Control of the movement of a set or particles driven by the stationary Stokes equation

Olivier Glass (in collaboration with Thierry Horsin, CNAM)

> Ceremade Université Paris-Dauphine

Contrôle des EDP et applications Conférence en l'honneur de ..., à l'occassion de son ...ème anniversaire CIRM, Marseille, November 9th, 2015

I. Introduction. Lagrangian controllability

- Lagrangian controllability is a natural notion for control systems modelling a fluid : instead of controlling the state of the system (e.g. the velocity field), one tries to control the flow associated to the system.
- ► Let us give an example on the incompressible Navier-Stokes system.
- We consider a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n = 2, 3.
- Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible Newtonian fluids

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, u : [0, T] × Ω → ℝⁿ is the velocity field, p : [0, T] × Ω → ℝ is the pressure field.

Boundary control

- We consider a non empty open part Σ of the boundary $\partial \Omega$.
- Non-homogeneous boundary conditions can be chosen as follows :
 - on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma$, the fluid sticks to the boundary, u = 0.
 - on Σ, we suppose that one can choose the boundary conditions, that is :

u(t,x) on $[0,T] \times \Sigma$.

This boundary condition is a control which we can choose to influence the system, in order to prescribe its behavior.



The standard problem of controllability

Standard problem of exact/approximate controllability :

Given two possible states of the system, say u_0 and u_1 , and given a time T > 0, can one find a control such that the corresponding solution of the system starting from u_0 at time t = 0 reaches the target u_1 at time t = T? At least such that

$$\|u(T,\cdot)-u_1\|_X \le \varepsilon? \tag{AC}$$

► Alternative formulation : given u_0 , u_1 and T, can we find a solution of the equation satisfying the constraint on the boundary :

$$u = 0$$
 on $[0, T] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma)$,

(under-determined system) and driving u_0 to u_1 at time T? Or to $u(T, \cdot)$ satisfying (AC)?

See e.g. Fursikov-Imanuvilov,

Fernández-Cara-Guerrero-Imanuvilov-Puel, Coron, etc., for what concerns the boundary controllability of the Navier-Stokes equation.

Another type of controllability

 Another type of controllability is natural for equations from fluid mechanics : is possible to drive a zone in the fluid from a given place to another by using the control? (Based on a suggestion by J.-P. Puel)



- One can think for instance to a polluted zone in the fluid, which we would like to transfer to a zone where it can be treated.
- It is natural, in order to control the fluid zone during the whole displacement to ask that it remains inside the domain during the whole time interval.

Exact Lagrangian controllability

- Due to the incompressibility of the fluid, the starting zone and the target zone must have the same area.
- We have also to require that there is no topological obstruction to move a zone to the other one.
- In the sequel, we will consider fluids zones given by the interior (supposed to be inside Ω) of smooth (C[∞]) Jordan curves/surface.

Definition

We will say that the system satisfies the exact Lagrangian controllability property, if given two smooth Jordan curves/surface γ_0 , γ_1 in Ω , homotopic in Ω and surrounding the same area/volume, a time T > 0and an initial datum u_0 , there exists a control such that the flow given by the velocity fluid drives γ_0 to γ_1 , by staying inside the domain.

Approximate Lagrangian controllability

Definition

We will say that the system satisfies the property of approximate Lagrangian controllability in C^k , if given two smooth Jordan curves/surface γ_0 , γ_1 in Ω , homotopic in Ω and surrounding the same volume, a time T > 0, an initial datum u_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a control such that the flow of the velocity field maintains γ_0 inside Ω for all time $t \in [0, T]$ and satisfies, up to reparameterization :

 $\|\Phi^{u}(T,0,\gamma_{0})-\gamma_{1}\|_{C^{k}}\leq\varepsilon.$

Here, $(t, s, x) \mapsto \Phi^u(t, s, x)$ is the flow of the vector field u (the position at time t of the particle located at x at time s).

II. Previous results on Lagrangian controllability : Euler equation

- Previous results. Results of Lagrangian controllability have been obtained for :
 - Burgers equation (Horsin),
 - 2D & 3D Euler Equation (G.-Horsin),
 - Other approach for the Euler Equation (Horsin-Kavian).
- The Euler equation corresponds to the high Reynolds number regime (v = 0 in Navier-Stokes above):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- Here again $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n = 2, 3, is a smooth domain.
- The control acts on an open part Σ of $\partial \Omega$, that is to say

$$u \cdot n = 0$$
 on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma$.

