Diversity in parametric families of number fields II

Yuri Bilu Florian Luca

March 31, 2016

uri Bilu Florian Luca Diversity in parametric families of number fields II

Notations (Review)

For a separable polynomial $F(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ we denote:

- Δ_F the discriminant of F;
- *P_F* the set of *p* for which *F*(*T*) has a root mod*p*, and which do not divide Δ_{*F*}.
- *M_F* the set of square-free integers composed of primes from *P_F*.

By the Chebotarev Density Theorem, the set \mathcal{P}_F is of positive density among all the primes denoted δ_F . Note that

$$\delta_F \ge \frac{1}{D}, \qquad (D = \deg F).$$
 (1)

The set $\mathcal{M}_F(x)$ (Review)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small in a way to be specified later but fixed. Let *x* be large and put

$$y = \exp((\log x)^{1-\varepsilon}).$$

Let P(n) be the largest prime factor of a positive integer n. Let

$$k := \lfloor \delta_F \varepsilon \log \log x \rfloor + 1, \qquad \kappa := \log \log x$$

and $M_F(x)$ be the set of positive integers *m* subject to the following conditions:

- (i) *m* ∈ [*x*/(2κ), *x*/κ] and if *p* | *m* is prime, then *p* ∈ *P_F*;
 (ii) *P*(*m*) > *x*^{9/10};
- (iii) $p \mid m$ is prime then $p \geq y$.
- (iv) *m* is squarefree;

(v)
$$\omega(m) = k + 1$$
.

Lemma (1)

We have

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) = \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\varepsilon\delta_F + o(1)}}$$

as $x \to \infty$.

uri Bilu Florian Luca Diversity in parametric families of number fields II

3

Proof.

If $m \in \mathcal{M}_F(x)$, then $m = Pm_1$, where $P = P(m) > x^{9/10}$ and $m_1 = m/P < x^{1/10}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}'_F(x)$ be the set of such m_1 's. Then m_1 fulfills (iii), (iv), has $\omega(m_1) = k$ and all its prime factors are in \mathcal{P}_F . Further, for a fixed m_1 , we have

 $P \in [x/(2\kappa m_1), x/(\kappa m_1)].$

Since $m_1 < x^{1/10}$, it follows that

$$x/(\kappa m_1) > x^{4/5}$$
 for $x > x_0$.

Thus, for a fixed m_1 , P can be chosen in

$$\pi_F(x/(\kappa m_1)) - \pi_F(x/(2\kappa m_1))$$

$$= (\delta_F/2 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\kappa m_1 \log(x/(\kappa m_1))}$$

$$\asymp \frac{x}{\kappa m_1 \log x}$$



A (10) + A (10) +

In the above, $\pi_F(T)$ counts the number of primes in $\mathcal{P}_F(T)$. Summing up over $m_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_F$, we get

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) \asymp \frac{x}{\kappa \log x} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_F} \frac{1}{m_1}$$

Let's deduce bounds on $#M_F(x)$. For the upper bound:

$$\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_F} \frac{1}{m_1} \leq \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{\substack{y \leq p \leq x \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_F}} \frac{1}{p} \right)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{(1+o(1))}{\sqrt{k}(k/e)^k} \left(\delta_F \log \log x - \delta_F \log \log y \right)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{(\log \log x)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{\left((e \varepsilon \delta_F + o(1)) \log \log x}{k} \right)^k$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{(\log \log x)^{1/2}} (e + o(1))^{\varepsilon \delta_F \log \log x + O(1)}$$

$$\ll (\log x)^{\varepsilon \delta_F + o(1)} \text{ as } x \to \infty. \quad \varepsilon \to \varepsilon$$

Hence,

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\varepsilon\delta_F + o(1)}}$$

as $x \to \infty$. For the lower bound, consider

$$z := x^{1/11 \log \log x}$$
 and $\mathcal{I} = [y, z],$

and consider the set \mathcal{M}''_F of squarefree numbers m_1 formed by k primes in $\mathcal{P}_F \cap \mathcal{I}$. Clearly, they satisfy (iii) and (iv) and

$$m_1 \leq x^{k/(11\log\log x)} < x^{1/11},$$

so

$$\frac{x}{2\kappa m_1} > \frac{x^{10/11}}{2\kappa} > x^{9/10}$$

for large x, so

$$[x/(2\kappa m_1), x/(\kappa m_1)] \subseteq [x^{9/10}, x/(\kappa m_1)].$$

As above, given m_1 , P can be chosen in

$$\pi_F(x/(\kappa m_1)) - \pi_F(x/(2\kappa m_1))$$

$$\approx \frac{x}{\kappa m_1 \log(x/(\kappa m_1))}$$

$$\approx \frac{x}{m_1 \log x \log \log x}.$$

Hence,

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x \log \log x} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F'} \frac{1}{m_1}$$

