

High Energy Asymptotics of the Scattering Matrix for Schrödinger and Dirac Operators

Shu Nakamura

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo

Semiclassical Analysis and Non-Self-adjoint Operators
CIRM, Marseille, December 15, 2015

(Joint work with A. Pushnitski, King's College London)

Outline of Talk

Purpose: We show that the scattering matrix, in the high energy limit, is a semiclassical pseudodifferential operator and compute its principal symbol. Then we obtain the asymptotics of the spectrum of the scattering matrix as a corollary. We consider rather general scalar equations, as well as 2 and 3 dimensional Dirac operators.

Contents:

1. Single operator
2. Main theorems
3. 2 dimensional Dirac operator
4. 3 dimensional Dirac operators
5. Ideas of the proof

1. Single operator

Hamilton Operators

▷ We consider a pair of \mathbf{m} -th order partial differential operators \mathbf{H}_0 and \mathbf{H} on $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \sum_{|\alpha|=\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{b}_\alpha \mathbf{D}_x^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}-1} \mathbf{b}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{D}_x^\alpha,$$

where $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m} \geq 1$, $\{\mathbf{b}_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=\mathbf{m}}$ are constants, $\{\mathbf{b}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\}_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}-1}$ are smooth functions, and $\mathbf{D}_x = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$.

▷ We write $\mathbf{p}_0(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{b}_\alpha \xi^\alpha$, and we suppose the \mathbf{H}_0 is strongly elliptic, i.e., $\mathbf{p}_0(\xi) \geq c|\xi|^\mathbf{m}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, with some $c > 0$.

▷ We suppose $\{\mathbf{b}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\}_{|\alpha| \leq \mathbf{m}-1}$ are **short-range**, i.e., there is $\mu > 1$ such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$,

$$|\partial_x^\beta \mathbf{b}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})| \leq \mathbf{C}_\beta \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu-|\beta|}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, |\alpha| < \mathbf{m},$$

with some $\mathbf{C}_\beta > 0$.

▷ We also suppose \mathbf{H}_0 and \mathbf{H} are formally symmetric differential operators. The \mathbf{H}_0 and \mathbf{H} are self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{H}^\mathbf{m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Scattering Theory and Scattering Matrix

▷ Under these assumptions, the wave operators

$$\mathbf{W}_{\pm} = \text{s-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{it\mathbf{H}} e^{-it\mathbf{H}_0}$$

exists and are complete: $\text{Ran}[\mathbf{W}_{\pm}] = \mathcal{H}_c(\mathbf{H})$.

▷ We recall basic properties of the wave operators:

▷ It is easy to see \mathbf{H}_0 has absolutely continuous spectrum, and

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}_{\pm} = \mathbf{W}_{\pm}\mathbf{H}_0.$$

This intertwining property implies $\text{Ran}[\mathbf{W}_{\pm}] \subset \mathcal{H}_{ac}(\mathbf{H})$ in general.

▷ By the definition, if we set $\psi = \mathbf{W}_{\pm}\psi_{\pm}$, $\psi, \psi_{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$e^{-it\mathbf{H}}\psi \sim e^{-it\mathbf{H}_0}\psi_{\pm} \text{ as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty$$

Thus, \mathbf{W}_{\pm} connects the time evolution $e^{-it\mathbf{H}}\psi$ with the free time evolution $e^{-it\mathbf{H}_0}\psi_{\pm}$ as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The completeness implies that for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_c(\mathbf{H})$ has this property with some $\psi_{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}$.

▷ By the intertwining property, completeness also implies that $\mathcal{H}_c(\mathbf{H})$ is unitarily equivalent to \mathcal{H} , and the equivalences are given by \mathbf{W}_{\pm} .

- ▷ The scattering operator is defined by $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{W}_+^* \mathbf{W}_-$, which connects the time evolution at $\mathbf{t} = -\infty$ to that at $\mathbf{t} = +\infty$.
- ▷ The spectral representation of \mathbf{H}_0 is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_0 : \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}^{\oplus} \mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_E, \mathbf{m}_E) dE,$$

$$\mathbf{F}_0(\varphi)(E; \cdot) = \mathcal{F}\varphi(\cdot)|_{\Sigma_E} \in \mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_E, \mathbf{m}_E), \quad E > 0,$$

where $\Sigma_E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{p}_0(\xi) = E\}$ and $d\mathbf{m}_E = dS/|d\mathbf{p}_0(\xi)|$, \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform.

