Refinement and generalisation of Siladić's theorem

Jehanne Dousse

Universität Zürich

Journées ALEA 2016

Siladić's theorem





2 Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words



Siladić's theorem





2 Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words



Integer partitions

Definition

A *partition* of a positive integer *n* is a finite non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ such that $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m = n$. The integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ are called the *parts* of the partition.

Example

There are 5 partitions of 4:

4, 3 + 1, 2 + 2, 2 + 1 + 1 and 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.

Generating functions

Let n, k be positive integers. Let Q(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n into k distinct parts. Then

$$1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} Q(n,k) z^k q^n = (1 + zq)(1 + zq^2)(1 + zq^3)(1 + zq^4) \cdots$$
$$= \prod_{n \ge 1} (1 + zq^n).$$

Let p(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n into k parts. Then

$$1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 1} p(n, k) z^k q^n = \prod_{n \ge 1} \left(1 + zq^n + z^2 q^{2n} + \cdots \right)$$
$$= \prod_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{(1 - zq^n)}.$$

Partition identities

Theorem (Euler 1748)

For every integer n, the number of partitions of n into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of n into odd parts.

Partition identities

Theorem (Euler 1748)

For every integer n, the number of partitions of n into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of n into odd parts.

Proof.

$$egin{aligned} &\prod_{n\geq 1} (1+q^n) = \prod_{n\geq 1} rac{(1+q^n)(1-q^n)}{1-q^n} \ &= \prod_{n\geq 1} rac{1-q^{2n}}{1-q^n} \ &= \prod_{n\geq 1} rac{1}{1-q^{2n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities

Theorem (Rogers 1894, Rogers-Ramanujan 1919)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5k+1})(1-q^{5k+4})},$$

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities

Theorem (Rogers 1894, Rogers-Ramanujan 1919)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5k+1})(1-q^{5k+4})},$$

Theorem (Partition version)

For every positive integer n, the number of partitions of n such that the difference between two consecutive parts is at least 2 is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts congruent to 1 or 4 modulo 5.

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities

Theorem (Rogers 1894, Rogers-Ramanujan 1919)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5k+1})(1-q^{5k+4})},$$

Theorem (Partition version)

For every positive integer n, the number of partitions of n such that the difference between two consecutive parts is at least 2 is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts congruent to 1 or 4 modulo 5.

Rogers-Ramanujan type identity: "for all n, the number of partitions of n satisfying some difference conditions is equal to the number of partitions of n satisfying some congruence conditions."

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ\circ$

Siladić's theorem





2 Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words



Schur's theorem

Theorem (Schur 1926)

For any positive integer n, let A(n) denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3 and B(n) denote the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m$ of n such that

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \lambda_i \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3, \\ 4 & \text{if } \lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 3. \end{cases}$$

Then A(n) = B(n).

Example

The partitions counted by A(10) are 10, 8 + 2, 7 + 2 + 1 and 5 + 4 + 1. The partitions counted by B(10) are 10, 9 + 1, 8 + 2 and 7 + 3. There are 4 partitions in both cases.

Siladić's theorem

Some proofs of Schur's theorem

• Recurrences and *q*-difference equations : Andrews (1967, 1968, 1971)

Some proofs of Schur's theorem

- Recurrences and *q*-difference equations : Andrews (1967, 1968, 1971)
 - 1971 : Refinement

Let A(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n into k distinct parts congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3. Let B(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n, satisfying the difference conditions of Schur's theorem, such that $k = \#\{\text{parts} \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3\} + 2\#\{\text{parts} \equiv 0 \mod 3\}$. Then for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, A(n, k) = B(n, k).

Some proofs of Schur's theorem

- Recurrences and *q*-difference equations : Andrews (1967, 1968, 1971)
 - 1971 : Refinement

Let A(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n into k distinct parts congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3. Let B(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n, satisfying the difference conditions of Schur's theorem, such that $k = \#\{\text{parts} \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3\} + 2\#\{\text{parts} \equiv 0 \mod 3\}$. Then for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, A(n, k) = B(n, k).

• Bijections : Bressoud (1980), Bessenrodt (1991)

Some proofs of Schur's theorem

- Recurrences and *q*-difference equations : Andrews (1967, 1968, 1971)
 - 1971 : Refinement

Let A(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n into k distinct parts congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3. Let B(n, k) denote the number of partitions of n, satisfying the difference conditions of Schur's theorem, such that $k = \#\{\text{parts} \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3\} + 2\#\{\text{parts} \equiv 0 \mod 3\}$. Then for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, A(n, k) = B(n, k).

