HIGH-ORDER NUMERICAL SCHEMES FOR COMPUTING THE DYNAMICS OF NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

by

Christophe BESSE

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Toulouse 3, CNRS

Collaboration with G. Dujardin & I. Lacroix-Violet X. Antoine & V. Rispoli ANR BECASIM

CIRM - Luminy







1 GPE FOR BECS

- 2 USUAL NUMERICAL SCHEMES
- **B** EXPONENTIAL RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS
- LAWSON METHODS
- **IMEX METHODS**
- 6 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

USUAL MODELING BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATES

- $\bullet \ N$ identical bosons
- $g = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_s/m$, where a_s denotes the s-wave scattering length of bosons.
- Confining potential $V_c(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{m}{2}(\gamma_x^2 x^2 + \gamma_y^2 y^2 + \gamma_z^2 z^2).$
- The Gross-Pitaevskii equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \frac{\delta E(\varphi)}{\delta\varphi^*} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V_c(\mathbf{x}) + Ng|\varphi|^2\right]\varphi.$$

Adding other effects

• Rotation: vortex nucleation by increasing rotation speed by Dalibard's group (2001)



$$i\hbar\partial_t\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta - i\hbar\mathbf{\Omega}\cdot(\mathbf{x}\times\nabla) + V_c(\mathbf{x}) + Ng|\varphi|^2\right]\varphi, \quad \mathbf{\Omega} = (0,0,\Omega)^T.$$

• Dipolar interactions: important for Chromium ⁵²Cr for example.

$$i\hbar\partial_t\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V_c(\mathbf{x}) + Ng|\varphi|^2 + Nk\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} U(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')|\varphi(t,\mathbf{x}')|^2 d\mathbf{x}'\right]\varphi_t$$

with $U(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - 3\cos(\text{angle}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e_z})))/|\mathbf{x}|^3$ and $k = \mu_0 \mu_{\text{mag}}^2/2\pi$

- Stirred laser beam: add a time dependent term to the potential.
- Multi-components BEC, Rashba spin orbit coupling effect.

NONDIMENSIONALIZATION

Dimensionless time-dependent GPE

$$i\partial_t\varphi_j = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V_j(\mathbf{x}) + f_j(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) - \Omega L_z\right)\varphi_j - \kappa R_j\varphi_{3-j} - \lambda\varphi_{3-j}, \ j = 1, 2$$

with $arphi_j(0,\mathbf{x})=arphi_{j,0}(\mathbf{x}),\,\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and

- Angular momentum $L_z = -i(x\partial_y y\partial_x)$,
- Rashba spin orbit coupling effect $R_j = i\partial_x + (-1)^{3-j}\partial_y$,
- $f_j(arphi_1,arphi_2)$ and $V_{1,2}$ nonlinear effects and external potential
- λ local coupling effect

Examples:

- Quadratic confining potentials $V_j(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \gamma_j \otimes \mathbf{x} \|^2$
- Cubic nonlinearity $f_j(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \beta_{j1} |\varphi_1|^2 + \beta_{j2} |\varphi_2|^2$, $\beta_{11} = \beta_{22}$, $\beta_{12} = \beta_{21}$
- One component $i\partial_t \varphi = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V + \beta|\varphi|^2 \Omega L_z\right)\varphi$

CONSERVED QUANTITIES

(GPE) reads

$$i\partial_t\varphi(t,.) = \nabla_{\varphi^*(t,.)}E(\varphi(t,.)),$$

with

$$E_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \varphi_j\|^2 + V_j |\varphi_j|^2 + F_j(\varphi_1,\varphi_2) - \Omega \varphi_j^* L_z \varphi_j - \kappa \varphi_j^* R_j \varphi_{3-j} \right) \\ -2\lambda \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_1 \varphi_2^*) \, d\mathbf{x},$$

where F_j is defined by $\partial_{\varphi_j^*}F_j = f_j(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\varphi_j$, j = 1, 2. For the cubic nonlinearity, we have $F_j(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (\beta_{jj}|\varphi_j|^4 + \beta_{12}|\varphi_1|^2|\varphi_2|^2)/2$. Then