- ▷ By the intertwining property: $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbf{H}_0\mathbf{S}$, \mathbf{S} is decomposed to scattering matrices

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}}(E) : \mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_E, \mathbf{m}_E) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_E, \mathbf{m}_E), \quad E > 0.$$

- ▷ By a change of coordinate:

$$\xi \in \Sigma_E \mapsto E^{-1/m} \xi \in \Sigma_1,$$

we may consider $\hat{\mathbf{S}}(E)$ as an operator on $\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_1, d\mathbf{m}_1)$, and we write the transformed operator by $\mathbf{S}(E) \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{L}^2(\Sigma_1), \mathbf{m}_1)$. (We recall $\mathbf{p}_0(\xi)$ is homogeneous.)

- ▷ We investigate asymptotic properties of $\mathbf{S}(E)$ as $E \rightarrow +\infty$. In the following, we write $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma$ for simplicity.

Semiclassical Reduction

▷ We set the semiclassical parameter by

$$h = E^{-1/m},$$

and we consider the semiclassical asymptotics as $h \rightarrow +0$.

▷ We write

$$E^{-1}H = p_0(hD_x) + h w(h, x, hD_x), \quad E^{-1}H_0 = p_0(hD_x).$$

where

$$p_j(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m-j} b_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, j = 0, \dots, m,$$

and

$$w(h, x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^m h^{j-1} p_j(x, \xi).$$

▷ We denote the velocity by

$$v(\xi) = dp_0(\xi) = \left(\frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \xi_1}(\xi), \dots, \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial \xi_d}(\xi) \right).$$

We note, by virtue of the Euler formula: $\xi \cdot dp_0(\xi) = mp_0(\xi)$ and the ellipticity of $p_0(\xi)$, $v(\xi) \neq 0$ for $\xi \neq 0$.

h-Pseudodifferential Calculus

▷ For $\xi \in \Sigma$, we can canonically identify $\mathbf{T}_\xi^* \Sigma$ with the normal tangent space $\mathbf{v}(\xi)^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\xi) = 0\}$, and we denote the cotangent bundle of Σ as

$$\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma = \{(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \mid \xi \in \Sigma, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}(\xi)^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

▷ For an \mathbf{h} -dependent symbol $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma)$, we quantize it by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Op}_h(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{f}(\xi) &= \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{h}, -\mathbf{h}\mathbf{D}_\xi, \xi)\mathbf{f}(\xi) \\ &= (2\pi\mathbf{h})^{-(d-1)} \iint e^{-i(\xi-\eta)\cdot\mathbf{x}/h} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \eta)\mathbf{f}(\eta) d\eta d\mathbf{x}, \quad \xi \in \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ in each local coordinate.

▷ If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Op}_h(\mathbf{a})$, then we denote the symbol of \mathbf{A} by $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{Sym}(\mathbf{A})$.

▷ For a weight function $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma)$, we write $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{g}_0)$ (Hörmander's notation) if and only if for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$,

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-|\alpha|}, \quad (\mathbf{x}, \xi) \in \mathbf{T}^* \Sigma, \mathbf{h} > 0$$

with some $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta} > 0$. Here $\mathbf{g}_0 = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-2} d\mathbf{x}^2 + d\xi^2$ denote a metric on $\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma$.

2. Main Theorems

▷ We denote

$$\psi(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v}(\xi), \xi) dt, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \Sigma, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}(\xi)^{\perp}.$$

We note $\psi \in \mathbf{S}(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu+1}, \mathbf{g}_0)$. Now we can state our main result.

Theorem 1: For sufficiently large \mathbf{E} , $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$ is an \mathbf{h} -pseudodifferential operator on Σ (with $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{E}^{-1/m}$), and the principal symbol is given by $e^{-i\psi(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})) = e^{-i\psi(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)} + \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)$$

with $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu}, \mathbf{g})$.

