Non-commutativity of the exponential spectrum

Hubert Klaja Université Laval, Québec, Québec, Canada

> Journées du GdR AFHP 2015 CIRM, Luminy - Marseille, France 02 December 2015 Joint work with Thomas Ransford

Let $(A, +, \times)$ be a complex unital Banach algebra (i.e. (A, +) is a Banach space and $||a \times b|| \le ||a|| ||b||$). Denote by

- 1 the unit of A,
- InvA the group of invertible elements in A,

►
$$\sigma(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \mathbf{1} - a \notin \text{Inv}\mathcal{A}\}, a \in \mathcal{A},$$

- H a complex Hilbert space,
- E a complex Banach space,
- ▶ B(E) the bounded linear operators acting on E,
- $\mathcal{K}(E)$ the compact linear operators acting on E,

• C(X, Y) the continuous functions $f : X \to Y$.

The exponential spectrum

Denote by ${\rm Exp}{\cal A}$ the connected component of ${\rm Inv}{\cal A}$ which contains 1.

Theorem

$$\operatorname{Exp}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ e^{a_1} \dots e^{a_i} : a_1, \dots, a_i \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Definition/Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital Banach algebra. Then $\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{A}$ is an open and closed normal subbgroup of $\operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{A}$. The *abstract index group* of \mathcal{A} is $\frac{\operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{A}}$. The *abstract index map* is the natural homomorphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : \operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{A} \to \frac{\operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{A}}$. The *abstract index* of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$.

Definition

An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is *Fredholm*, if $n(T) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)) < \infty$, $d(T) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T^*)) < \infty$ and $\operatorname{Ran}(T)$ is closed.

The (classical) Fredholm index of a Fredholm operator TInd(T) := n(T) - d(T). Denote by \mathcal{F} (respectively \mathcal{F}_n) the set of all Fredholm operators (respectively of index n).

Theorem

There exists a group isomorphism $\alpha : \frac{\operatorname{Inv} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})}}{\operatorname{Exp} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that the following diagram commutes.



We have that $\operatorname{Inv} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^*)$. For every $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^*)$ denote by $\operatorname{wn}(f)$ the winding number of f.

Theorem

There exists a group isomorphism $\alpha : \frac{\operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{C})}{\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{C})} \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that the following diagram commutes.



Harte (1976)

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The exponential spectrum of a in \mathcal{A} is

$$\varepsilon(a) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \mathbf{1} - a \notin \operatorname{Exp} \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Harte (1976)

$$\partial \varepsilon(a) \subset \sigma(a) \subset \varepsilon(a).$$

For example, if $\sigma(a) = \mathbb{T}$, then $\varepsilon(a) = \mathbb{T}$ or $\varepsilon(a) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

 $\varepsilon_{\frac{\mathcal{B}(H)}{\mathcal{K}(H)}}(T + \mathcal{K}(H)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \text{ is not Fredholm or } \mathrm{Ind}(\lambda - T) \neq 0\}.$

$$\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{C})}(\phi) = \phi(\mathbb{T}) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \phi(\mathbb{T}) : \operatorname{wn}(\lambda - \phi) \neq \mathsf{0}\}.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{C})$ and T_{ϕ} be the Toeplitz operator of symbol ϕ , then

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^2)}(T_{\phi}) = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T},\mathbb{C})}(\phi).$$

Theorem

Let $\Theta:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$ be a continuous homomorphism of Banach algebra onto. We have that:

 $\Theta(\operatorname{Inv} \mathcal{A}) \subset \operatorname{Inv} \mathcal{B},$ $\Theta(\operatorname{Exp} \mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{Exp} \mathcal{B}.$

Denote by $\Theta : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \frac{\mathcal{B}(H)}{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ the projection on the Calkin algebra. We have that

$$\Theta(\mathrm{Inv}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))=\mathcal{F}_0+\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})
eq\mathcal{F}+\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})=\mathrm{Inv}\left(rac{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}{\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})}
ight),$$

$$\Theta(\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{F}_0 + \mathcal{K}(H) = \operatorname{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathcal{B}(H)}{\mathcal{K}(H)}\right).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Theorem

