Framework

Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

Dispersive inequalities via heat semigroup

Valentin Samoyeau,

Advisor: Frédéric Bernicot. Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Université de Nantes

November 30, 2015







▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Framework 000000 Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Introduction

- Schrödinger's equation
- Strichartz estimates in various settings

2 Framework

- Space of homogeneous type
- Heat semigroup
- Hardy and BMO spaces
- 3 Results
 - Theorem 1
 - Theorem 2
- 4 Conclusion
 - Applications
 - Perspectives

Framework 000000 Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Introduction

- Schrödinger's equation
- Strichartz estimates in various settings
- 2 Framework
 - Space of homogeneous type
 - Heat semigroup
 - Hardy and BMO spaces
- 3 Results
 - Theorem 1
 - Theorem 2
- 4 Conclusion
 - Applications
 - Perspectives

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0 000	000000	0000000	0000
Schrödinger's equation			

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u = F(u) \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(NLS)

• Duhamel's formula:

$$u(t,x) = e^{it\Delta}u_0(x) - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F(u(s,x))ds.$$

Existence, uniqueness: Contraction principle.
 Relies on Strichartz estimates: ∀ 2 ≤ p, q ≤ +∞

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = \frac{d}{2} \Rightarrow \|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L^p_t L^q_x} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L^2}.$$
 (1)

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	0000000	0000
Schrödinger's equation			

• Via a TT^* argument, interpolation with $\|e^{it\Delta}\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 1$, and Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (Keel-Tao), (1) reduces to $L^1 - L^{\infty}$ dispersion inequality:

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\|_{L^1\to L^{+\infty}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$
(2)

- (2) can be obtained by a complexification of the heat semigroup (e^{t∆})_{t≥0}.
- In R^d we have an explicit formulation of the heat semigroup kernel:

$$p_t(x,y) = rac{1}{(4\pi t)^{rac{d}{2}}}e^{-rac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}$$

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	0000000	0000
Strichartz estimates in various settings			

Question: What do we know in other settings ?

Examples

- Outside of a smooth convex domain of ℝ^d with Laplace-Beltrami operator: global-in-time estimates with loss of ¹/_p derivatives [Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov].
- Compact riemannian manifold: local-in-time estimates with loss of ¹/_p derivatives [Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov].
- Asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds: local-in-time estimates without loss [Bouclet].
- Laplacian with a smooth potential, infinite manifolds with boundary with one trapped orbit: local-in-time estimates with $\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon$ loss of derivatives [Christianson].

Introduction	Framework
0000	000000
Strichartz estimates in various settings	

Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

Remark: One cannot expect global-in-time estimates in a compact setting.

Theorem [Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov, '04]

Let \mathcal{M} be a compact riemannian manifold of dimension d. If $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then for all $h \in]0, 1]$:

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\varphi(h^2\Delta)\|_{L^1\to L^\infty}\lesssim |t|^{-rac{d}{2}}, \quad |t|\lesssim h.$$

 C_0^{∞} are not adapted to our problem. We substitute them by a familly of C^{∞} functions well suited to the semigroup setting:

$$\psi_m(x) = x^m e^{-x}, \ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Framework
000000

Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Introduction

- Schrödinger's equation
- Strichartz estimates in various settings

2 Framework

- Space of homogeneous type
- Heat semigroup
- Hardy and BMO spaces
- 3 Results
 - Theorem 1
 - Theorem 2
- 4 Conclusion
 - Applications
 - Perspectives

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	●00000	0000000	0000
Space of homogeneous type			

The space:

 (X, d, μ) is a metric measured space with μ satisfying a doubling property:

$$\forall x \in X, \ \forall r > 0, \ \mu(B(x,2r)) \le C\mu(B(x,r)). \tag{3}$$

Then there exists a homogeneous dimension d such that:

$$\forall x \in X, \forall r > 0, \forall \lambda \ge 1, \mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \lesssim \lambda^d \mu(B(x, r)).$$

Examples

Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , open sets of \mathbb{R}^d , smooth manifolds of dimension d, some fractals sets, Lie groups, Heisenberg group,...

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000	00000000	0000
Heat semigroup			

The operator:

- *H* is a self-adjoint nonnegative operator, densely defined on $L^2(X)$.
- *H* generates a L^2 -holomorphic semigroup $(e^{-tH})_{t\geq 0}$ (Davies).
- Davies-Gaffney estimates:

$$\forall t > 0, \ \forall E, F \subset X, \ \|e^{-tH}\|_{L^2(E) \to L^2(F)} \lesssim e^{-\frac{d(E,F)^2}{4t}} \quad (\mathsf{DG})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000	0000000	0000
Heat semigroup			

• Typical on-diagonal upper estimates:

$$\forall t > 0, \ \forall x \in X, \ 0 \leq p_t(x,x) \lesssim rac{1}{\mu(B(x,\sqrt{t}))}$$
 (DUE)