- Bijections : Bressoud (1980), Bessenrodt (1991)
- The method of weighted words : Alladi-Gordon (1993)
 - \rightarrow further refinement
 - $\rightarrow \text{generalisation}$

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words oooooo

Siladić's theorem

The method of weighted words

The principle of method of weighted words is the following :

- Assign a color to each part according to its value modulo 3 :
 - color $c: 0 \mod 3$,
 - color $a: 1 \mod 3$,
 - color $b: 2 \mod 3$.

The method of weighted words

The principle of method of weighted words is the following :

- Assign a color to each part according to its value modulo 3 :
 - color $c: 0 \mod 3$,
 - color $a: 1 \mod 3$,
 - color $b: 2 \mod 3$.
- Order the colors

c < a < b,

such that the corresponding ordering of the positive integers in three colors a, b, c,

 $1_c < 1_a < 1_b < 2_c < 2_a < 2_b < 3_c < 3_a < 3_b < \cdots$

becomes the natural ordering of integers

 $0 < 1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 8 < \cdots$

under the transformations

 $k_c \mapsto 3k - 3, k_a \mapsto 3k - 2, k_b \mapsto 3k - 1.$

The method of weighted words

• Find difference conditions on the non-dilated colored integers

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } color(\lambda_i) = c \text{ or } color(\lambda_i) < color(\lambda_{i+1}), \\ 1 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

such that after the same transformations

$$k_c \mapsto 3k - 3, k_a \mapsto 3k - 2, k_b \mapsto 3k - 1,$$

they become the difference conditions of Schur's theorem

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge egin{cases} 3 & ext{if } \lambda_i \equiv 1,2 \mod 3, \ 4 & ext{if } \lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 3. \end{cases}$$

The method of weighted words

• Find difference conditions on the non-dilated colored integers

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } color(\lambda_i) = c \text{ or } color(\lambda_i) < color(\lambda_{i+1}), \\ 1 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

such that after the same transformations

$$k_c \mapsto 3k - 3, k_a \mapsto 3k - 2, k_b \mapsto 3k - 1,$$

they become the difference conditions of Schur's theorem

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \lambda_i \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3, \\ 4 & \text{if } \lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 3. \end{cases}$$

• Find conditions on the colors such that the generating function for partitions with difference conditions equals

$$\prod_{k\geq 1}(1+aq^k)(1+bq^k).$$

Let S(u, v, w, n) denote the number of partitions of n with u parts colored a, v parts colored b and w parts colored c, satisfying the difference conditions

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } color(\lambda_i) = c \text{ or } color(\lambda_i) < color(\lambda_{i+1}), \\ 1 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with no part 1_c . Its generating function is

$$\sum_{u,v,w,n\geq 0} S(u,v,w,n) a^{u} b^{v} c^{w} q^{n} = \sum_{r,s,t\geq 0} q^{\binom{r+s+t}{2}} \frac{a^{r} q^{r}}{(q)_{r}} \frac{b^{s} q^{s}}{(q)_{s}} \frac{(c)^{t} q^{t} q^{\binom{t+1}{2}}}{(q)_{t}}$$

Let S(u, v, w, n) denote the number of partitions of n with u parts colored a, v parts colored b and w parts colored c, satisfying the difference conditions

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } color(\lambda_i) = c \text{ or } color(\lambda_i) < color(\lambda_{i+1}), \\ 1 \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with no part 1_c . Its generating function is

$$\sum_{u,v,w,n\geq 0} S(u,v,w,n) a^{u} b^{v} c^{w} q^{n} = \sum_{r,s,t\geq 0} q^{\binom{r+s+t}{2}} \frac{a^{r} q^{r}}{(q)_{r}} \frac{b^{s} q^{s}}{(q)_{s}} \frac{(c)^{t} q^{t} q^{\binom{t+1}{2}}}{(q)_{t}}$$

partition into r parts of color a, partition into s parts of color b, partition into t distinct parts ≥ 2 of color c, $q^{\binom{r+s+t}{2}}$: staircase of size r + s + t.

By *q*-series calculations (*q*-binomial identity, *q*-Chu-Vandermonde identity), one sees that the generating function for S(u, v, w, n) is an infinite product if and only if c = ab, and in that case it equals indeed $\prod_{n\geq 1} (1 + aq^n) (1 + bq^n)$.