An objection in the case of Euler equation

The exact Lagrangian controllability does not hold in general for the Euler equation, indeed :

Let us suppose ω₀ := curl u₀ = 0. In that case if the flow Φ(t,x) maintains γ₀ inside the domain, then for all t, ω(t, ·) = curl u(t, ·) = 0 in the neighborhood of Φ(t, γ₀), since

$$\partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega = 0.$$

- Since div u = 0, locally around γ₀, u is the gradient of a harmonic function; u is therefore analytic in a neighborhood Φ(t, γ₀).
- Hence if γ_0 is analytic, its analyticity is propagated over time.
- If γ_1 is smooth but non analytic, the exact Lagrangian controllability cannot hold.

Approximate Lagrangian controllability for 2D Euler

Theorem (G.-Horsin)

Consider two smooth smooth Jordan curves γ_0 , γ_1 in Ω , homotopic in Ω and surrounding the same area. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider $u_0 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying

div
$$u_0 = 0$$
 in Ω and $u_0 \cdot n = 0$ on $[0, T] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma)$.

For any T > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a solution u of the Euler equation in $C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with

 $u \cdot n = 0$ on $[0, T] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ and $u_{|t=0} = u_0$ in Ω ,

and whose flow satisfies

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \ \Phi^u(t, \gamma_0) \subset \Omega,$$

and up to reparameterization

$$\|\gamma_1 - \Phi^u(T,\gamma_0)\|_{C^k} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Approximate Lagrangian controllability for 3D Euler

Theorem (G.-Horsin) Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Consider $u_0 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying

div $u_0 = 0$ in Ω and $u_0 \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma$,

and γ_0 and γ_1 two contractible C^∞ embeddings of \mathbb{S}^2 in Ω satisfying

 $|int(\gamma_0)| = |int(\gamma_1)|.$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a time T > 0 and a solution (u, p) in $L^{\infty}(0, T; C^{k,\alpha}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^4))$ of the Euler equation on [0, T] with

 $u \cdot n = 0$ on $[0, T] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ and $u_{|t=0} = u_0$ in Ω ,

such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \phi^u(t, 0, \gamma_0) \subset \Omega,$$

and, up to reparameterization,

$$\|\phi^{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{T},\boldsymbol{0},\gamma_{0})-\gamma_{1}\|_{\boldsymbol{C}^{\boldsymbol{k}}(\mathbb{S}^{2})}<\varepsilon.$$

III. Lagrangian controllability for the stationary Stokes equation

- ► The Euler equation corresponds to the high Reynolds number regime (ν = 0 in Navier-Stokes above).
- Here we are concerned with the low Reynolds number regime $(\nu \rightarrow +\infty)$, which yields the stationary Stokes equation :

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \nabla p &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \text{div } u &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with u = u(t, x) and p = p(t, x).

Stationary Stokes control system

- ▶ We consider a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, n = 2, 3 and a non empty open part Σ of the boundary $\partial \Omega$.
- We end up with the following control system :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- > The boundary conditions are as follows :
 - on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma$, u = 0,
 - the value of u on Σ is the control.
- The standard controllability problems would not be very satisfying in this context, but Lagrangian controllability makes sense despite the fact that the equation is stationary.
- See for instance Alouges-Giraldi, Lohéac-Munnier, ... for other controllability results (micro-swimmers) relying on the stationary Stokes equation.

An objection in the case of Stokes

The exact Lagrangian controllability does not hold in general, indeed :

- the properties of Stokes equation yield that u is real-analytic (in the variable x) inside Ω.
- Hence if γ_0 is analytic, its analyticity is propagated over time.
- ► If *γ*₁ is smooth but non analytic, the exact Lagrangian controllability cannot hold.
- Consequently, we look for approximate Lagrangian controllability.

The 2-D case

Theorem (G.-Horsin)

Consider two smooth smooth Jordan curves γ_0 , γ_1 in Ω , homotopic in Ω and surrounding the same area. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any T > 0, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a solution (u, p) of the Stokes equation in $C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ with

$$u = 0 \text{ on } [0, T] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma),$$

and whose flow satisfies

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \ \Phi^u(t, 0, \gamma_0) \subset \Omega,$$

and up to reparameterization

$$\|\gamma_1 - \Phi^u(T, 0, \gamma_0)\|_{C^k} \leq \varepsilon.$$

The 3-D case

Theorem (G.-Horsin)

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Let γ_0 and γ_1 two C^{∞} Jordan surfaces in Ω such that