- the equation happens to be Hamiltonian
- the energy is preserved by the dynamics: along a solution $t \mapsto \varphi(t, .)$ of (GPE), one has $E_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(t) = E_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(0)$.
- besides, the evolution preserves the total mass of the distribution:

$$N_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 N_{\varphi_j}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \|\varphi_j\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_j|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} = N_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2}(0).$$

O GPE FOR BECs



3 Exponential Runge-Kutta methods

4 LAWSON METHODS

IMEX METHODS

6 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

Splitting schemes

Reference: see for example *Geometric Numerical Integration*, E. Hairer, C. Lubich & G. Wanner, Springer We consider an arbitrary system of ODEs

$$\dot{y} = f(y) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

The solution if given by the flow F_t

$$y(t) = F_t(y_0).$$

One decompose the vector field into integrable pieces and treats them separately



FIGURE : A splitting of a vector field

Then

$$\dot{y} = f^{[1]}(y) + f^{[2]}(y).$$

Splitting schemes

If we know the exact flows $F_t^{[i]}$ of $\dot{y}=f^{[i]}(y)\text{, }i=1,2\text{, then}$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} F_t^{[1,2]} & F_t^{[1,2]} \\ y_0 & \longrightarrow & y_{1/2} & \longrightarrow & y_{2/1} \end{array}$$

Idea for a numerical flow

$$\Phi_h: y_n \mapsto y_{n+1}$$

where $y_n \approx y(t_n)$ and $h = t_{n+1} - t_n$.



This is the *Lie-Trotter* splitting scheme.

Taylor expansion: $(F_h^{[1]} \circ F_h^{[2]})(y_0) = F_h(y_0) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$

We can get an higher order scheme: Strang splitting scheme



If we write $F_h^{[2]}=F_{h/2}^{[2]}\circ F_{h/2}^{[2]}$, then we also have $\Phi_h^{[S]}=\Phi_{h/2}\circ \Phi_{h/2}$ and

$$\Phi_h^{[S]}(y_0) = F_h(y_0) + \mathcal{O}(h^3).$$

General Splitting Procedure: look for coefficient $a_1, b_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_m$ such that

$$\Psi_h = F_{b_m h}^{[2]} \circ F_{a_m h}^{[1]} \circ F_{b_{m-1} h}^{[2]} \circ \cdots \circ F_{a_2 h}^{[1]} \circ F_{b_1 h}^{[2]} \circ F_{a_1 h}^{[1]}$$

to get

$$\Psi_h(y_0) = F_h(y_0) + \mathcal{O}(h^s), \quad s > 3$$

Splitting schemes

EXAMPLE:
$$\partial_t \varphi = i \frac{\Delta}{2} \varphi - i |\varphi|^2 \varphi$$
.
 $f^{[1]}(\varphi) = -i |\varphi|^2 \varphi \text{ and } f^{[2]}(\varphi) = i \frac{\Delta}{2} \varphi$,

and

$$F_t^{[1]}(\varphi_0) = e^{-i|\varphi_0|^2} \varphi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_t^{[2]}(\varphi_0) = e^{i\Delta t/2} \varphi_0.$$

The usual splitting preserves mass since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| F_t^{[1]}(\varphi_0) \right|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \varphi_0 \right|^2 dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| F_t^{[2]}(\varphi_0) \right|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \varphi_0 \right|^2 dx.$$

However, these schemes do not preserve energy.

- An important issue in the numerical time integration of (GPE) comes from the rotation term R.
- Following W. Bao, D. Marahrens, Q. Tang and Y. Zhang, (2013), we introduce new coordinates

$$A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & -\sin(\Omega t) \\ \sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Omega t) & -\sin(\Omega t) & 0 \\ \sin(\Omega t) & \cos(\Omega t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

A(t) is orthogonal: $A(t)^{-1} = A(t)^{t}$.

We perform the change of unknown $\varphi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \psi(t, A(t)\mathbf{x})$.

 φ solves (GPE) $\iff \psi$ solves (NLS)

(NLS)
$$i\partial_t \psi_j = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \tilde{V}_j(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + f_j(\psi_1, \psi_2)\right)\psi_j - \lambda\psi_{3-j}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = A(t)\mathbf{x}$$

where

$$\tilde{V}_j(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = V_j\left(A(t)^t \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_j(0, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \psi_{j,0}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \varphi_{j,0}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}).$$

< = > < = > < = >

For full GPE

- After change of unknwon, the potential is now time dependent
- If we do not perform change of unknwon, we are still interested in time dependent potential (stirred laser beam)
- The Rashba coupling term can not be eliminated by such a change of unknown. For numerical integration, we need ADI techniques.