By *q*-series calculations (*q*-binomial identity, *q*-Chu-Vandermonde identity), one sees that the generating function for S(u, v, w, n) is an infinite product if and only if c = ab, and in that case it equals indeed $\prod_{n>1} (1 + aq^n) (1 + bq^n)$.

Non-dilated version of Schur's theorem (Alladi-Gordon 1993)

Let S(u, v, n) denote the number of partitions of n with u parts colored a or ab and v parts colored b or ab such that there is no part 1_{ab} , satisfying the difference conditions. Then we have

$$\sum S(u,v,n)a^ub^vq^n = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(1+aq^n\right)\left(1+bq^n\right).$$

By *q*-series calculations (*q*-binomial identity, *q*-Chu-Vandermonde identity), one sees that the generating function for S(u, v, w, n) is an infinite product if and only if c = ab, and in that case it equals indeed $\prod_{n>1} (1 + aq^n) (1 + bq^n)$.

Non-dilated version of Schur's theorem (Alladi-Gordon 1993)

Let S(u, v, n) denote the number of partitions of n with u parts colored a or ab and v parts colored b or ab such that there is no part 1_{ab} , satisfying the difference conditions. Then we have

$$\sum S(u,v,n)a^ub^vq^n = \prod_{n\geq 1} \left(1+aq^n\right)\left(1+bq^n\right).$$

The dilation $q
ightarrow q^3, a
ightarrow aq^{-2}, b
ightarrow bq^{-1}$ gives Schur's theorem.





2 Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words



The theorem

Theorem (Siladić 2005)

The number of partitions of an integer n into distinct odd parts equals the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n into parts different from 2 such that the difference between two consecutive parts is at least 5 (ie. $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge 5$) and

$$\begin{split} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 5 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 7 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 6 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 2, \pm 6 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 7 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 3 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 8 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 4 \mod 16. \end{split}$$

The theorem

Theorem (Siladić 2005)

The number of partitions of an integer n into distinct odd parts equals the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n into parts different from 2 such that the difference between two consecutive parts is at least 5 (ie. $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge 5$) and

$$\begin{split} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 5 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 7 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 6 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 2, \pm 6 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 7 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 3 \mod 16, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} &= 8 \Rightarrow \lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} \not\equiv \pm 4 \mod 16. \end{split}$$

Originally proved by studying representations of the twisted affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$.

Refinement of Siladić's theorem (D. 2013)

For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let C(k, n) denote the number of partitions of n into k distinct odd parts. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let D(k, n) denote the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n such that k equals the number of odd part plus twice the number of even parts, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall i \geq 1, \lambda_i \neq 2, \\ \forall i \geq 1, \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq 5, \\ \hline \forall i \geq 1, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 5 \Rightarrow \lambda_i \equiv 1, 4 \mod 8, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 6 \Rightarrow \lambda_i \equiv 1, 3, 5, 7 \mod 8, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 7 \Rightarrow \lambda_i \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 \mod 8, \\ \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 8 \Rightarrow \lambda_i \equiv 0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7 \mod 8. \end{array}$$

Then for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, C(k, n) = D(k, n).

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

Idea of the proof

• Show that the two formulations are equivalent

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

Idea of the proof

- Show that the two formulations are equivalent
- The generating function for C(k, n) is ∏[∞]_{k=0} (1 + tq^{2k+1}). We need to show that the generating function for D(k, n) is the same:

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

Idea of the proof

- Show that the two formulations are equivalent
- The generating function for C(k, n) is ∏[∞]_{k=0} (1 + tq^{2k+1}). We need to show that the generating function for D(k, n) is the same:
 - Let d_N(k, n) denote the number of partitions λ₁ + · · · + λ_s counted by D(k, n) such that the largest part λ₁ is at most N, and

$$G_N(t,q)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}d_N(k,n)t^kq^n.$$

By a combinatorial reasoning, we establish eight q-difference equations satisfied by $G_N(t, q)$.

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

Idea of the proof

- Show that the two formulations are equivalent
- The generating function for C(k, n) is ∏[∞]_{k=0} (1 + tq^{2k+1}). We need to show that the generating function for D(k, n) is the same:
 - Let d_N(k, n) denote the number of partitions λ₁ + · · · + λ_s counted by D(k, n) such that the largest part λ₁ is at most N, and

$$G_N(t,q)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}d_N(k,n)t^kq^n.$$

By a combinatorial reasoning, we establish eight q-difference equations satisfied by $G_N(t, q)$.