▷ If we write

$$\psi_1(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_1(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v}(\xi), \xi) dt, \quad \xi \in \Sigma, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}(\xi)^{\perp},$$

then $\psi - \psi_1 \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu+1}, \mathbf{g})$, and hence we have the following corollary. Note that $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}, \xi)$ is an \mathbf{h} -independent symbol.

Corollary 2: $\text{Sym}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})) = e^{-i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}, \xi)} + \mathbf{r}'(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)$ with $\mathbf{r}' \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu+1}, \mathbf{g})$.

▷ We then consider the case when many terms in $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)$ vanishes.

Theorem 3: Let $\mathbf{k} \in \{2, \dots, \mathbf{m}\}$ and suppose $\mathbf{p}_j(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \mathbf{0}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \mathbf{k} - 1$. We write

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v}(\xi), \xi) dt, \quad \xi \in \Sigma, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{v}(\xi)^{\perp},$$

and $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) = \sum_{j=\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{h}^{j-\mathbf{k}} \psi_j(\mathbf{x}, \xi)$. Then, for sufficiently large \mathbf{E} ,

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})) = e^{-i\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{k}-1}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)} + \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi), \quad \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{k}}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu}, \mathbf{g}),$$

and

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})) = e^{-i\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{k}-1}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}, \xi)} + \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi), \quad \mathbf{r}'_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{k}}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu+1}, \mathbf{g}),$$

when $\mathbf{k} < \mathbf{m}$.

Schrödinger operators

▷ A typical example is the Schrödinger operator. Let

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(D_x - A(x))^2 + V(x) \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), d \geq 1,$$

where $A(x) = (A_1(x), \dots, A_d(x))$ is the vector potential and $V(x)$ is the scalar potential. We suppose $A(x)$ and $V(x)$ are smooth and satisfy our short-range assumption. In this case, Σ is the unit sphere, $v(\xi) = \xi$, and $h = E^{-1/2}$.

▷ By Corollary 2, we learn the symbol of the scattering matrix is given by

$$\exp\left(i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \xi \cdot A(x + t\xi) dt\right) + r_1(h, x, \xi), \quad r_1 \in S(h\langle x \rangle^{-\mu+1}, g_0).$$

▷ If $A = 0$, then we learn that the symbol of $S(E)$ is

$$\exp\left(-ih \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x + t\xi) dt\right) + r_2(h, x, \xi), \quad r_2 \in S(h^2\langle x \rangle^{-\mu}, g_0)$$

by Theorem 3.

▷ Similar representation was obtained for Schrödinger operators by Yafaev (2003).

Spectral consequences

Theorem 4: Let $\psi_1 \not\equiv 0$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{T})$ that vanishes in a neighbourhood of the point $\mathbf{1}$, one has

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{d-1} \mathrm{Tr}[\varphi(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}))] = (2\pi)^{-d+1} \int_{\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma} \varphi(e^{i\psi_1(x, \xi)}) d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\boldsymbol{\xi},$$

where $d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is the standard volume form of $\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma$.

▷ Theorems 4 can be stated as weak convergence of the eigenvalue counting measure for $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$. The proof uses functional calculus of h -pseudodifferential operators (see, e.g., [Dimassi-Sjöstrand]).

▷ This is a variation of the Weyl asymptotics. Roughly, this implies, if $\mathbf{J} \in \mathbb{T}$, $\mathbf{J} \cap \{\mathbf{1}\} = \emptyset$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{d-1} \#\{\text{e.v.'s of } \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}) \in \mathbf{J}\} = (2\pi)^{-d+1} \mathrm{Vol}(\{(x, \xi) \mid \exp(i\psi_1(x, \xi)) \in \mathbf{J}\}).$$

▷ If we apply this to Schrödinger operators we recover results of Bulger and Pushnitski (2013).

▷ Next, consider the case discussed in Theorem 3, i.e., $\mathbf{p}_j(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \mathbf{0}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, $k \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}) - \mathbf{I}\| = \mathcal{O}(h^{k-1}), \quad h \rightarrow 0, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

and so the spectrum of $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$ consists of eigenvalues located on the arc of length $\mathcal{O}(h^{k-1})$ around $\mathbf{1}$. In particular, the operator $\mathbf{Im}[\log \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})]$ is well-defined for all sufficiently large \mathbf{E} .