Splitting schemes

- Problem: standard formulas are only valid for autonomous problems!
- If X' = A(t)X, A, X: $n \times n$ matrices: Magnus expansion
- Standard procedure: transform non-autonoumous ODE to autonomous ODE system

$$\begin{cases} x' = f(x, t), \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x' = f(x, y), \\ y' = 1, \\ x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t_0) = t_0 \end{cases}$$

- Drawbacks
 - Structure of the flows can be different
 - loss of efficiency

Some possible answers

- S. Blanes, F. Casas, A. Murua, 2012, "Splitting methods in the numerical integration of non-autonomous dynamical systems".
- M. Seydaoglu, S. Blanes, 2014, "High-order splitting methods for separable non-autonomous parabolic equations".

NUMERICS FOR NLS

We can see our (NLS) equation as

$$i\partial_t \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})\right)\psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{=iL\psi} + \underbrace{\beta|\psi(t, y)|^2\psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{:=iN(\psi)}.$$

or

$$i\partial_t \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{:=iL\psi} + \underbrace{V(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \beta |\psi(t, y)|^2 \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{:=iN(\psi)}$$

So everything amounts to solve

$$\psi'(t) = L\psi + N(\psi(t)),$$

- Non autonomous ODE $\psi'(t) = N(t, \psi(t))$
- If we are interested in multi-component BEC with Rashba term (or rotation term without change of unknown), we need to use ADI methods. Limitation to second order methods (or use of composition methods to gain higher order).

Aim: to build high order numerical schemes based on decomposition $\psi'(t) = L\psi + N(t, \psi(t)).$

O GPE FOR BECs



3 Exponential Runge-Kutta methods

LAWSON METHODS

IMEX METHODS

6 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

ERK methods: See M. Hochbruck and A. Ostermann, *Exponential integrators*, Acta Numer., (2010).

We want to integrate in time

$$u'(t) = Lu(t) + N(u(t)), \quad L \in \mathbb{C}$$

Variation-of-constants formula

$$u(t_{n+1}) = e^{hL} u(t_n) + \int_0^h e^{(h-\sigma)L} N(u(t_n+\sigma)) \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

Exponential Euler method: $N(u(t_n + \sigma)) \approx N(u_n)$

$$u_{n+1} = e^{hL} u_n + h\varphi_1(hL)N(u_n)$$

with

$$\varphi_1(hL) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{(h-\sigma)L} \mathrm{d}\sigma = \frac{e^{hL} - 1}{hL}.$$

GENERAL SETTING

General approach - collocation: choose collocation nodes c_1, \cdots, c_s

Collocation polynomial $p_n(\sigma)$ of degree s-1 with collocation conditions

$$p_n(c_kh) = N(u(t_n + c_kh)).$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} u(t_n + c_k h) &= e^{c_k L h} u(t_n) + \int_0^{c_k h} e^{(c_k h - \sigma)L} N(u(t_n + \sigma)) \mathrm{d}\sigma \\ &\approx e^{c_k L h} u(t_n) + \int_0^{c_k h} e^{(c_k h - \sigma)L} p_n(\sigma) \mathrm{d}\sigma. \end{split}$$

Let $u_n \approx u(t_n)$ and $u_{n,k} \approx u(t_n + c_k h)$. Then

$$u_{n,k} = e^{c_k Lh} u_n + h \sum_{\ell=1}^s \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{h} \int_0^{c_k h} e^{(c_k h - \sigma)L} \mathcal{L}_\ell(\sigma) \mathrm{d}\sigma}_{:=a_{k,\ell(hL)}}\right) N(u_{n,\ell}),$$

 \mathcal{L}_ℓ Lagrange polynomial, and

$$u_{n+1} = e^{Lh}u_n + h\sum_{k=1}^s \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{h}\int_0^h e^{(h-\sigma)L}\mathcal{L}_k(\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma}_{:=b_k(hL)}\right) N(u_{n,k}).$$