We need a lower bound for the last sum above, and we note that

$$\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F''} \frac{1}{m_1} \ge \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_F \cap [y, z]} \frac{1}{p} \right)^k - \sum_{\substack{p \mid n \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{P}_f \cap [y, z] \\ \Omega(n) = k \text{ and } \mu^2(n) = 0}} \frac{1}{n} := S_1 - S_2.$$
Yuri Bily Florian Luca
Yuri Bily Florian Luca
Diversity in parametric families of number fields II

Every *n* appearing in the range of S_2 is of size at most

$$\mathsf{P}(n)^{\Omega(n)} \leq z^k < x,$$

and divisible by the square of a prime p > y. Hence, $n = p^2 m$ for some $m \le x$. It follows that

$$S_2 \leq \left(\sum_{y$$

For S_1 , we use the same argument as before and get

$$\begin{split} S_1 & \gg \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}(k/e)^k} \left((\delta_F + o(1)) \log \log z - (\delta_F + o(1)) \log \log y \right)^k \\ & \gg \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} \left(\frac{(e\varepsilon \delta_F + o(1)) \log \log x}{k} \right)^k \gg (\log x)^{\varepsilon \delta_F + o(1)}. \end{split}$$

So, we see that $S_2 = o(S_1)$ as $x \to \infty$, therefore

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) \gg \frac{xS_1}{\log x \log \log x} \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\varepsilon\delta_F + o(1)}}.$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Condition F' (Review)

Next, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma (2)

For large x and $m \in M_F(x)$, there exists $n \in [m, 2m]$ and $j \in \{0, 1, ..., \kappa - 2\}$ such that $m \mid F(n + jm)$ and such that furthermore $p \mid\mid F(n + jm)$ for each prime factor p of m.

Proof. Explained by my co-author. Essentially it is the Pigeon Hole Principle.

Condition E' (Review)

Now for each $m \in \mathcal{M}_F(x)$, let n_m be the minimal positive integer $\geq m$ such that $m \mid F(n_m)$ and every prime factor p dividing m has the property that $p \mid\mid F(n_m)$. By the Lemma 2, $n_m \leq \kappa m \leq x$. For each n let z(n) be the number of $m \in \mathcal{M}_F(x)$ such that $n = n_m$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma (3)

The subset of $n \in \mathcal{N}_m(x)$ with $z(n) \ge 6D$ is of cardinality at most

 $\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}}.$

(日)

Condition G' assuming E'

Lemma 3 is an important ingredient in the proof, yet we are not done. We will prove it later. Let's see how we finish off. We write the list

$$\mathcal{L} := \{ (n_m, m) \text{ for } m \in \mathcal{M}_F(x) \}.$$
(2)

The above list has, by Lemma 1,

 $\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1-\varepsilon\delta_F+o(1)}}$

elements, all of them pairs of the form

(*n*, *m*),

where

$$n \leq x$$
, $m \mid F(n)$, $\mu^2(m) = 1$, $\omega(m) = k + 1$,

and

$$p \in [y, x] \cap \mathcal{P}_F$$
 for all prime factors $p \mid m$.

So, let us put

$$\mathcal{J} = [\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}]$$

and try to understand the function $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n))$, where $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(u)$ is the number of prime factors of *u* in the interval \mathcal{J} .

We split *n* in three sets as follows:

(i) E(x) (enormous), which is the set of $n \le x$ for which

 $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \geq 3D(\log \log x)^2.$

(ii) L(x) (large), which is the set of $n \le x$ for which

 $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \in [KD \log \log x, 3D(\log \log x)^2],$

where *K* is some constant depending on ε to be determined later.

(iii) R(x) (reasonable), which is the set of $n \le x$ such that

$$\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \leq KD \log \log x.$$

・ロト ・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

For the purpose of this argument, if $s := \omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n))$ we will denote by

$$p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_s$$

all prime factors of F(n) in \mathcal{J} . Since

$$|F(n)| \ll n^D \ll x^D,$$

it follows that in case (i), if we put

$$U:=\lfloor (\log\log x)^2\rfloor,$$

then

$$p_1 \cdots p_U \le x^{1/2}$$
 for large x .