Theorem 5: Assume the hypothesis of Theorem 3. We denote

$$\mathbf{B}(h) = -h^{-k+1} \mathbf{Im}[\log \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})], \quad h = \mathbf{E}^{-1/m}.$$

Then for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R})$ that vanishes in a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{0}$, one has

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{d-1} \mathrm{Tr}[\varphi(\mathbf{B}(h))] = (2\pi)^{-d+1} \int_{\mathbf{T}^* \Sigma} \varphi(\psi_k(\mathbf{x}, \xi)) d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\xi.$$

▷ If we apply this to Schrödinger operators we recover results of Bulger and Pushnitski (2012).

3. 2 dimensional Dirac operator

Definiton of 2 dimensional Dirac operators (see, e.g., [Thaller])

▷ We set $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2) \cong \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \oplus \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and we define

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \sum_{j=1,2} \sigma_j \mathbf{D}_j, \quad \mathbf{D}_j = -i\partial_{x_j}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}.$$

▷ Let $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}_2(\mathbf{x}))$ be a real-valued functions and a vector valued function on \mathbb{R}^2 , respectively, and we define

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{W}, \quad \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{j=1,2} \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_j \quad \text{on } \mathcal{H}.$$

▷ We suppose \mathbf{V} and \mathbf{A} are smooth, and there is $\mu > 1$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$,

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})| + |\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^\alpha \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x})| \leq \mathbf{C}_\alpha \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu-|\alpha|}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

with some $\mathbf{C}_\alpha > 0$. \mathbf{H}_0 and \mathbf{H} are self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$.

▷ Then it is well-known that wave operators $\mathbf{W}_\pm(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ exist and they are complete: $\text{Ran}[\mathbf{W}_\pm(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)] = \mathcal{H}_{\text{ac}}(\mathbf{H})$. In particular, the scattering operator $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{W}_+(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)^* \mathbf{W}_-(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ is unitary.

Construction of a spectral representation of H_0

▷ We note the symbol of H_0 is

$$P_0(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_1 - i\xi_2 \\ \xi_1 + i\xi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

This matrix is diagonalized by the matrix

$$u_0(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ -1 & \zeta^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad \zeta = \frac{\xi_1 + i\xi_2}{|\xi|},$$

and we have

$$u_0(\xi)P_0(\xi)u_0(\xi)^* = \begin{pmatrix} |\xi| & 0 \\ 0 & -|\xi| \end{pmatrix}.$$

▷ We denote by $\pi_{\pm} : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the standard projections, $\pi_+(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{x}_1$, $\pi_-(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{x}_2$, $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Denoting the Fourier transform by \mathcal{F} , we have

$$u_0(\xi)\mathcal{F}H_0\mathcal{F}^*u_0(\xi)^* = \begin{pmatrix} |\xi| & 0 \\ 0 & -|\xi| \end{pmatrix}.$$

▷ A spectral representation of H_0 is given by

$$F(E)\varphi(\xi) = |E|^{1/2}\pi_{\pm}[u_0(\xi)\mathcal{F}\varphi](|E|\xi), \quad \pm E > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1,$$

for $\varphi \in L^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, $s > 1/2$. $F(\cdot)$ is extended to a unitary equivalence:

$$F(\cdot) : \mathcal{H} \cong \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)dE.$$

▷ Using $\mathbf{F}(\cdot)$, $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ is decomposed to the scattering matrix $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$:
 $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

▷ We set $\mathbf{h} = |\mathbf{E}|^{-1}$ as our semiclassical parameter, and we write

$$\mathbf{w}^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \pm \xi \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d;$$

$$\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{w}^\pm(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}\xi, \xi) d\mathbf{t}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^1, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{T}_\xi^* \mathbb{S}^1 \cong \xi^\perp.$$

Theorem 6: For sufficiently large $|\mathbf{E}|$, $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$ is an \mathbf{h} -pseudodifferential operator with the symbol in $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{g}_0)$, and the principal symbol is given by $e^{-i\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi)}$, i.e., for $\pm \mathbf{E} \gg 0$,

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})) = e^{-i\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi)} + \mathbf{r}^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi), \quad \mathbf{r}^\pm \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu}, \mathbf{g}_0).$$