 γ_0 and γ_1 are contractible in Ω and $|Int(\gamma_0)| = |Int(\gamma_1)|$.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for all T > 0, there is a solution (u, p) in $C^{\infty}([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^4)$ of the Stokes equation on [0, T] with u = 0 on $\partial\Omega \setminus \Sigma$ such that

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \Phi^u(t, 0, \gamma_0) \subset \Omega,$$

and, up to reparameterization,

$$\|\Phi^{u}(T,0,\gamma_{0})-\gamma_{1}\|_{C^{k}(\mathbb{S}^{2})}<\varepsilon.$$

IV. A related question of approximation

One of the main ideas to get to obtain the Lagrangian controllability in the case of the Euler equation was to use results from holomorphic/harmonic approximation, such as Runge's theorem, Mergelyan's theorem or Walsh's theorem :

Theorem (Runge)

Let K a compact set in \mathbb{C} , Ω an open set such that $K \subset \Omega$. Let A a set such that any connected component of $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$ contains at least a point of A. Then, for each holomorphic function u on Ω and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a rational function v whose poles are in A, and such that $||v - u||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ on K.

Theorem (Mergelyan)

Let K a compact set in \mathbb{C} whose complement is connected. If u is a continuous complex function on K which is holomorphic in the interior of K, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a polynomial function v such that $\|v - u\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ on K.

Walsh's theorem

Walsh's theorem is the equivalent of Runge's theorem for harmonic functions in dimension n:

Theorem (Walsh, Gardiner)

Let \mathcal{O} be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^N such that that $\mathcal{O}^* \setminus K$ is connected, where \mathcal{O}^* is the Alexandroff compactification of \mathcal{O} . Then, for each function u which is harmonic on an open set containing K and each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a harmonic function v in \mathcal{O} such that $\|v - u\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$ on K.

A weak Runge/Walsh theorem for stationary Stokes equation

Theorem (G.-Horsin)

Let K a compact set in \mathbb{R}^N , N = 2 or 3. Let \mathcal{V} and Ω two bounded open sets such that $K \subset \mathcal{V}$, $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \Omega$ and each connected component of $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus K$ contains an interior point of $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$. Then for any solution $(u, p) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ of the Stokes equation in \mathcal{V} :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = 0, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \end{cases} \text{ in } \mathcal{V},$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(\overline{u}, \overline{p}) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ a solution of the Stokes equation in Ω :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta \overline{u} + \nabla \overline{p} = 0, \\ \text{div } \overline{u} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

and

$$\|\overline{u}-u\|_{C^{k}(K)}\leq\varepsilon.$$

V. Ideas of proof of the Runge-Walsh-type result

- We start from a solution (u, p) defined on V an open neighborhood of K, and want to construct (u, p) on Ω approximating well (u, p) on K.
- First, we can assume that K, V and Ω have smooth boundaries and even that there is a regular intermediate domain V_0 :

$$K \subset \mathcal{V}_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{V}_0} \subset \mathcal{V} \subset \overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset \Omega.$$

Idea : introduce a function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \ge 0$ such that

 $\mathcal{K} = \varphi^{-1}(\{0\})$ (Whitney) and set

$$ilde{K} = \varphi^{-1}([0, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{K}}]), \quad ilde{V} = \varphi^{-1}([0, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}})),$$

for $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{K}} < \varepsilon_{\mathcal{V}}$ regular values of φ (Sard).

▶ Step 1. We first set

$$\hat{u} := u - \nabla \Delta^{-1} \tilde{p}$$
 in \mathcal{V} ,

with $\Delta^{-1} := \cdot * G$, $G(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|$ for n = 2, $G(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi |x|}$ for n = 3, and \tilde{p} a smooth extension of p. Then

$$\operatorname{curl} \hat{u} = \operatorname{curl} u \text{ in } \mathcal{V}, \quad \Delta \hat{u} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{V}.$$

• Step 2. We use Walsh's theorem on \hat{u} . We obtain some \tilde{u} defined on Ω such that

$$\Delta \tilde{u} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{A_1, \ldots, A_N\}, \ \|\hat{u} - \tilde{u}\|_{C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{V}_0})} \leq \varepsilon,$$

In particular we have

$$\left|\oint_{\gamma}(u-\tilde{u})\cdot\tau\right|\leq C\varepsilon \text{ and } \|\operatorname{curl} u-\operatorname{curl} \tilde{u}\|_{C^{\boldsymbol{k},\alpha}(\overline{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{0}}})}\leq C\varepsilon.$$

for all smooth loop $\gamma \subset \mathcal{V}_0$

▶ Step 3. Now curl u − curl $\tilde{u} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ gives

$$u = \tilde{u} + \nabla \theta + H + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

for some regular θ on \mathcal{V} and H in the first de Rham cohomology space, but small since

$$\oint_{\gamma} (u - \tilde{u}) \cdot \tau = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

We extend θ to Ω arbitrarily. Now $\tilde{u} + \nabla \theta$ is a good candidate since

$$\Delta(\tilde{u}+\nabla\theta)=\nabla(\Delta\theta),$$

but the divergence is not 0! It is, however, of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ on \mathcal{V}_0 .