• Self-improve (Coulhon-Sikora) into full gaussian estimates:

$$\forall t > 0, \ \forall x, y \in X, \ 0 \le \rho_t(x, y) \lesssim \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \sqrt{t}))} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}}.$$
(UE)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Remark:

$$(\mathsf{DUE}) \Rightarrow (\mathsf{UE}) \Rightarrow (\mathsf{DG}).$$

Introduction	
0000	
Heat semigroup	

Framework

Results 00000000 Conclusion 0000

Some cases where the previous estimates hold:

Examples

- (DUE): Δ on a domain with boundary conditions, semigroup generated by a self-adjoint operator of divergence form
 H = −div(A∇) with A a real bounded elliptic matrix on ℝ^d;
- (UE): H = −∑_{i=1}^d X_i² where X_i are vector fields satisfying Hörmander condition on a Lie group or a riemannian manifold with bounded geometry;
- (DG): most second order self-adjoint differential operators, Laplace-Beltrami on a riemannian manifold, Schrödinger operator with potential...

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	0000000	0000
Hardy and BMO spaces			

The function spaces:

- $L^1 L^\infty$ estimate seems out of reach.
- We prove instead $H^1 BMO$ dispersion.
- *H*¹ and BMO adapted to the semigroup (equivalent to the classical *H*¹ of Coifman-Weiss, and BMO of John-Nirenberg).
- Atomic decomposition (Bernicot-Zhao).
- Interpolate with Lebesgue spaces, and the intermediate spaces are corresponding Lebesgue spaces.

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	00000	0000000	0000
Hardy and BMO spaces			

The question we investigate is how to prove an $H^1 - BMO$ dispersive estimate:

$$\|e^{itH}\psi_m(h^2H)\|_{H^1\to BMO} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Remark: Write $e^{itH}\psi_m(h^2H) = (h^2H)^m e^{-zH}$ with $z = h^2 - it$.

- $|t| \leq 1$ (i.e. t independent of h) is difficult.
- |t| ≤ h² is straightforward by analytic continuation of (UE) (since Re(z) ≃ |z| ≥ |t|).
- h² ≤ |t| ≤ h is dealt by Burq-Gérard-Tzvetkov ('04) in the compact riemannian manifold setting (using pseudo-differential tools).

• We will treat the case $h^2 \leq |t| \leq h^{1+\varepsilon}$ (for all $\varepsilon > 0$).

Framework 000000

Results

Conclusion 0000

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Introduction
 - Schrödinger's equation
 - Strichartz estimates in various settings
- 2 Framework
 - Space of homogeneous type
 - Heat semigroup
 - Hardy and BMO spaces
- 3 Results
 - Theorem 1
 - Theorem 2
- 4 Conclusion
 - Applications
 - Perspectives

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	••••••	0000
Theorem 1			

Hypothesis $(H_m(A))$

An operator T satisfies Hypothesis $(H_m(A))$ if:

$$\forall r > 0, \ \|T\psi_m(r^2H)\|_{L^2(B_r) \to L^2(\widetilde{B_r})} \lesssim A\mu(B_r)^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\widetilde{B_r})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ (H_m(A))$$

for any two balls B_r , B_r of radius r.

Remarks:

- We intend to use hypothesis $(H_m(A))$ for $T = e^{itH}\psi_{m'}(h^2H)$ and $A = |t|^{-\frac{d}{2}}$.
- Hypothesis $(H_m(A))$ is weaker than the $L^1 L^{\infty}$ estimate by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	0000000	0000
Theorem 1			

Theorem 1 [Bernicot, S., '14]

Let T be a self-adjoint operator commuting with H and satisfying $||T||_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim 1$. If T satisfies $(H_m(A))$ for $m \ge \frac{d}{2}$, then for all $p \in (1, 2)$:

$$\|T\|_{H^1\to \text{BMO}} \lesssim A \quad \text{thus} \quad \|T\|_{L^p\to L^{p'}} \lesssim A^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}}$$

That theorem reduces the H^1 – BMO and $L^p - L^{p'}$ estimates to a microlocalized $L^2(B_r) - L^2(\widetilde{B_r})$ one.

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	0000000	0000
Theorem 1			

Ideas of the proof:

- Use the atomic structure of H^1 .
- Use an approximation of the identity well suited to our setting $(e^{-sH})_{s>0}$

• Interpolate between $H^1 - BMO$ and $L^2 - L^2$.

Summary of theorem 1

 $H_m(A) \Rightarrow H^1 \to BMO$ and $L^p \to L^{p'}$ dispersive estimates.

Introduction 0000	Framework 000000	Results	Conclusion
Theorem 2			

Wave propagator

For $f \in L^2$, we note $\cos(t\sqrt{H})f$ the unique solution at time t of the wave problem:

$$\partial_t^2 u + Hu = 0$$
$$u_{|t=0} = f$$
$$\partial_t u_{|t=0} = 0$$

The wave propagator is the map $f \mapsto \cos(t\sqrt{H})f$.