< 同 > < 回 > < 回

Let $\rho_F : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be the multiplicative function given by

$$\rho_F(d) = \#\{0 \le n \le d-1 : F(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}.$$

Clearly,

 $\rho_F(u) \leq D^{\omega(u)}$ holds for all squarefree u.

To count *E*, fix

$$p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_U$$
 all in \mathcal{J} ,

and let us count the number $n \le x$ such that $m_1 | F(n)$, where $m_1 = p_1 \cdots p_U$. The number of such *n* is

$$\frac{\rho_F(m_1)}{m_1}x + O(\rho_F(m_1)) \ll \frac{D^{\omega(m_1)}}{m_1}x.$$
 (3)

(4月) (4日) (4日) 日

For the above inequality, we used that

$$D^{\omega(m_1)} = D^{O(\log m_1 / \log \log m_1)} = m_1^{o(1)} \le x^{o(1)},$$

so in the left–hand side of (3), the first term $D^{\omega(m_1)}x/m_1$ dominates because $m_1 \le x^{1/2}$. We sum up over the possible m_1 getting

$$\#E(x) \ll xD^{U} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m_{1} \Rightarrow p \in [y, x] \\ \mu^{2}(m_{1}) = 1 \\ \omega(m_{1}) = U}} \frac{1}{m_{1}}.$$
 (4)

The last sum which we denote by S_3 , is, by the multinomial coefficient trick,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S_3 & \leq & \displaystyle \frac{1}{U!} \left(\sum_{y \leq p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \right)^U \ll \left(\frac{(e+o(1))\log\log x}{U} \right)^U \\ & \leq & \displaystyle \exp\left(-(1+o(1))(\log\log x)^2 (\log\log\log x) \right). \end{array}$$

For each such *n*, since

$$|F(n)| \ll n^D \ll x^D,$$

it follows that $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \leq \log x$ for large *x*. Thus, the number $m \mid F(n)$ can be chosen in at most

$$\binom{\lfloor \log x \rfloor}{k} \leq (\log x)^k \leq \exp((\log \log x)^2)$$

ways. So, the number of pairs

Т

(n, m)

among \mathcal{L} with *n* from E(x) is at most

$$= \frac{xD^U}{\exp((1+o(1))\log\log x)^2(\log\log\log x)} \times \exp((\log\log x)^2)$$

=
$$\frac{x}{\exp((1+o(1))(\log\log x)^2(\log\log\log x))}.$$

his is $o(\#\mathcal{M}_F(x))$ as $x \to \infty$. So, we dealt with (i).

Let us deal with (ii) now.

We let i_0 and i_1 be maximal and minimal positive integers such that

$$2^{i_0} \leq K$$
 and $2^{i_1} \geq 3(\log \log x)$,

respectively. Clearly,

$$i_1 - i_0 = O(\log \log \log x).$$

Consider

$$j \in [i_0, i_1 - 1]$$

and let us look only at those n such that

$$\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \in [2^{j}D\log\log x, 2^{j+1}D\log\log x].$$

We revisit the previous argument. We now take

$$U := \lfloor 2^{j-1} \log \log x \rfloor,$$

and let

$$m_1 = p_1 \cdots p_U$$
.

Then

$$m_1^{2D} \leq |F(n)| \ll x^D,$$

therefore $m_1 \ll x^{1/2}$. The argument used to prove (4) shows that

$$\#L(x) \ll xD^{U} \sum_{\substack{p \mid m_{1} \Rightarrow p \in [y, x] \\ \mu^{2}(m_{1}) = 1 \\ \omega(m_{1}) = U}} \frac{1}{m_{1}}.$$
 (5)

Let S_4 be the last sum above. Then

$$S_4 \ll \frac{1}{U!} \left(\sum_{y \le z \le x} \frac{1}{p} \right)^U$$
$$\ll \left(\frac{(e+o(1))\log\log x}{U} \right)^U$$
$$\ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{2^{j-1}\log(2^{j-1}/e+o(1))}}$$

where we used that $2^{j-1}/e \geq K/(4e) > e$ for $K \geq 4e^2$.