If L = 0, we recover the usual implicit Runge-Kutta scheme.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 >

- L is not a constant: $L = \frac{i}{2}\Delta$.
- Assuming the essential of the dynamics stays in a bounded square of side of length d > 0, one can replace Δ with Δ_{per} .
- One has to compute the coefficients $a_{k,\ell}(i\hbar\omega_{p,q}/2)$ and $b_k(i\hbar\omega_{p,q}/2)$ where $\omega_{p,q} = -\left(\frac{2\pi}{d}\right)^2(p^2+q^2)$, for $(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$.

$$a_{k,\ell}(ih\omega_{p,q}/2) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{c_k h} e^{(c_k h - \sigma)i\omega_{p,q}/2} \mathcal{L}_\ell(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

and

$$b_k(ih\omega_{p,q}/2) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{(h-\sigma)i\omega_{p,q}/2} \mathcal{L}_k(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

• Example s = 2, $0 \le c_1 < c_2 \le 1$,

$$a_{1,1}(ih\omega_{p,q}/2) = c_1^2 \frac{e^{ic_1h\frac{\omega_{p,q}}{2}}(1-ic_2h\frac{\omega_{p,q}}{2}) - 1 + ih\frac{\omega_{p,q}}{2}(c_2-c_1)}{(c_1-c_2)((ic_1h\omega_{p,q}/2)^2)};$$

RESULTS

We assume in the following that $V(t, \mathbf{x})$ is smoothly truncated when |x| > d/2. Let $\mathbb{T}_d = \mathbb{R}/(d\mathbb{Z})$.

THEOREM B-DUJARDIN-LACROIX 2015, 2016

For all $\psi_0 \in H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_d)$, $\sigma > 1$, and all T > 0 such that the exact solution of (NLS) is smooth over [0, T], there exists $C, h_0 > 0$ such that for all $h \in (0, h_0)$, the ERK method starting from ψ_0 is well-defined.

Moreover, we have for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nh \leq T$,

$$\|\psi(t_n) - \psi_n\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_d)} \le Ch^s$$

If the collocation nodes are chosen to be Gauss collocation nodes, then

$$\|\psi(t_n) - \psi_n\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_d)} \le Ch^{2s}$$

Remarks

- These methods never preserve neither mass nor energy.
- Methods up to order 10 can be implemented fairly easily and have reasonable computational cost.

O GPE FOR BECs

- 2 USUAL NUMERICAL SCHEMES
- **3** Exponential Runge-Kutta methods
- **4** LAWSON METHODS
- **5** IMEX METHODS

6 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

LAWSON METHODS

In order to integrate in time

$$u'(t) = Lu(t) + N(u(t)),$$

• perform a change of unknown

$$v(t) = e^{-Lt}u(t) \implies v'(t) = e^{-Lt}N(e^{Lt}v(t)).$$

• apply a classical *s*-stages implicit Runge–Kutta method with Butcher tableau given by

$$v_{n,k} = v_n + h \sum_{\ell=1}^{s} a_{k,\ell} e^{-(t_n + c_\ell h)L} N\left(e^{(t_n + c_\ell h)L} v_{n,\ell}\right), \quad 1 \le k \le s,$$

$$v_{n+1} = v_n + h \sum_{k=1}^{s} b_k e^{-(t_n + c_k h)L} N\left(e^{(t_n + c_k h)L} v_{n,k}\right).$$

• get back to $u(t) = e^{+Lt}v(t)$ at final time t = T

LAWSON METHODS

Our equation is

$$i\partial_t \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{:=iL\psi} + \underbrace{V(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})\psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \beta |\psi(t, y)|^2 \psi(t, \tilde{\mathbf{x}})}_{:=iN(\psi)}$$

• perform a change of unknown

$$v(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{-Lt} \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \implies \partial_t v(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{-Lt} N(t, e^{+Lt} v(t, \mathbf{x})).$$

• apply a classical RK method

Let

$$\psi_{n,k} := e^{(t_n + c_k h)L} v_{n,k} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \psi_n := e^{t_n L} v_n,$$