Let $a, b \in A$. Then

$$\sigma(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Recall that if $\lambda \mathbf{1} - ab$ is invertible and $\lambda \neq 0$, then $(\lambda \mathbf{1} - ba)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mathbf{1} - b(\lambda \mathbf{1} - ab)^{-1}a).$

Question Murphy (1992)

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. Do we always have that

 $\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}?$

The exponential spectrum is commutative if

• \mathcal{A} is commutative ($\mathcal{C}(X,\mathbb{C}),\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{D}),\ldots$),

• Exp
$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Inv}\mathcal{A} (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \dots)$$

•
$$\overline{\mathrm{Inv}(\mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{A} \ (\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \dots).$$

This straightaway rules out many candidates for a counterexample. The Calkin algebra $\frac{\mathcal{B}(H)}{\mathcal{K}(H)}$ does not satisfies those conditions. However, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\lambda - AB \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\lambda - AB) = \operatorname{Ind}(\lambda - BA).$$

In other words the exponential spectrum is commutative in the Calkin algebra.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{2k+1} &:= \left\{ (z_0, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1} : \sum_{i=0}^k |z_i|^2 = 1 \right\}, \\ \mathcal{S}^{2k} &:= \left\{ (z_0, \dots, z_k) \in \mathbb{C}^{k+1} : \sum_{i=0}^k |z_i|^2 = 1, \operatorname{Im}(z_k) = 0 \right\}. \end{split}$$

The equators of \mathcal{S}^{2k+1} and \mathcal{S}^{2k} are

$$\left\{ (z_0,\ldots,z_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{2k+1} : \operatorname{Im}(z_k) = 0 \right\},$$
$$\left\{ (z_0,\ldots,z_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{2k} : \operatorname{Re}(z_k) = 0 \right\}.$$

The upper hemisphere of \mathcal{S}^{2k+1} and \mathcal{S}^{2k} are

$$\left\{ (z_0, \dots, z_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{2k+1} : \operatorname{Im}(z_k) > 0 \right\},$$
$$\left\{ (z_0, \dots, z_k) \in \mathcal{S}^{2k} : \operatorname{Re}(z_k) > 0 \right\}.$$

K., Ransford (2015)

There exists $a,b\in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4,\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

 $\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$

K., Ransford (2015)

There exists $a, b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Fact

The homotopy group $\pi_4(GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ of the continuous functions $\mathcal{C}(S^4, GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is non trivial. Moreover

$$c: \mathcal{S}^4 \to \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$
$$(z_0, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{(1 + \mathfrak{i} z_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \overline{z_0} & z_0 \overline{z_1} \\ z_1 \overline{z_0} & z_1 \overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is not homotopic to **1** in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

$$\begin{aligned} a: \mathcal{S}^4 &\to \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2) &\mapsto \frac{1}{1 + \mathfrak{i} z_2} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & 0 \\ z_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ b: \mathcal{S}^4 &\to \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ (z_0, z_1, z_2) &\mapsto \frac{1}{1 + \mathfrak{i} z_2} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{z_0} & \overline{z_1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

•

We have that

$$(\mathbf{1}-2ab)(z_0,z_1,z_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{(1+iz_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} z_0\overline{z_0} & z_0\overline{z_1} \\ z_1\overline{z_0} & z_1\overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= c(z_0,z_1,z_2).$$

So 1 - 2ab is not homotopic to 1 in $Inv(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})))$, and $1 - 2ab \notin Exp(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})))$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} - 2ba)(z_0, z_1, z_2) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2(|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2)}{(1 + iz_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2(1 - |z_2|^2)}{(1 + iz_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \phi(z_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\phi(z_2) = 1 - \frac{2(1-|z_2|^2)}{(1+iz_2)^2}$. We have that (1 - 2ba) factors as:

So (1 - 2ba) is homotopic to 1 in $Inv(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})))$, and $1 - 2ba \in Exp(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})))$. In other words we have that $\frac{1}{2} \notin \varepsilon(ba)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \in \varepsilon(ab)$. The Hopf map is

$$h: \mathcal{S}^3 \to \mathcal{S}^2$$

 $(z_0, z_1) \mapsto (-2z_0\overline{z_1}, |z_0|^2 - |z_1|^2).$

Definition

Let $f : S^k \to S^n$. The suspension of f

$$Ef: \mathcal{S}^{k+1} \to \mathcal{S}^{n+1}$$

is the map which reduce to f on the equators and send the upper (respectively lower) hemisphere of S^{k+1} to the upper (respectively lower) hemisphere of S^{n+1} . This is done by mapping the center of each hemisphere into the center of the corresponding hemisphere and extending radially.











◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

The suspension of the Hopf map is given by

$$\begin{split} & \textit{Eh}: \mathcal{S}^4 \to \mathcal{S}^3 \\ & (z_0, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{-2z_0\overline{z_1}}{\sqrt{1-|z_2|^2}}, \frac{|z_0|^2-|z_1|^2}{\sqrt{1-|z_2|^2}} + \mathfrak{i} z_2 \right). \end{split}$$

Hopf/ Freudenthal

The Hopf map $h: S^3 \to S^2$ and its suspension $Eh: S^4 \to S^3$ are not null-homotopic.

K., Ransford (2015)

There exists $a, b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Fact

The homotopy group $\pi_4(GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ of the continuous functions $\mathcal{C}(S^4, GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is non trivial. Moreover

$$c: \mathcal{S}^4 \to \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$
$$(z_0, z_1, z_2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{(1 + \mathfrak{i} z_2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \overline{z_0} & z_0 \overline{z_1} \\ z_1 \overline{z_0} & z_1 \overline{z_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is not homotopic to **1** in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

K., Ransford (2015)

There exists $a, b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4, \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

```
\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.
```

Fact

The homotopy group $\pi_4(GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ of the continuous functions $\mathcal{C}(S^4, GL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is non trivial. Moreover

$$egin{aligned} c:\mathcal{S}^4 o \mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \ (z_0,z_1,z_2) \mapsto egin{pmatrix} 1-rac{2z_0\overline{z_0}}{(1+iz_2)^2} & -rac{2z_0\overline{z_1}}{(1+iz_2)^2} \ -rac{2z_1\overline{z_0}}{(1+iz_2)^2} & 1-rac{2z_1\overline{z_1}}{(1+iz_2)^2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is not homotopic to 1 in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^4,\mathsf{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})).$

$$p: \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}^2$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (b, d)$

We have that

$$pc(z_0, z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{-2z_0\overline{z_1}}{(1+iz_2)^2}, 1-\frac{2|z_1|^2}{(1+iz_2)^2}\right).$$

Denote by $f := \frac{pc}{|pc|}$. On the equator of S^4 we have that |pc| = 1 and f = pc. We have that

$$\mathit{Eh}(z_0, z_1, z_2) = \left(rac{-2z_0\overline{z_1}}{\sqrt{1-|z_2|^2}}, rac{|z_0|^2-|z_1|^2}{\sqrt{1-|z_2|^2}} + \mathfrak{i}z_2
ight).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Eh and *f* coincide on the equator of S^4 and send the upper (respectively lower) hemisphere of S^4 to the upper (respectively lower) hemisphere of S^3 .

So $Eh(z_0, z_1, z_2)$ and $f(z_0, z_1, z_2)$ are not antipodals points of S^3 . So

$$t\mapsto rac{(1-t)f-t(Eh)}{|(1-t)f-t(Eh)|},$$

is an homotopy between Eh and f.

So *f* is not homotopic to a constant map.

If c is Homotopic to a constant map, then pc would also be homotopic to a constant map, so be f. But f isn't homotopic to a constant map, and neither c.

K. Ransford (2015)

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq 2$. Then there exist $a, b \in \mathcal{C}(S^{2n}, \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Moreover, we have that $\frac{\operatorname{Inv}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^{2n},\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))}{\operatorname{Exp}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^{2n},\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}))} \sim \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n!\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let SM_n be the unreduced suspension of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.

 $\mathrm{SM}_n := \{ f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1], \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})) : f(0) = \alpha I_n, f(1) = \beta I_n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \}.$



One can prove that for every $a, b \in SM_n$

 $\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Question

Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital Banach algebra such that the exist $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\varepsilon(ab) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(ba) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Should $\frac{\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})}{\text{Exp}(\mathcal{A})}$ posses a non trivial element of finite order?

Question

Does there exists a Banach space E and operators $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that

 $\varepsilon(ST) \setminus \{0\} \neq \varepsilon(TS) \setminus \{0\}?$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●