Introduction
0000
Theorem 2

Framework 000000 Results

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Finite speed propagation

For any disjoint open sets $U_1, U_2 \subset X$, and any $f_1 \in L^2(U_1)$, $f_2 \in L^2(U_2)$, we have:

$$\forall 0 < t < d(U_1, U_2), < \cos(t\sqrt{H})f_1, f_2 >= 0.$$
 (4)

We have the equivalence (Coulhon-Sikora '06):

 $(DG) \Leftrightarrow (4).$

Remark: If $cos(t\sqrt{H})$ has a kernel K_t , (4) means that K_t is supported in the "light cone":

supp
$$K_t \subset \{(x,y) \in X^2, d(x,y) \leq t\}.$$

Introduction 0000	Framework 000000	Results	Conclusion
Theorem 2			

Assumption on the wave propagator

There exists $\kappa \in (0, \infty]$ and an integer ℓ such that for all $s \in (0, \kappa)$, for all r > 0 and any two balls B_r , $\widetilde{B_r}$ of radius r, we have:

$$\|\cos(s\sqrt{H})\psi_{\ell}(r^{2}H)\|_{L^{2}(B_{r})\to L^{2}(\widetilde{B_{r}})} \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{r+s}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\frac{r}{r+|L-s|}\right)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

where $L = d(B_{r}, \widetilde{B_{r}}).$

Remark: κ is linked to the geometry of the space X (its injectivity radius for example).

Introduction
0000
Theorem 2

Framework 000000 Results

Conclusion 0000

Theorem 2 [Bernicot, S. '14]

Under the previous assumption on the wave propagator, for all m ≥ max{d/2, l + [d-1/2]}:
If κ = +∞: e^{itH} satisfies (H_m(|t|^{-d/2})) for all t ∈ ℝ.
If κ < +∞: for all ε > 0 and h > 0 with |t| ≤ h^{1+ε} and all integer m' ≥ 0, e^{itH}ψ_{m'}(h²H) satisfies (H_m(|t|^{-d/2})).

- In the first case we obtain global-in-time Strichartz estimates without loss of derivatives.
- In the second case we recover local-in-time Strichartz estimates with $\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon$ loss of derivatives.

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000		0000
Theorem 2			

Ideas of the proof:

- Cauchy formula $\Rightarrow e^{-zH} = \int_0^{+\infty} \cos(s\sqrt{H}) e^{-\frac{s^2}{4z}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{\pi z}}$ with $z = h^2 it;$
- Integrate by parts when s is small;
- Use assumption on $\cos(s\sqrt{H})$ when $s < \kappa$;
- Use the exponential decay of $e^{-\frac{s^2}{4z}}$ when s is large.

Summary of theorem 2

 $L^2(B_r) o L^2(\widetilde{B_r})$ dispersion for the wave propagator $\Rightarrow H_m(|t|^{-rac{d}{2}}).$

Framework
000000

Results 00000000 Conclusion

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Introduction
 - Schrödinger's equation
 - Strichartz estimates in various settings
- 2 Framework
 - Space of homogeneous type
 - Heat semigroup
 - Hardy and BMO spaces
- 3 Results
 - Theorem 1
 - Theorem 2
- 4 Conclusion
 - Applications
 - Perspectives

Introduction 0000	Framework	Results
Applications		

Some cases where we can check $L^2(B_r) \rightarrow L^2(B_r)$ dispersion for the wave propagator to apply Theorem 1 and 2 and recover Strichartz estimates:

Examples

- $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with $H = -\Delta$ $(\kappa = +\infty)$;
- $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with $H = -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla)$ where $A \in C^{1,1}$ $(\kappa < +\infty)$;
- Compact riemannian manifolds with Laplace-Beltrami operator (κ depends on the injectivity radius);
- Non-compact riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry (κ given by the geometry);
- Non-trapping asymptotically conic manifolds with $H = -\Delta + V$ ([Hassel-Yang '15]).

Conclusion

Introduction	Framework	Results
0000	000000	0000000
Applications		

Conclusion

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

One thing to remember:

 $L^{2}(B_{r}) - L^{2}(\widetilde{B_{r}})$ dispersive estimates for the wave propagator \downarrow $H^{1} - BMO$ dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger operator \downarrow $L^{p}L^{q}$ Strichartz inequalities for the Schrödinger operator

Introduction	Framework	Results	Conclusion
0000	000000	00000000	
Perspectives			

- A good understanding of the wave propagator in various settings will help to detect whereas the method can apply:
 - The proof of (DG) \Leftrightarrow (4) may allow us to show that gaussian upper bounds (UE) imply a dispersion for $\cos(s\sqrt{H})$;
 - Weaken the assumption on $\cos(s\sqrt{H})$, in particular near the boundary of the light cone;
 - Klainerman's commuting vector fields method may give a suitable L¹ − L[∞] dispersive estimates for cos(s√H) in various settings (mild assumption on the geometry of X, or H = -div(A∇) with no/minimal regularity on A);

- Find new examples where we can apply our method to derive Strichartz estimates in general settings;
- Perturbation of H with a potential V with no regularity;

Framework 000000 Results 00000000 Conclusion

Thank you for your attention !