The Lawson method consists in solving the s nonlinear equations

$$\psi_{n,k} = e^{c_k h L} \psi_n + h \sum_{\ell=1}^s a_{k,\ell} e^{(c_k - c_\ell) h L} N \left(t_n + c_\ell h, \psi_{n,\ell} \right)$$

and then computing ψ_{n+1} through the formula

$$\psi_{n+1} = e^{hL}\psi_n + h\sum_{k=1}^s b_k e^{(1-c_k)hL} N\left(t_n + c_k h, \psi_{n,k}\right).$$

< = > < = > < = >

RESULTS

Theorem B-Dujardin-Lacroix 2015

ullet Assume that the RK method satisfies $\sum_{k=1}^s b_k = 1$ and

$$\forall (k,\ell) \in \{1,\ldots,s\}^2, \qquad a_{s+1-k,s+1-\ell} + a_{k,\ell} = b_\ell,$$

so that it is symmetric. Then the Lawson method is also symmetric (time reversibility).

• Assume that it satisfies the Cooper condition,

$$b_k a_{k,\ell} + b_\ell a_{\ell,k} = b_k b_\ell, \quad \forall \ 1 \le k, \ell \le s,$$

so that it preserves quadratic invariants. Then the Lawson method preserves the L^2 -norm:

$$\|\psi_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_d)} = \|\psi_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}_d)}, \quad \forall \ n \ge 0.$$

• Assume that the s collocation points of the RK method are Gauss points and $\psi_0 \in H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_d)$, $\sigma > 1$, and all T > 0 such that the exact solution of (NLS) is smooth over [0,T], there exists $C, h_0 > 0$ such that for all $h \in (0,h_0)$, the Lawson method starting from ψ_0 is well-defined.

Moreover, we have for all $h \in (0, h_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $nh \leq T$,

 $\|\psi(t_n) - \psi_n\|_{H^{\sigma}(\mathbb{T}_d)} \le Ch^{2s}$

O GPE FOR BECs

- 2 USUAL NUMERICAL SCHEMES
- **3** Exponential Runge-Kutta methods
- LAWSON METHODS
- **5** IMEX METHODS

6 NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

IMEX METHODS

We want to solve

$$\psi'(t) = L\psi + N(\psi(t)) = f^{\mathsf{IM}}(t,\psi) + f^{\mathsf{EX}}(t,\psi).$$

The idea of an IMEX scheme is associate the *linear part* to an *implicit* RK scheme and the *nonlinear part* to an *explicit* RK scheme.

- The linear part should involve operators that can be efficiently inverted
- The nonlinear part involves terms such that their contribution is integrated in time at almost no cost

Two Bucher tableau ($\tilde{}$ for explicit) with same quadrature nodes c

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b^t \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{c} & \tilde{A} \\ \hline & \tilde{b}^t \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c|c} c & A & \tilde{A} \\ \hline & b^t & \tilde{b}^t \end{array}$$

For efficiency reason, we consider DIRK (Diagonaly Implicit RK) for implicit part

$$K_{k} = \psi^{n} + h \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} a_{k\ell} f^{\mathsf{IM}}(t_{nl}, K_{\ell}) + h \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \tilde{a}_{k\ell} f^{\mathsf{EX}}(t_{n\ell}, K_{\ell}), \quad \text{for } k = 1, \cdots, s,$$
$$\psi^{n+1} = \psi^{n} + h \sum_{k=1}^{s} b_{k} f^{\mathsf{IM}}(t_{nk}, K_{k}) + h \sum_{k=1}^{s} \tilde{b}_{k} f^{\mathsf{EX}}(t_{nk}, K_{k}).$$

< = > < = > < = >

More specifically,

$$K_k = (I - a_{kk}hL)^{-1} \left(\psi^n + h \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} a_{k\ell}LK_\ell + \tilde{a}_{k\ell}\tilde{K}_\ell \right)$$
$$\tilde{K}_k = f^{\mathsf{EX}}(t_n + c_kh, K_k)$$
$$\psi^{n+1} = \psi^n + h \sum_{k=1}^s \left(b_k LK_k + \tilde{b}_k\tilde{K}_k \right)$$



