Exact boundary controllability of a system of mixed order with essential spectrum

Contrôle des EDP et Applications

FARID AMMAR KHODJA

(Joint work with KARINE MAUFFREY and ARNAUD MÜNCH]

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon

CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015

Problem formulation

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' = \Delta u_1 + b \cdot \nabla u_2 & \text{in } Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_2'' = -\nabla \cdot (bu_1) - au_2 & \text{in } Q_T \\ u_1 = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} & \text{on } \Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \\ (u(\cdot, 0), u'(\cdot, 0)) = (u^0, u^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ smooth bounded domain and $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$.
- $u=(u_1,u_2)$, $b\in C^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $a\in C^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R})$.
- **Exact controllability Issue**: Let *E* be the energy space (to be defined):

$$\forall \left(\begin{array}{c} u^{0} \\ u^{1} \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{c} u^{0}_{T} \\ u^{1}_{T} \end{array}\right) \in E, \ \exists v \in L^{2}\left(\Sigma_{T}\right): \ \left(\begin{array}{c} u\left(T\right) \\ u'\left(T\right) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} u^{0}_{T} \\ u^{1}_{T} \end{array}\right)?$$

We set:

$$H = \mathbb{L}^{2}(\Omega); V = H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)$$

• V' denotes the dual of V with respect to the pivot space H:

$$V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$$
.

• The adjoint problem writes:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'' = \Delta \varphi + b \cdot \nabla \psi & \text{in } Q_T \\ \psi'' = -\nabla \cdot (b\varphi) - a\psi & \text{in } Q_T \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T \\ (\Phi(\cdot, 0), \Phi'(\cdot, 0)) = (\Phi^0, \Phi^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

for
$$\Phi = (\varphi, \psi)$$
 .

 As usual, it can be proved that the controllability issue is equivalent to the observability inequality:

$$\left\|\left(\Phi^{0},\Phi^{1}\right)\right\|_{H_{1/2}\times H}^{2}\leq C\int_{0}^{T}\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial n}+\left(b\cdot n\right)\psi\right)^{2}d\sigma dt.$$

FAK (LMB) CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015 3 / 18

Result statement

Theorem

Let $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ and T > 0. Assume that

$$\exists (x,\xi) \in \Omega \times \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| = 1\}, \ a(x) - (b(x) \cdot \xi)^2 > 0.$$

Then the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' = \Delta u_1 + b \cdot \nabla u_2 & \text{in } Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_2'' = -\nabla \cdot (bu_1) - au_2 & \text{in } Q_T \\ u_1 = v \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} & \text{on } \Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \\ (u(\cdot, 0), u'(\cdot, 0)) = (u^0, u^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

is not exactly controllable in $H \times V'$.

This result is a consequence of a noncontrollability result due to Geymonat-Valente (2000).

 FAK (LMB)
 CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015
 4 / 18

- The question is then: does there exist a subspace of $H \times V'$ where the exact controllability holds true?
- We answer the question in the following special situation:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} n & = & 2, \ \Omega = (0,1)^2, \ \Gamma = \left\{ (x,y) \in \overline{\Omega} : xy = 0 \right\} \\ b & = & (\alpha,0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ a \in \left] 0, \infty \right[. \end{array}$$

In this case, the adjoint system writes

$$\begin{cases} \varphi'' = \Delta \varphi + \alpha \partial_x \psi & \text{in } Q_T \\ \psi'' = -\alpha \partial_x \varphi - a \psi & \text{in } Q_T \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T \\ (\Phi(\cdot, 0), \Phi'(\cdot, 0)) = (\Phi^0, \Phi^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

5 / 18

The operator

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta & -\alpha \partial_x \\ \alpha \partial_x & a \end{pmatrix}$$
, $D(A) = (H^2 \cap H_0^1) \times H_x^1$

where $H_{x}^{1}=\left\{ \varphi\in L^{2}\left(\Omega\right):\alpha\partial_{x}\varphi\in L^{2}\left(\Omega\right)\right\}$, is symmetric but not closed in $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\Omega\right)$.

• The closure of A (again denoted by A) in $\mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ can be defined by:

$$A\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta \left(\varphi + \alpha \Delta^{-1} \partial_{x} \psi \right) \\ \alpha \partial_{x} \varphi + a \psi \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D(A) = \left\{ \left(\varphi, \psi \right)^{T} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) : \varphi + \alpha \Delta^{-1} \partial_{x} \psi \in H^{2}(\Omega) \right\},$$

• The injection $D(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^2(\Omega)$ is not compact: this gives rise to essential spectrum.