• By induction, we show that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$G_{2m}(t,q) = (1+tq)G_{2m-3}(tq^2,q).$$

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

Idea of the proof

- Show that the two formulations are equivalent
- The generating function for C(k, n) is ∏[∞]_{k=0} (1 + tq^{2k+1}). We need to show that the generating function for D(k, n) is the same:
 - Let d_N(k, n) denote the number of partitions λ₁ + · · · + λ_s counted by D(k, n) such that the largest part λ₁ is at most N, and

$$G_N(t,q)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}d_N(k,n)t^kq^n.$$

By a combinatorial reasoning, we establish eight q-difference equations satisfied by $G_N(t, q)$.

• By induction, we show that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$G_{2m}(t,q) = (1+tq)G_{2m-3}(tq^2,q).$$

• Letting $m \to \infty$ and iterating leads to

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}G_N(t,q)=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(1+tq^{2k+1}\right).$$

The *q*-difference equations

For all $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\begin{split} G_{8N}(t,q) &= G_{8N-1}(t,q) + t^2 q^{8N} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+1}(t,q) &= G_{8N}(t,q) + tq^{8N+1} G_{8N-4}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+2}(t,q) &= G_{8N+1}(t,q) + t^2 q^{8N+2} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+3}(t,q) &= G_{8N+2}(t,q) + tq^{8N+3} G_{8N-3}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+4}(t,q) &= G_{8N+3}(t,q) + t^2 q^{8N+4} G_{8N-3}(t,q) + t^3 q^{16N+3} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+5}(t,q) &= G_{8N+4}(t,q) + tq^{8N+5} G_{8N-3}(t,q) + t^2 q^{16N+4} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+6}(t,q) &= G_{8N+5}(t,q) + t^2 q^{8N+6} G_{8N-3}(t,q) + t^3 q^{16N+5} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+6}(t,q) &= G_{8N+5}(t,q) + t^2 q^{8N+6} G_{8N-3}(t,q) + t^3 q^{16N+5} G_{8N-7}(t,q), \\ G_{8N+7}(t,q) &= G_{8N+6}(t,q) + tq^{8N+7} G_{8N+1}(t,q). \end{split}$$

Schur's theorem and the method of weighted words $_{\rm OOOOOO}$

Siladić's theorem

The method of weighted words

• We associate 8 different colors to integers depending on their value modulo 8, which adds eight parameters to the *q*-difference equations.

The method of weighted words

- We associate 8 different colors to integers depending on their value modulo 8, which adds eight parameters to the *q*-difference equations.
- These equations can only be solved if there are certain relations between the variables representing the colors. One obtains the infinite product

$$\prod_{k\geq 0} (1+aq^{4k+1})(1+bq^{4k+3}).$$

The method of weighted words

- We associate 8 different colors to integers depending on their value modulo 8, which adds eight parameters to the *q*-difference equations.
- These equations can only be solved if there are certain relations between the variables representing the colors. One obtains the infinite product

$$\prod_{k\geq 0} (1+aq^{4k+1})(1+bq^{4k+3}).$$

 Only five different colors remain at the end: color a : integers congruent to 1 mod 4, color b: integers congruent to 3 mod 4, color ab : integers congruent to 0 mod 4, color a² : integers congruent to 6 mod 8, color b² : integers congruent to 2 mod 8. We consider the following order on colored integers:

 $1_{ab} < 1_a < 1_{b^2} < 1_b < 2_{ab} < 2_a < 3_{a^2} < 2_b < 3_{ab} < 3_a < 3_{b^2} < \cdots$

and difference conditions given by the matrix A (the entry (x, y) gives the minimal difference between λ_i of color x and λ_{i+1} of color y):

		a _{odd}	b ²	b _{odd}	ab _{even}	a _{even}	a^2	b _{even}	ab _{odd}
A =	а	(2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2
	b	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1
	ab	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2
	a^2	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4
	b ²	2	4	4	3	3	2	3	2 /

We consider the following order on colored integers:

 $1_{ab} < 1_a < 1_{b^2} < 1_b < 2_{ab} < 2_a < 3_{a^2} < 2_b < 3_{ab} < 3_a < 3_{b^2} < \cdots$

and difference conditions given by the matrix A (the entry (x, y) gives the minimal difference between λ_i of color x and λ_{i+1} of color y):

		a _{odd}	b ²	b _{odd}	ab _{even}	a _{even}	a ²	b _{even}	ab _{odd}	
<i>A</i> =	а	(2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2)	
	b	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	
	ab	2	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	
	a^2	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	
	b ²	2	4	4	3	3	2	3	2 /	

Then the transformations

 $k_{ab} \mapsto 4k - 4, k_{a} \mapsto 4k - 3, k_{b} \mapsto 4k - 1, k_{b^{2}} \mapsto 4k - 2, k_{a^{2}} \mapsto 4k - 6$

give the conditions of Siladić's theorem.