• Step 4. For that we introduce q and \tilde{q} solutions of

$$-\Delta q = \operatorname{div} \left(\tilde{u} + \nabla \theta \right) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \tilde{q} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega.$$
$$-\Delta \tilde{q} = \operatorname{div} \hat{U} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \tilde{q} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

where \hat{U} is constructed in order to have the same divergence as $\tilde{u} + \nabla \theta$ in \mathcal{V}_0 and is of order $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$.

Then q - q̃ is harmonic on V₀, and can be approximated by a harmonic function ψ defined on Ω.

► We set

$$\beta = \boldsymbol{q} - \boldsymbol{\psi},$$

and one checks that

$$\overline{u}:=\widetilde{u}+
abla heta-
ablaeta$$
 and $\overline{p}:=\Delta(- heta+eta),$

is a solution to our problem.

- Step 4'. How to obtain \hat{U} ?
- We start from div (ũ + ∇θ) = O(ε) in V₀ and want to obtain Û of size O(ε) such that

$$\operatorname{div}\left(\tilde{u}+\nabla\theta\right)=\operatorname{div}\,\hat{U}.$$

• But from div $(\tilde{u} - \nabla \theta) = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ one can obtain easily that

$$\tilde{u} - \nabla \theta = \operatorname{curl}(A) + h + R,$$

where

- A is some vector field,
- *h* belong to the *second* de Rham cohomology space of V_1
- the remaining term satisfies $R = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$.
- Extend R in Ω keeping it size of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ and you are done.

VI. Ideas of proof. From the Runge-Walsh-type result to Lagrangian controllability

- One seeks a vector field satisfying Stokes' equation, fulfilling the boundary condition on ∂Ω \ Σ and whose flow drives γ₀ to γ₁ (approximately in C^k).
- ► This is proven in two parts :
 - Part 1 : find a solenoidal (divergence-free) vector field driving γ_0 to γ_1 .
 - Part 2 : approximate (at each time) the above vector field on the curve (or to be more precise, its normal part), by a solution of Stokes' system defined on Ω and satisfying the constraint.

Part 1

Proposition

Consider γ_0 and γ_1 two smooth (C^∞) Jordan curves/surface isotopoic in Ω . If γ_0 and γ_1 satisfy

 $|Int(\gamma_0)| = |Int(\gamma_1)|,$

then there exists $v \in C_0^\infty((0,1) imes \Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that

 $div \ v = 0 \ in \ (0,1) \times \Omega,$

 $\Phi^{\nu}(1,0,\gamma_0)=\gamma_1.$

This was also used in the case of the Euler equation.

(Isotopic : one can deform γ_0 to γ_1 by a continuous family of smooth embeddings)

Idea of proof for Part 1

▶ In 2-D, one can make moves like the ones described below.



• But it turns out that a very general result due to A. B. Krygin.

Krygin's theorem

Theorem

Let W a contractible (to simplify) Jordan surface in an orientable manifold M. Consider a smooth family of embeddings $f_t : W \to M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfying the properties : $f_0 = id$ and $|Int(f_1(W))| = |Int(W)|$. Then, there exists a family of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms $F_t : M \to M$ such that $F_0 = id$ and $F_{1|\partial W} = f_1$.

The proof relies on J. Moser's celebrated result on deformation of volume forms : on a manifold, one can deform a smooth volume form onto another one via a smooth diffeomorphism, provided they have same total mass. Part 2

Proposition

Let γ_0 a smooth (C^{∞}) Jordan curve/surface; let $X \in C^0([0,1]; C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega}))$ a smooth solenoidal vector field, with X = 0 on $[0,1] \times \partial \Omega$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $(u, p) \in C^{\infty}([0,1] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^{3,4})$ such that

$$\begin{split} -\Delta u + \nabla p &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ for all } t \in [0,1],\\ \text{div } u &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ for all } t \in [0,1],\\ u &= 0 \text{ on } [0,1] \times (\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma), \end{split}$$

and whose flow satisfies

$$\forall t \in [0,1], \ \Phi^u(t,0,\gamma_0) \subset \Omega,$$

and, up to reparameterization,

$$\|\Phi^{X}(t,0,\gamma_{0})-\Phi^{u}(t,0,\gamma_{0})\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k}}\leqarepsilon, \ orall t\in[0,1].$$