Thus,

$$\#L(x) \ll xD^US_4 \ll x(\log x)^{2^{j-1}\log D}S_4 \\ \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2^{j-1}\log(2^{j-1}/eD + o(1))}}.$$

Since $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}((F(n)) \le 4U + 4)$, it follows that the number of choices for *m* is at most

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4U+4\\k+1 \end{pmatrix} \leq \left(\frac{2^{j+2}}{\delta_F \varepsilon} + o(1)\right)^{\delta_F \varepsilon \log \log x + O(1)} \\ \ll (\log x)^{\delta_F \varepsilon \log(2^{j+3}/\delta_F \varepsilon)}$$

for large *x*. Thus, the number of pairs (n, m) in the list \mathcal{L} coming from $n \in L(x)$ with a fixed *j*, is

$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2^{j-1}\log(2^{j-2}/eD)-\varepsilon\delta_F\log(2^{j+3}/\delta_F\varepsilon)}}$$

A (10) A (10)

The exponent above is

$$2^{j-1}(\log 2)j(1-\varepsilon\delta_F+O(\log(1/\varepsilon)/j))$$

where the constant implied by ${\it O}$ depends on ${\it D}.$ Since $2^{j} \geq 2^{i_0} \geq {\it K}/2,$

we have

$$j \ge \log(K/2)/\log 2$$
, so if $K \ge (1/\varepsilon)^{O(1)}$

is sufficiently large, then the factor

$$1 - \varepsilon \delta_F + O(\log(1/\varepsilon)/j) \ge 1/2.$$

Thus, the number of such pairs for a fixed *j* is

$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2^{j-2}j}}.$$

Summing over *j*, this sum is dominated by the first term, so if $j \ge 2$ (that is, $K \ge 8$), then the number of such pairs is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right)$$

It remains to deal with $n \in R(x)$. If

$$n \in R(x)$$
, then $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}(F(n)) \leq KD \log \log x$.

Thus, the number of *m*'s such that (n, m) is in \mathcal{L} for fixed $n \in R(x)$ is

$$\leq \binom{\lfloor \mathsf{K}\mathsf{D}\log\log x\rfloor}{k+1} = (\log x)^{O(\varepsilon\log(1/\varepsilon))}.$$

By the Lemma 3, the number of *n* with $z(n) \ge 6D$ is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}}\right)$$

Hence, since the number of *m* is $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon)))}$, it follows that the number of pairs (n, m) with $n \in R(x)$ and $z(n) \ge 6D$ in \mathcal{L} is

$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon))}}.$$

(日本)(日本)(日本)(日本)

Now take $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ such that the above exponent of the logarithm is \geq 1. Then the number of such pairs is

$$O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right).$$

Since

$$\#\mathcal{M}_F(x) = x/(\log x)^{1-\delta_F\varepsilon_0+o(1)},$$

it follows that for large x, at least half of the pairs in \mathcal{L} will have

$$z(n) \leq 6D.$$

Now we are done.

It remains to prove the Lemma 3.

The proof of Lemma 3

We keep the previous notations, especially

 $y = \exp((\log x)^{1-\varepsilon})$

and let A be the set

$${oldsymbol A}=\{m:\mu^2(m)=1,\; {oldsymbol p}\mid m\Rightarrow {oldsymbol p}\geq {oldsymbol y} ext{ and } {oldsymbol p}\in {\mathcal P}_{F}\}.$$

We study A(t) for $t \in [y, x]$. We have

Lemma (4)

Uniformly for $t \in [y, x]$, we have

$$#A(t) \leq \frac{t}{(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}}.$$

Here and in what follows, the constants implied by O depend on δ_F and D.

Proof.

Let g(n) be the characteristic function of A. By a classical result

$$#A(t) = \sum_{n \le t} g(n) \ll \frac{t}{\log t} \sum_{n \in A(t)} \frac{1}{n}.$$
 (6)

Clearly, log $t = (\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}$ for $t \in [y, x]$. As for the sum above, we have

$$\begin{split} S_5 &= \sum_{n \in A(t)} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{\substack{y \leq p \leq t \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_F}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{y \leq p \leq t \\ p \in \mathcal{P}_F}} \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\sum_{p \geq y} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \exp((\delta_F + o(1)) \log \log t - (\delta_F + o(1)) \log \log y + O(1/y)) \\ &\leq (\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}, \end{split}$$

which together with (6) finishes the proof.