- compute a second solution $\psi^{n+1} \neq \psi^{n+1}$ at each time step
- $\underline{\psi}_{n+1}$ has an other order of accuracy
- estimate an "optimal" time step h_n to perform the iteration from t_n to t_{n+1} .
- The computation of $\psi^{n+1} \neq \psi^{n+1}$ should be done at very low cost.
- Use already computed stages (which are the most time consuming for RK schemes) and simply exploit different (suitable) weights for $\underline{\psi}^{n+1} \neq \Psi^{n+1}$: new weights \underline{b}_i and $\underline{\tilde{b}}_i \longrightarrow$ embedded scheme

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} c & A & \tilde{A} \\ \hline & b^t & \tilde{b}^t \\ & \underline{b}_i & \underline{\tilde{b}}_i \end{array}$$

The embedded solution is given by

$$\underline{\psi}^{n+1} = \psi^n + h \sum_{k=1}^s \underline{b}_k f^{\mathsf{IM}}(t_{nk}, K_k) + h \sum_{k=1}^s \underline{\tilde{b}}_k f^{\mathsf{EX}}(t_{nk}, K_k).$$

 $\mathsf{IMEX}(q)p$, with q the order of the original scheme and p the order of the embedded one. We consider q = p + 1

Local error indicators $\delta^n = \delta^n(\psi^{n+1},\underline{\psi}^{n+1}).$ Examples

$$\begin{array}{ll} L^2 \text{-norm indicator} & \delta^n = \|\psi^{n+1} - \underline{\psi}^{n+1}\|_2 \\ \text{Energy indicator} & \delta^n = |E_{\psi}(t_{n+1}) - E_{\underline{\psi}}(t_{n+1})| \end{array}$$

Accuracy condition: $\delta^n=C(h_n)^q\leq \tau,\,\tau$ user-defined constant representing the expected accuracy.

Changing $h_n \to h_n^{\sf new} = \alpha h_n$, one gets $\delta_n \to \alpha^q \delta_n$ and we get the control if

$$\alpha \le \left(\frac{\tau}{\delta_n}\right)^{1/q}$$

To avoid too many costly rejections, we accept the result of the iteration $\psi^n \to \psi^{n+1}$ if $h_n^{\text{new}} \in [c_m h_n; c_M h_n]$ ($c_m = 0.95$ and $c_M = 2$).

Summary: repeat the iteration from t_n to t_{n+1} with h_n^{new} if $h_n^{\text{new}} < c_m h_n$ or $h_n^{\text{new}} > c_M h_n$.

 $\mathsf{IMEXSP}(4)3$

					c	A	Ã						
						b^t	\tilde{b}^t						
						\underline{b}_i	$\underline{\tilde{b}}_i$						
						5 1							
0	0						()					
1/2	0	1/2					1	$^{\prime}2$	0				
1/2	1/4	-1/4	1/2				1	$^{\prime}4$	1/4	0			
1	0	1	0	0			()	$^{-1}$	2	0		
1	1/6	0	2/3	-4/3	3/2	2	1/	6/	0	2/3	1/6	0	
1	1/6	0	2/3	1/6	-2	2 2	$2 1_{/}$	6/	0	2/3	1/6	0	0
	1/6	0	2/3	1/6	-2	2 2	2 1/	6	0	2/3	1/6	0	0
	1/6	0	2/3	1/6	-2	2 2	2 1 /	6/	0	2/3	1/12	1/12	0

O GPE FOR BECs

- 2 USUAL NUMERICAL SCHEMES
- **3** Exponential Runge-Kutta methods
- LAWSON METHODS
- **IMEX METHODS**



We compute the numerical solution to the one-dimensional cubic NLS equation

$$\partial_t \psi = \frac{i}{2} \partial_x^2 \psi + iq |\psi|^2 \psi, \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

An exact solution for $(t,x) \in [0,T] imes \mathbb{R}$ is given by the soliton formula

$$\psi_{ex}(t,x) = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{q}} \operatorname{sech}\left(\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}x - ct)\right) \exp\left(ic\frac{\sqrt{2}x - ct}{2}\right) \exp\left(i\left(a + \frac{c^2}{4}\right)t\right).$$

- periodic finite interval $(x_{\ell}, x_r) = (-15, 15)$
- spatial mesh size $k = \Delta x > 0$ with $k = (x_r x_\ell)/M$ with $M = 2^P$, $P \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
- discretize the space operators using Fourier spectral approximation
- time step $h = \Delta t$, T = 5 and $h = T/N_T$ for some $N_T \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
- grid points and the discrete times are

$$x_j := x_\ell + jk, \quad t_n := nh, \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, M, \quad n = 0, 1, \cdots, N_T.$$

• ψ_j^n : approximation of $\psi(t_n, x_j)$.