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めらで

6 / 18

• For $p, q \ge 1$, let

$$\mu_{pq} = \left(p^2 + q^2\right)\pi^2$$

and

$$\varphi_{pq}(x,y) = 2\sin(p\pi x)\sin(q\pi y), \quad (x,y) \in \Omega = (0,1)^2$$

• The operator admits the sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}\} \cup \{a\}$ defined by:

$$\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}=rac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{pq}+a\pm\sqrt{\left(\mu_{pq}-a
ight)^2+4lpha^2p^2\pi^2}
ight).$$

With $\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}$ is associated the eigenvector

$$\mathbf{e}_{p,q}^{\pm} = \left(rac{\left(\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}-\mathbf{a}
ight)}{\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}-\mathbf{a}
ight)^{2}+lpha^{2}p^{2}\pi^{2}}}arphi_{pq}, rac{lpha}{\sqrt{\left(\lambda_{p,q}^{\pm}-\mathbf{a}
ight)^{2}+lpha^{2}p^{2}\pi^{2}}}rac{\partialarphi_{pq}}{\partial x}
ight)$$

FAK (LMB) CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015 7 / 18

• For the eigenvalue a, we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}(A - aI_d) = \{(0, \theta(y)) : \theta \in L^2(0, 1)\}.$$

Thus $a \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$.

 Indeed, by direct computations or as a consequence of a result of Grubb-Geymonat (1977):

$$\sigma_{ess}(A) = [a - \alpha^2, a].$$

- Notice that:
 - $\lambda_{p,q}^+ \sim \mu_{pq}$
 - $\delta \in \sigma_{ess}(A) \Leftrightarrow \exists (p_k, q_k) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \lambda_{p_k, q_k}^- \to \delta \text{ as } k \to \infty.$

• The last notations we will need is the following:

$$H^{\pm}=\mathrm{span}\left(\left\{ e_{p,q}^{\pm},\;p,q\geq1
ight\}
ight),\;H^{a}=\mathrm{Ker}\left(A-aI_{d}
ight)$$

and for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$H_\delta = D\left(A^\delta
ight)$$
 , $H_\delta^\pm = H_\delta \cap H^\pm$, $H_\delta^a = H_\delta \cap H^a$.

• We are now ready to set our main result:

Theorem

For every $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let us denote by H^{N^-} (resp. $H^{N^-}_{-1/2}$) the Hilbert subspace of H (resp. $H_{-1/2}$) spanned by the $e^-_{p,q}$ for $1 \le p$, $q \le N$. If $a \le 2\pi^2$, then there exists $T_0 = T_0(N,a)$ s. t. for any $T > T_0$, the system is exactly controllable in

$$\left(H^a \oplus H^+ \oplus H^{N^-}\right) \times \left(H^a_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus H^+_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus H^{N^-}_{-1/2}\right).$$

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 9 Q C

FAK (LMB) CIRM: 9

9 / 18

Noncontrollability: sketch of the proof (Valente-Geymonat)

• First step: As a consequence of a result of Grubb-Geymonat:

Lemma

For the selfadjoint operator defined on $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\Omega\right)$ by

$$\begin{split} A\left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi \\ \psi \end{array}\right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} -\Delta\left(\varphi + \Delta^{-1}b \cdot \nabla\psi\right) \\ \nabla \cdot \left(b\varphi\right) + a\psi \end{array}\right), \\ D\left(A\right) &= \left\{ \left(\varphi, \psi\right) \in H_0^1\left(\Omega\right) \times L^2\left(\Omega\right) : \varphi + \Delta^{-1}b \cdot \nabla\psi \in H^2\left(\Omega\right) \right\}, \end{split}$$

we have

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}\left(A\right)=\left\{ \lambda\left(x,\xi\right)=a\left(x\right)-\left(b\left(x\right)\cdot\xi\right)^{2}\text{, }\left(x,\xi\right)\in\Omega\times\mathcal{S}_{n-1}\right\}.$$

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆差ト ◆差ト 差 めので

Second step:

Lemma

Let $(x^*, \xi^*) \in \Omega \times S_{n-1}$ and $\lambda^* = \lambda^* (x^*, \xi^*) \in \sigma_{\rm ess}(A)$. Then there is a singular sequence $\{\Lambda_k = (\varphi_k, \psi_k)\}$ of $A - \lambda^* I_d$ such that:

11 / 18

• This singular sequence is constructed in the following way: let $\theta \in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ such that $\|\theta\|_{L^2}=1$ and set

$$\rho_{k}(x) = k^{n/2} e^{i(x-x^{*})\cdot\xi^{*}} \theta\left(k\left(x-x^{*}\right)\right), \ k \geq 1.$$

Then set

$$\Lambda_{k} = \frac{\widetilde{\Phi}_{k}}{\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}_{k}\right\|_{\mathbb{L}^{2}}}; \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_{k} = \left(\begin{array}{c} P\left(b \cdot \nabla\right) \rho_{k} \\ \rho_{k} \end{array}\right)$$

where P is a suitable parametrix of $-\Delta$, chosen in such a way that the support of $P(b \cdot \nabla) \rho_k$ is close to the support of ρ_k .

• Note that the support of Φ_k is some neighborhood of x^* for sufficiently large k.