Lemma (5)

Uniformly for $y \le a \le b \le x$, we have

ĉ

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq b \\ n \in A}} \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{\log b - \log a + 1}{(\log x)^{1 + O(\varepsilon)}}.$$

Proof.

This is just Abel summation formula. Indeed,

$$\sum_{\substack{a \leq n \leq b \\ n \in A}} \frac{1}{n} = \left(\frac{\#A(t)}{t} \Big|_{t=a}^{t=b} \right) - \int_a^b \frac{\#A(t)}{t^2} dt.$$

In the first term we have

è

$$\left(\frac{\#A(t)}{t}\Big|_{t=a}^{t=b}
ight)\leq \frac{\#A(b)}{b}\ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}},$$

by Lemma 4.

A (1) > A (2) > A

Also by Lemma 4,

$$\int_a^b \frac{\#A(t)}{t^2} dt \ll \frac{1}{(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}} \int_a^b \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{\log b - \log a}{(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}}$$

Lemma 5 now follows.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

æ

The proof of Lemma 3

Suppose that

 $z(n) \geq 6D.$

Thus, there are 6*D* different *m*'s such that $n = n_m$. Each of them has

$$P=P(m)\geq x^{9/10}.$$

Let s be the number of such P's. Then

$$x^{9s/10} \leq |F(n)| \ll x^D,$$

S0

$$s \leq 10D/9 + o(1)$$
 as $(x \to \infty)$.

In particular

s < 2*D*

for large *x*. Since $z(n) \ge 6D$, it follows that there exists *P* and m_1, m_2, m_3 with these last three numbers distinct in $\mathcal{M}'_F(x)$ such that

$$m = n_m$$
 for each of $m \in \{Pm_1, Pm_2, Pm_3\}$.

Let's forget about P and just keep the condition that

$$m_i | F(n)$$
 for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Since $n \le x$, this shows that the number of such *n* is at most

$$\frac{\rho_F([m_1, m_2, m_3])}{[m_1, m_2, m_3]}x + O(\rho_F([m_1, m_2, m_3])).$$

For us,

 $m_i \leq x^{1/10}$ for i=1,2,3, so $[m_1m_2,m_3] \leq x^{3/10} \leq x^{1/2}.$ Further,

$$\omega([m_1, m_2, m_3]) \leq 3k = O(\varepsilon \log \log x),$$

therefore

$$\rho_F([m_1, m_2, m_3]) \leq D^{\omega([m_1, m_2 m_3]} = (\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}.$$

Hence, the number of our *n* is

$$\ll x(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}\frac{1}{[m_1,m_2,m_3]}.$$

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ● � � �

It remains to study the sum

$$S_6 := \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3} \frac{1}{[m_1, m_2, m_3]}$$

This is what remains. We shall ignore multiplicative factors of size

 $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$

from now on. Since m_1, m_2, m_3 are squarefree with the same number of prime factors, it follows that

 $m_i < [m_i, m_j]$ for all $i < j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$

We distinguish two cases.

- 同下 - 三下 - 三下 - -

Case 1. There is some relabeling of the indices such that $[m_1, m_2] \neq [m_1, m_2, m_3].$

Fix m_1, m_2 . Then

 $m_3 \nmid [m_1, m_2].$

Fix u such that

 $u = \gcd(m_3, [m_1, m_2]).$

With m_1, m_2 being fixed, u is fixed in only

 $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$

ways as a divisor of $[m_1, m_2]$. Since

 Pm_1, Pm_2, Pm_3 are all in $[x/(2\kappa), x/\kappa]$,

it follows that

 $m_j/2 \le m_i \le 2m_j$ holds for all i, j.

Now write

$$m_3 = ud$$
.

Since *u* is fixed, we get

$$m_1/(2u) \le d \le 2(m_1/u).$$

Since *u* is a proper divisor of m_1 , we have $d \ge y/2$. Then

$$[m_1, m_2, m_3] = [m_1, m_2]d,$$

so the sum while keeping m_1, m_2, u fixed and summing up over all possible numbers d, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m_1,m_2,u \text{ fixed}} \frac{1}{[m_1,m_2,m_3]} &\leq \quad \frac{1}{[m_1,m_2]} \sum_{\substack{u \mid [m_1,m_2] \ m_1/(2u) \leq d \leq 2(m_1/u) \\ d \in A}} \frac{1}{d} \\ &\ll \quad \frac{1}{[m_1,m_2](\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}} \sum_{\substack{u \mid [m_1,m_2] \ u \mid [m_1,m_2]}} 1 \\ &\ll \quad \frac{1}{(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}[m_1,m_2]}. \end{split}$$