We define the discrete ℓ^r norm on \mathbb{C}^M as

$$\|v\|_{\ell^r} = \left(k \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |v_j|^r\right)^{1/r}, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^M, \ r \ge 1.$$

We consider the following errors

Phase error

$$\mathcal{E}_{P,h} = \sup_{n \in \{0,\cdots,N\}} \left\| \psi_{ex}(t_n,\cdot) - (\psi_j^n)_j \right\|_{\ell^2},$$

Mass error

$$\mathcal{E}_{M,h} = \sup_{n \in \{0, \cdots, N\}} \left(\|\psi_{ex}(t_n, \cdot)\|_{\ell^2} - \left\| (\psi_j^n)_j \right\|_{\ell^2} \right) / \|\psi_{ex}(0, \cdot)\|_{\ell^2} \,.$$

• If we define the discrete energy $E_k(v) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_k v\|_{\ell^2}^2 - \frac{q}{4} \|v\|_{\ell^4}^4$, the energy error

$$\mathcal{E}_{E,h} = \sup_{n \in \{0,\cdots,N\}} \left(E_k(\psi_{ex}(t_n,\cdot)) - E_k((\psi_j^n)_j) \right) / E_k(\psi_{ex}(0,\cdot)).$$

LEGENDS

$$\begin{array}{c} + & s = 1 \\ \hline \bullet & s = 2 \\ \hline \ast & s = 3 \\ \hline \bullet & s = 4 \\ \hline \bullet & s = 5 \end{array}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} {\sf ERK} \text{ and } {\sf Lawson } {\sf methods} \\ {\sf order } \ h^s \end{array}$



Splitting schemes



 ${} < \Box > {} < \overline{\Box} > {} < \overline{\Xi} >$



 ${} \triangleleft \rightarrow {} \triangleleft \textcircled{} \neg {} \triangleleft \rightarrow {} \triangleleft \textcircled{} \vdash {} \triangleleft$

2016/06/28 - Luminy



 ${} < \Box > {} < \overline{\Box} > {} < \overline{\Xi} >$

2016/06/28 - Luminy







IMEXSP(2)	-+
IMEXSP(4)	\rightarrow
IMEXSP(5)	_*
TSSP(2)	
TSSP(4)	
TSSP(6)	$-\Delta$

 ${} \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow {} \leftarrow \overline{\Box} \rightarrow {} \leftarrow \overline{\Xi} \rightarrow$

$$i\partial_t \psi = -\partial_x^2 \psi + V\psi + G_1 |\psi|^2 \psi + G_2 |\psi|^4 \psi, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $V(t,x) = \frac{x}{2}\omega^2\cos(\omega t + \beta_0)$. The exact solution is

$$\psi_{\rm ex}(t,x) = \eta \frac{\exp\left(i\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}x\sin(\omega t + \beta_0) - \frac{\omega^2}{8}t + \frac{\omega}{16}\sin(2\omega t + 2\beta_0) - E_{\rm c}t\right)\right)}{\left(\sqrt{1-b}\cosh(2\sqrt{-E_{\rm c}}(x - \cos(\omega t + \beta_0))) + 1\right)^{1/2}}$$

We choose

$$G_1 = -2, G_2 = 1/2, \omega = 2, E_c = -1, \beta_0 = 0, \eta = \sqrt{\frac{4E_c}{G_1}}, b = -16\frac{E_cG_2}{3G_1^2}.$$

The numerical parameters are

$$Tf = 10, \quad x \in (-30, 30), \quad N = 2^{10}$$

1D SIMULATIONS WITH TIME DEPENDENT POTENTIAL



< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 >





IMEXSP(2)	-+
IMEXSP(4)	\rightarrow
IMEXSP(5)	- *

 ${} \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow {} \leftarrow \overline{\Box} \rightarrow {} \leftarrow \overline{\Xi} \rightarrow$

1D SIMULATIONS - VARIABLE TIME STEP



< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 >

2016/06/28 - LUMINY

We can reproduce the same experiments in 2D with soliton: the results are completely similar.

