6 months, which compares favourably to the results reported in initial publications of the docetaxel and epirubicin combination¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁸.

Planning metronomic c nomic chemotherapy in to be fully determined¹⁰ paradigm that illustrate ule can alter the mech example, canonical cyt genic or immune-stimu understanding of meti mathematical modelli approaches can facilit of conventional versus 1 the innumerable perm

Δ



Figure 2 | Multiscale modelling in oncology. Multiscale modelling can be used to simulat processes related to cancer development. Simulations can be performed at the level of mol at the cellular level, the tissue level, the organ level, and/or the ultimately at the whole-body frames. Then, the effects of several inputs, such as molecular or genetic profiling, or grading can be modelled. Characteristics of the anticancer agents alone or combination can also be The model can eventually predict different kinds of outcome at each level (survival, clinical decreases in tumour volume, target inhibition, and molecular pathway inhibition) and at dif

NATURE REVIEWS | CLINICAL ONCOLOGY © 2015 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved



ADV/



NATURE REVIEWS | CLINICAL ONCOLOGY



thalidomide + celecoxib + fenofibrate (oral bevacizumab (IV, 2×/month), intraventrice

*Details regarding the other cohorts of patients are not given. Abbreviations: CB, clinical benefit; CR, complete response; MTD, maximum tolerated dose; NA, not available; PR, partial response; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; RR, response

Clinical experience in children

Over the past 4 years, more clinical experience of metronomic chemotherapy in children has been gained (Table 5).¹⁷²⁻¹⁸² The addition of a metronomic combination of vinblastine, celecoxib and cyclophophamide to standard MTD chemotherapy was investigated in children with newly diagnosed metastatic Ewing sarcoma.¹⁷⁸ Although, there was an increased toxicity in irradiated sites, there was also increased EFS for patients with isolated pulmonary metastasis compared with historical controls. Similarly, the addition of metronomic maintenance was a favourable prognostic factor in children with embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma who had metastatic spread to the lungs.¹⁸³

A multimodal metronomic protocol for children with relapsing embryonal brain tumours was assessed using the four-drug metronomic protocol of either continuous oral thalidomide and celecoxib with alternating oral etoposide and cyclophosphamide based on an earlier study,¹⁸⁴ combined with intrathecal etoposide and cytarabine, bevacizumab and fenofibrate. An EFS of 85% and 69% at 12 and 24 months, respectively, was observed in seven patients with medulloblastoma. Based on these promising results, the gated in an internation exciting recent develo paediatric oncology r approach that comb and irinotecan with dren with refractory an overall survival ra of 80 weeks, a randor ated.¹⁸⁷ Metronomic t in LMICs.²⁵ For exan nomic combination and methotrexate re dormancy in three blastoma.¹⁷³ Building added valproic acid t backbone and observ in a child with metast randomized phase I nomic regimen¹⁸⁴ has this regimen with bes no curative option.188 way in the paediatric s



METRONOMICS

ORAL, NON TOXIC, low cost

CLINICAL ACTIVITY

MULTI-TARGETTED

- Angiogenesis
- Immune system
 - Stroma
- Cancer cells & stems cells
- COMBINATION (sequential / associated)
 - Drug repositioning
 - Chemotherapy
 - Immunotherapy +++
 - Target therapy

COMPUTATIONAL METRONOMIC PK, biomarkers, molecular biology



Simple predictable disease = Simple treatment









Complex unpredictable disease ???





Can we overcome the adapting capacity of cancer ?...

Cancer is a chaotic system (MacKey Sciences 1977, Andrey Med Hypo 1989, Baum Eur J Cancer 1999, Cross Hum Path 1994) High potential of evolution/adaptation

- \rightarrow Generate ever changing unpredictable treatment
- \rightarrow Fight chaos with chaos ?



Evolution of Chemotherapy











Complex unpredictable disease ???









Out of control Mess Anarchy Disorganized







Chaos?

- Xάος / Khaos : yawning" or "gap",
- Greek mythology
- the primeval void
- before Gaia (earth), Tartarus, Eros (love)
- Fall in all direction
- Endless chasm, abyss





Chaos?

- Tien-Yien Li et James A. Yorke^{[(terminology)}
- Way to solve problem otherwise unsolvable
- Non linearity
- Dynamic (not always complex) system
- Strong dependence on initial conditions
- Sensitivity to initial conditions (butterfly effect # domino cascade effect)
- Unpredictable outcome
- Attractors, Sustained irregularity, Feedback...



.....If music was chaos......





.....Would not use that for my patients



SIMPLE

COMPLEX


- 1 unit of 1 drug : Black
- Nothing :White
- Limits of quantity of drugs
- Single drug Chaotic Regimen : Doabble ?



http://www.noyzelab.com/research/ulamizer2.html





- I square: 1 unit of drug
- Each drug: different color
- Nothing: 1 color
- Limits of quantity of drugs
- Mulitple Drug Chaotic regimen : Doabble !



Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Ching-Hon Pui, Mary V. Relling, and James R. Downing, N Engl J Med 2004; 350:1535-1548











| OCTADA | 4(D) | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | | | |
| DEXA | PO/IV 6 mg/m ² /day | | |
| 6-TG | PO 60 mg/m²/day | | |
| VCR | IV push 1.5 mg/m ² /dose | | |
| (DNR | IV 1hr 30 mg/m ² /dose) | ())* ())* ())* | |
| * DNR not for MR/HI experimental arm. | R patients randomised to the | | |
| PEG-ASP (ONCASPAR) | IV 1hr 2500 U/m ² /dose | | |
| ARA-C | IV push 75 mg/m ² /dose | | |
| ARA-C/PRED | ITH acc. to age | + + | |
| СРМ | IV 1hr 500 mg/ m ² /dose | | |
| BMP | | Δ | |
| | | 1 8 15 22 29 36 43 5 | コ 50 |
| | | days | |





| 6-TG | PO 60 mg/m²/day | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| VCR | IV push 1.5 mg/m ² /dose | ŧ | ¥ | ŧ | ŧ | | |
| (DNR * DNR <u>not</u> for MR/H experimental arm. | IV 1hr 30 mg/m ² /dose) R patients randomised to the | []* | []* | []* | []* | | |
| PEG-ASP (ONCASPAR) | IV 1hr 2500 U/m ² /dose | I | | | | | |
| ARA-C | IV push 75 mg/m ² /dose | ₩₩₩ | ++++ | | ++++ | ++++ | ++++ |
| ARA-C/PRED | ITH acc. to age | t | | + | | | |
| СРМ | IV 1hr 500 mg/ m ² /dose | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |



What is leukemia?

A cancer found in the blood and bone marrow, caused by too many white blood cells in the body. The white blood cells don't let the body fight disease and prevent the body from making red blood cells and platelets.





- 5 phases of treatments

- different combinations
- different intensities
- different doses/agent
- 10 anti-cancer agents
- Asparaginase, AraC, Mito, Daunorubicin
- Vincristine, prednisone, dexamethasone,
- Cyclophosphamide, 6MP, 6TG, MTX...
- IV, IT, Bolus/infusion
 - EVER CHANGING TREATMENT
 - Dose intensity
 - Dose effect
 - Metronomic
 - Targets:

cancer cells, cancer stem cells, micro-environement

- → Same treatment
- → Unpredictable activity (reponse/progression cure/relapse toxicity)
- \rightarrow AND unpredictable precise mechanisms of action



Cancer treatment : a chaotic system ?





CHAOTIC THERAPY ?

Design a treatment

- Clinically doabble (toxicity)
- <u>Changing (Dynamic)</u>
- <u>Strong dependence on initial</u> condition (disease, patients, ttt)
- Combinations of agents
- <u>Doses & schedules</u>
- Target cancer cells,
- <u>Target microenvironement</u>





Good Idea ? CHAOTIC THERAPY

Input from mathematicians

 \rightarrow check for real chaotic characteristics

Input from "modelisators"

 \rightarrow design chaotic treatment (1 to X drugs)

Input from biologists

- \rightarrow test and validate the model
- \rightarrow study resistance, mechanism of actions

....test in patients



IF NOT CHAOTIC « COMPLEX DYNAMIC THERAPY »



Scientific & Medical Innovation Must be shared Low and Middle Income Ccountries **Social innovation Mandatory**



METRONOMICS GLOBAL HEALTH INITIATIVE

Network Website Meetings Preclinical work Clinical studies Publications



Metronomics.newethicalbusiness.org







- Pediatric Hematology & Oncology, Children Hospital of La Timone, AP-HM, Marseille, France

Dr Gentet, Dr Verschuur, Dr Rome, Dr Coze, Pr Michel

Vascular Biology Department, Hôpital de La Conception, AP-HM, Marseille, France

Pr Dignat Georges, Dr Arnauld, Pr Sabatier

- CRO2, UFR Pharmacy, Marseille, France

-Dr Carre, Dr Ciccoloni, Pr Braguer, Pr Barbolosi













ANTICANCER FUND

Dr Pasquier, Pr Kavallaris UICC, Sydney, Australia **Pr Le Menestrel**, University Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Spain **Dr Stihur** (Toulouse, France) **Pr Sterba** (Brno, Cek Rzepublic) **Dr Traore** (Bamako, Mali), Pr Heisseisen, Pr El Kababri (Maroc) **Pr Banavali** (Mumbai, India), **Pr Raina, Dr Malhik** (New Dehli) **Dr Epelman** (Brazil) Pr G Sharowsky (Rosario, Argentina) « Urszula & Heinz » (USA)





hies de l'enfant