Ideas of proof for Part 2

To construct a Stokes flow u transporting the fluid zone in the same way as X, we introduce for each time the solution of the following Stokes problem :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(t, \cdot) + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } \operatorname{Int}(\gamma(t)), \\ \operatorname{div} u(t, \cdot) = 0 \text{ in } \operatorname{Int}(\gamma(t)), \\ u(t, \cdot) = X(t, \cdot) \text{ on } \gamma(t). \end{cases}$$

The main issue here is that in general, u cannot be extended to Ω, not to mention in a way that fulfills Stokes equation and

u = 0 on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma$.

Ideas of proof for Part 2

- The idea is to use our Runge-Walsh-type theorem to obtain a suitable approximation.
- the problem being that ψ is not defined in a neighborhood of γ(t) and to obtain a approximation uniformly in time (γ is moving !)

Remark. Here instead of relying on the Runge-Walsh type theorem, we could use the following statement.

Theorem. Assume that γ is a C^{∞} Jordan surface included in Ω , then the set $\{u_{|\gamma}, (u, p) \text{ is a solution of Stokes in } \Omega \text{ such that } u_{|\partial\Omega} \in H_m^{1/2}(\Sigma)\}$, is dense in $H_m^{1/2}(\gamma)$.

This result can be proved by a duality argument and using the results of Fabre-Lebeau on the unique continuation for the Stokes operator.

Three steps

► The proof follows three successive steps of growing generality.

- The case where X and \u03c6₀ are analytic,
- The case where γ_0 is analytic but X is merely C^{∞} ,
- The case where X and γ_0 are C^{∞} .

First step : when the data are real analytic : $\gamma_0 \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $X \in C^0([0,1]; C^{\omega}(\overline{\Omega})).$

In that case, the curve γ(t) is an analytic curve and so is the Dirichlet boundary data u(t, ·) = X(t, ·) on γ(t)!

First step, sequel

- As γ(t) and X on γ(t) are analytic, we can extend the solution u across the boundary γ(t) (this is a "classical" analyticity result for the Stokes equation).
- Using the continuity in time of X and γ with values in C^ω, we see that the size of the neighborhood of γ(t) where this solution can be extended can be estimated from below.
- With the Runge-Walsh-type theorem we can obtain approximations defined on Ω, and which satisfy

u = 0 on $\partial \Omega \setminus \Sigma$.

• We obtain the function the final *u* as :

$$u(t,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho_i(t) u(t_i, \cdot),$$

with ρ_i a certain partition of unity of [0, 1].

Second step

- Second step : when only the vector field is real analytic : $X \in C^0([0,1]; C^{\omega}(\overline{\Omega}))$ but $\gamma_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}; \mathbb{R}^2)$.
- We can approach γ₀ (and γ₁) by real analytic curves, from the outside. This comes from a general result by H. Whitney.
- Next, we apply the process of Part 1 on the ν-approximations γ₀^ν and γ₁^ν of γ₀ and γ₁. We obtain a function u^ν.
- ► The central point is to show that, on $\Phi^{u^{\nu}}(t, \gamma_0)$, we have uniform estimates on u^{ν} as $\nu \to 0^+$.
- This comes from the construction and the fact that the constants in elliptic estimates in Int(γ^ν) are bounded independently from ν.
- We conclude then by Gronwall's lemma.

Third step

- Third step : when both data are merely C[∞] : γ₀ ∈ C[∞](Sⁿ⁻¹; ℝ²) and X ∈ C⁰([0,1]; C[∞](Ω̄)).
- We use Whitney's analytic approximation theorem : X can be approached arbitrarily for the C⁰([0, 1]; C[∞](Ω̄))-topology by X^ν ∈ C⁰([0, 1]; C^ω(Ω̄)).
- ▶ We conclude by using the previous step and Gronwall's lemma.

Open problems

Navier-Stokes equations. Can we obtain a similar result for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations?

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \text{div } u = 0 \text{ in } [0, T] \times \Omega. \end{cases}$$

With Dirichlet's boundary conditions? With Navier's (cf. Coron, Chapouly)?

This is also open for the evolutionary Stokes equation !

Stabilization. Can we find a feedback control :

 $\operatorname{control}(t) = f(\gamma(t), u(t)),$

stabilizing a fluid zone at a fixed place?