Theorem (D. 2016)

Let D(u, v, n) denote the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n, with no part 1_{ab} or 1_{b^2} , satisfying the difference conditions given by the matrix A, such that u equals the number of parts a or abplus twice the number of parts a^2 and v equals the number of parts b or ab plus twice the number of parts b^2 . Then for all $u, v, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum D(u,v,n)a^ub^vq^n=\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(1+aq^n\right)\left(1+bq^n\right).$$

Theorem (D. 2016)

Let D(u, v, n) denote the number of partitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n, with no part 1_{ab} or 1_{b^2} , satisfying the difference conditions given by the matrix A, such that u equals the number of parts a or abplus twice the number of parts a^2 and v equals the number of parts b or ab plus twice the number of parts b^2 . Then for all $u, v, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum D(u,v,n)a^ub^vq^n=\prod_{n\geq 1}\left(1+aq^n\right)\left(1+bq^n\right).$$

The dilation $q \rightarrow q^4$, $a \rightarrow aq^{-3}$, $b \rightarrow bq^{-1}$ gives a refinement of Siladić's theorem.

If we keep the same order and difference conditions but do the dilation $q \to q^4, a \to aq^{-1}, b \to bq^{-3}$, we obtain a companion of Siladic's theorem.

Companion of Siladić's theorem (D. 2016)

The number of partitions of n into k distinct odd parts equals the number of partitions of n, where 2 is not a part, such that k equals the number of odd part plus twice the number of even parts, s.t.

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \begin{cases} = 5, 6, 8, 9 \text{ or } \ge 11 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 0 \mod 8, \\ = 2 \text{ or } \ge 5 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 1 \mod 8, \\ = 11 \text{ or } \ge 13 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 2 \mod 8, \\ \ge 7 \text{ if } \lambda \equiv 3 \mod 8, \\ = 5 \text{ or } \ge 7 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 4 \mod 8, \\ = 2, 3, 5, 6 \text{ or } \ge 8 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 5 \mod 8, \\ = 3, 4, 6, 7 \text{ or } \ge 9 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 6 \mod 8, \\ = 8 \text{ or } \ge 10 \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 7 \mod 8. \end{cases}$$

Back to Schur's theorem

The infinite product in the non-dilated version of Siladić's theorem is the same as the one in the non-dilated version of Schur's theorem.

With the dilations $q
ightarrow q^3, a
ightarrow aq^{-2}, b
ightarrow bq^{-1}$, the ordering of integers

 $1_{ab} < 1_a < 1_{b^2} < 1_b < 2_{ab} < 2_a < 3_{a^2} < 2_b < 3_{ab} < 3_a < 3_{b^2} < \cdots$

becomes

 $0 < 1 < 1 < 2 < 3 < 4 < 5 < 5 < 6 < 7 < 7 < \cdots$

So the integers congruent to $\pm 1 \mod 6$ can appear in two colours. We obtain a new companion of Schur's theorem.

Back to Schur's theorem

Companion of Schur's theorem (D. 2016)

Let A(n) denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts congruent to 1 modulo 3 and v distinct parts congruent to 2 modulo 3. Let C(n) denote the number of overpartitions $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s$ of n such that only parts congruent to $\pm 1 \mod 6$ can be overlined, $\overline{1}$ is not a part, and such that

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \ge \begin{cases} 4 + \chi(\overline{\lambda_{i+1}}) \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 1, 2, 3, 5 \mod 6, \\ 5 + \chi(\overline{\lambda_{i+1}}) \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 0, 4 \mod 6, \\ 6 + \chi(\overline{\lambda_{i+1}}) \text{ if } \lambda_i \equiv 1, 5 \mod 6 \text{ and is overlined}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\chi(\overline{\lambda_{i+1}}) = \begin{cases} = 1 \text{ if } \lambda_{i+1} \text{ is overlined,} \\ = 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then A(n) = C(n).

Thank you!