12 / 18

Third step:

Consider the system satisfied by $\{\Phi_k = (\varphi_k, \psi_k)\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{t}^{2}\Phi_{k}+A\Phi_{k}=0, & Q_{T}\\ \phi_{k}=0, & \Sigma_{T}\\ \Phi_{k}\left(0\right)=\Lambda_{k},\;\partial_{t}\Phi_{k}\left(0\right)=0,\;\;\Omega \end{array} \right.$$

If $\lambda^* > 0$, it can be proved that

$$\int_{\Sigma_T} |C\Phi_k|^2 = \int_{\Sigma_T} \left| rac{\partial arphi_k}{\partial
u} + (b \cdot
u) \, \psi_k
ight|^2 o 0 \; ext{as} \; k o \infty,$$

while

$$\|(\Lambda_k, 0)\| = 1,$$

contradicting the observability inequality.

Proof of the controllability result

It consists in proving:

Theorem

Let $\gamma=\frac{\pi\sqrt{\pi}}{4\sqrt{2\pi+|\alpha|}}$ and $T_0=\frac{2\pi}{\gamma}\sqrt{1+2\frac{\left(\lambda_{1,1}^+-a+\alpha^2\right)^2}{\left(\lambda_{1,1}^+-a\right)^2}}$. If $a\leq 2\pi^2$, then for any $T>T_0$ there exists a positive constant $C^+(T)$ such that for all initial data $\left(\Phi^0,\Phi^1\right)^T$ in $\left(H_{1/2}^+\times H^+\right)$ the solution of the adjoint system satisfies the observability inequality:

$$\left\|\left(\Phi^0,\Phi^1\right)\right\|_{X_1}^2 \leq C^+(T) \int_0^T \!\! \int_{\Gamma} \!\! \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} + \alpha \psi \nu_1\right)^2 d\sigma dt.$$

The previous result is based on an adaptation to our case of the following Ingham inequality:

Theorem (Mehrenberger 2009)

There exist $\gamma_1>0$ and $\gamma_2>0$ such that for every p, p', q and q' in \mathbb{N}^*

$$\begin{split} p &\leq \max\left(q,q'\right) \Rightarrow \left|\sqrt{\mu_{pq}} \pm \sqrt{\mu_{pq'}}\right| \geq \gamma_1 \left|q \pm q'\right| \\ q &\leq \max\left(p,p'\right) \Rightarrow \left|\sqrt{\mu_{pq}} \pm \sqrt{\mu_{p'q}}\right| \geq \gamma_2 \left|p \pm p'\right|. \end{split}$$

Moreover for any $T>2\pi\sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma_1^2}+\frac{1}{\gamma_2^2}}$, there exists a positive constant C(T) such that

$$\|T\|_{p,q\geq 1} (p^2+q^2) |z_{p,q}|^2 \le \sum_{q\in\mathbb{N}^*} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{p\in\mathbb{N}^*} p\left(z_{p,q} e^{i\sqrt{\mu_{pq}}t} + \overline{z_{p,q}} e^{-i\sqrt{\mu_{pq}}t}\right) \right|^2 dt + \sum_{p\in\mathbb{N}^*} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{q\in\mathbb{N}^*} q\left(z_{p,q} e^{i\sqrt{\mu_{pq}}t} + \overline{z_{p,q}} e^{-i\sqrt{\mu_{pq}}t}\right) \right|^2 dt$$

FAK (LMB) CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015

15 / 18

Comments and further results

 Using exactly the same singular sequence previously constructed following Valente-Geymonat, it could be proved:

Theorem

Let $\overline{\omega} \subset \Omega$ and T > 0. Assume that

$$\exists (x,\xi) \in \Omega \setminus \overline{\omega} \times \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| = 1\}, \ a(x) - (b(x) \cdot \xi)^2 > 0.$$

Then the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' = \Delta u_1 + b \cdot \nabla u_2 + v \, \mathbf{1}_{\omega} & \text{in } Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_2'' = -\nabla \cdot (bu_1) - au_2 & \text{in } Q_T \\ u_1 = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \\ (u(\cdot, 0), u'(\cdot, 0)) = (u^0, u^1) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

is not exactly controllable in $V \times H$ (even if ω satisfies the Bardos-Lebeau-Rauch condition).

FAK (LMB) CIRM: 9-13 Novembre 2015

16 / 18

- A similar positive result should hold on a subspace of the energy space for the distributed control.
- The noncontrollability result remains true for any other "reasonable" boundary condition (obtained from Green's formula).
- The essential spectrum does not depend on (reasonable) boundary conditions.

The associated parabolic control problem

$$\begin{cases} u_1' = \Delta u_1 + b \cdot \nabla u_2 + w \, \mathbf{1}_{\omega} & \text{in } Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u_2' = -\nabla \cdot (bu_1) - au_2 & \text{in } Q_T \\ u_1 = v \, \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma} & \text{on } \Sigma_T = \partial \Omega \times (0, T) \\ u(\cdot, 0) = \left(u^0, u^1\right) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- The general null-controllability problem is widely open: only some special cases have been solved.
- ullet Conjecture: the boundary or distributed control problem should not be null-controllable on $\mathbb{L}^2\left(\Omega\right)$.
- The proof of this negative result should work by contradicting the observability inequality using a singular sequence.
- A result of Guerrero-Imanuvilov (COCV:2013) goes in this direction.