In the above, we applied Lemma 5 with the choices

$$b = 2m_1/u, \ a = m_1/(2u)$$

in the inner sums. We now fix m_1 and vary m_2 . To this end, we fix

$$v = \gcd(m_1, m_2),$$

and let

$$m_2 = vd'.$$

Then d' > 1 otherwise $m_1 = m_2$ because m_1 and m_2 are squarefree and they have the same number of prime factors k. Thus, since

$$m_1/2 \le m_2 \le 2m_1$$
, we get $m_1/(2v) \le d' \le 2m_1/v$.

As before, $d' \in A$ and $d' \ge y/2$.

Keeping m_1 fixed, we get

$$\sum \frac{1}{[m_1, m_2]} = \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{\substack{v \mid m_1 \\ v < m_1}} \sum_{\substack{m_1/(2v) \le d' \le 2m_1/v \\ d' \in A}} \frac{1}{d'}$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{m_1(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}} \sum_{\substack{v \mid m_1}} 1$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{m_1(\log x)^{1+O(\varepsilon)}}.$$

Putting everything together, we get that the set of *n* that fall into such a case is

$$\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_F} \frac{1}{m_1}$$

The proof of Lemma 1 tells us that the last sum is $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$. So, the set of *n* in this category is of cardinality

$$\frac{x}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}},$$

Case 2. $[m_1, m_2] = [m_1, m_3] = [m_2, m_3] = [m_1, m_2, m_3].$ Write

$$m_1 = du, m_2 = dv,$$
 where $d = \operatorname{gcd}(m_1, m_2).$

Then

$$u > 1, v > 1$$
 and $gcd(u, v) = 1$.

Hence,

$$[m_1,m_2]=duv.$$

Since

$$m_3 \mid duv$$
 and $m_2 \mid [m_1, m_3]$,

we get that $v \mid m_3$. Similarly,

$$u \mid m_3$$
 so $m_3 = d'uv$, where $d' \mid d$.

Let

$$d = d'd''$$
.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

크

Since

 $m_1 \asymp m_2$, we get that $u \asymp v$.

Since

$$d'd''u = m_1 \asymp m_3 = d'uv$$
, we get $d'' \asymp v$.

Further, given

$$[m_1, m_2, m_3] = d'd''uv,$$

the number of ways of choosing m_1 , m_2 and m_3 is

 $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$.

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1,m_2,m_3}} \frac{1}{[m_1,m_2,m_3]} = (\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)} \sum_{\substack{d',d'',u,v \in A \\ d'' \asymp u \asymp v}} \frac{1}{d'd''uv}.$$

(日)

크

Keeping d', d'' fixed and summing over $u \simeq d''$ and $v \simeq d''$, we get

$$\frac{(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}}{d'd''} \left(\sum_{u \asymp d''} \frac{1}{u}\right)^2 \ll \frac{1}{d'd''(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}},$$

by Lemma 5. Now $d'd'' = d \in A$ is in [y, x]. Further, given d there are $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$ possibilities for d' and d''. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}}\sum_{\substack{d',d''\in A\\(d',d'')=1}}\frac{1}{d'd''}=\frac{1}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}}\sum_{\substack{d\in A\\d\leq x}}\frac{1}{d}\ll\frac{1}{(\log x)^{2+O(\varepsilon)}},$$

where we used again Lemma 5 with

$$b = x$$
, $a = y$

to deduce that the last inner sum in the middle above is $(\log x)^{O(\varepsilon)}$. Lemma 3 is proved and we are done.

Not quite the same but of a similar flavor

Let
$$A_0 = 1$$
 and $A_n = \lfloor en! \rfloor$ for $n \ge 1$. For $m \ge 2$, let

$$S_m(N) = \#\{\mathbb{Q}(A_n^{1/m}) : 1 \le n \le N\}.$$

In 2007, L., Shparlinski proved the following result.

Theorem	
We have:	
(i)	$\#S_2(N) \ge (\log N)^{1/3+o(1)}$ as $N \to \infty$.
(ii)	$\#S_m(N) \ge N^{1/2m+o(1)}$ as $N o \infty$
uniform	ly in $3 \le m \le \log N / \log \log N$.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

Happy birthday Igor!



Yuri Bilu Florian Luca Diversity in parametric families of number fields II