We present here a comparison of a BEC simulation realized in $\boxed{1}{10}$ W. Bao, D. Marahrens, Q. Tang and Y. Zhang, (2013).

DIMENSIONLESS TIME-DEPENDENT GPE WITH ROTATING TERM

$$i\partial_t\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V_c(\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)|^2 - \Omega L_z\right)\varphi(\mathbf{x},t), \ \varphi(0,\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}),$$

Parameters

- $\beta = 1000, \ \Omega = 0.9, \ \gamma_x = 1.05 \ \text{and} \ \gamma_y = 0.95$
- The computational domain is $(-16,16)^2$ with $2^9=512$ Fourier modes in each direction.
- *T* = 7
- $\bullet\,$ Usual splitting scheme of order 2 with $h=10^{-4}$ for Bao, Marahrens, Tang and Zhang
- Gauss-ERK method of order 6 with $h = 10^{-3}$.

$2\mathrm{D}\ \mathrm{SIMULATIONS}$



Contour plots of the density function $|\varphi(t, \mathbf{x})|^2$ in a rotating BEC.

Result of Bao, Marahrens, Tang and Zhang

$2\mathrm{D}\ \mathrm{SIMULATIONS}$



Contour plots of the density function $|\varphi(t, \mathbf{x})|^2$ in a rotating BEC.

STIRRED LASER BEAM

Drag a laser beam into a BEC at rest (without rotation)

$$i\partial_t\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(t,\mathbf{x}) + \beta|\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)|^2\right)\varphi(\mathbf{x},t), \ \varphi(0,\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_0(\mathbf{x}),$$



Use of Gauss-ERK method of order 2 with $h = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $T_f = 15$, $x \in (-20, 20)^2$, grid 512×512 .

STIRRED LASER BEAM



FIGURE : Stirred laser beam

2D SYSTEMS

We consider
$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\psi_1(t,\mathbf{x}) = \left[-\frac{\Delta}{2} + V(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_{11}|\psi_1|^2 + \alpha_{12}|\psi_2|^2 - \Omega L_z\right]\psi_1,\\ i\partial_t\psi_2(t,\mathbf{x}) = \left[-\frac{\Delta}{2} + V(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_{12}|\psi_1|^2 + \alpha_{22}|\psi_2|^2 - \Omega L_z\right]\psi_2, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = 1/2$, $\gamma_x = \gamma_y = 1$, $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{22} = 1$ and $\alpha_{12} = 5$.

- $(x,y)\in (-10,10)^2\text{,}$ with a grid of 128×128 nodes,
- T = 10 and $h = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$. • $\psi_1(0, x) = e^{-x^2 - y^2 + 5ix}$, $\psi_2(0, x) = e^{-x^2 - y^2}$



RASHBA COUPLING

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi_1(t, \mathbf{x}) = \left[-\frac{\Delta}{2} + V(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_{11} |\psi_1|^2 + \alpha_{12} |\psi_2|^2 - \Omega L_z \right] \psi_1 - \kappa (i\partial_x + \partial_y)\psi_2, \\ i\partial_t \psi_2(t, \mathbf{x}) = \left[-\frac{\Delta}{2} + V(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha_{12} |\psi_1|^2 + \alpha_{22} |\psi_2|^2 - \Omega L_z \right] \psi_2 - \kappa (i\partial_x - \partial_y)\psi_1, \end{cases}$$

with $\Omega = 1/2$, $\gamma_x = \gamma_y = 1.2$, $\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{22} = 400$, $\alpha_{12} = 800$, $\kappa = 1.75$.

- $(x,y)\in (-10,10)^2\text{,}$ with a grid of 128×128 nodes,
- T = 20 and $h = 2 \cdot 10^{-3}$.



- We derive three RK type schemes for (NLS) eqs: ERK, Lawson and IMEX
- ERK and Lawson schemes are proven to be convergent to order s
- ERK and Lawson schemes are of order 2s for Gauss collocation points
- Lawson scheme preserves mass if coefficients of RK method satistify Cooper condition
- ERK, Lawson and IMEX methods are efficient even for nonautonomous systems
- Variable time step