Theorem 7 Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ be a function that vanishes in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\lim_{\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{E}|} \text{Tr}[\varphi(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}))] = \int_{\mathbf{T}^* \mathbb{S}^1} \varphi(\exp(-i\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi))) d\mathbf{x} d\xi.$$

4. 3 dimensional Dirac operators

Definition of 3 dimensional Dirac operator

▷ We set $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$. The free Dirac operator is defined by

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \mathbf{D}_j + \mathbf{m} \alpha_0,$$

where $\mathbf{m} \geq 0$ and $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_3$ are 4×4 Dirac matrices. The Dirac matrices satisfy the anti-commutation relations:

$$\alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2\delta_{jk} \mathbf{1}_4, \quad j, k = 0, \dots, 3,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_4$ stands for the 4×4 identity matrix. We also write $\mathbf{1}_2$ for the 2×2 identity matrix.

▷ We choose the Dirac matrices in a standard way:

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_j\}_{j=1}^3$ are the Pauli matrices:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

▷ The perturbed Dirac operator is defined by

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{W},$$

where \mathbf{W} has the form

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{1}_4 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{x}).$$

▷ The scalar potential $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})$ and the vector potential $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}_2(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}_3(\mathbf{x}))$ are real-valued smooth functions, and we suppose the short-range condition: there is $\mu > 1$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$,

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x})| + \sum_{j=1}^3 |\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\alpha} \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{x})| \leq \mathbf{C}_{\alpha} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu-|\alpha|}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

with some $\mathbf{C}_{\alpha} > 0$.

▷ Under these assumptions, it is well-known that \mathbf{H} is self-adjoint with $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$, and that the wave operators

$$\mathbf{W}_{\pm}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0) = \text{s-lim}_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{it\mathbf{H}} e^{-it\mathbf{H}_0}$$

exist and they are complete: $\text{Ran}[\mathbf{W}_{\pm}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)] = \mathcal{H}_{\text{ac}}(\mathbf{H})$. Hence, in particular, the scattering operator $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0) = \mathbf{W}_{+}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)^* \mathbf{W}_{-}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ is unitary on \mathcal{H} .

Diagonalization of H_0

▷ We denote the symbol of H_0 by

$$h_0(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \xi_j \alpha_j + m \alpha_0, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The Hermitian matrix $h_0(\xi)$ has eigenvalues

$$\pm \nu(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{|\xi|^2 + m^2},$$

and the multiplicities of $\{\pm \nu(\xi)\}$ are two for $\xi \neq 0$. We set

$$u_0(\xi) = a_+(\xi) \mathbf{1}_4 + a_-(\xi) \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{\xi}_j \alpha_0 \alpha_j, \quad a_{\pm}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 \pm \frac{m}{\nu(\xi)} \right)^{1/2}.$$

$u_0(\xi)$ is a unitary matrix, and

$$u_0(\xi) h_0(\xi) u_0(\xi)^* = \nu(\xi) \alpha_0.$$

▷ By setting $U_0 = u_0(D_x)$, we have

$$U_0 H_0 U_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} \nu(D_x) \mathbf{1}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu(D_x) \mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence $\sigma(H_0) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty)$ and the spectrum is absolutely continuous.

▷ Let $\pi_{\pm} : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be the projections:

$$\pi_+(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2), \quad \pi_-(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4.$$

Then we have

$$\pi_{\pm} \mathbf{U}_0 \mathbf{H}_0 \mathbf{U}_0^* \pi_{\pm}^* = \pm \nu(\xi) \mathbf{1}_2.$$

We note the energy surface of $\pm \nu(\xi)$ at \mathbf{E} is given by

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{E}} = \{\xi \mid \pm \nu(\xi) = \mathbf{E}\} = \rho \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \pm \mathbf{E} > \mathbf{m}, \quad \rho = \sqrt{\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{m}^2}.$$

▷ A spectral representation of \mathbf{H}_0 is given by

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E})\varphi(\xi) = |\mathbf{E}|^{1/2} \rho^{1/2} \pi_{\pm}[\mathcal{F} \mathbf{U}_0 \varphi](\rho \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2, \pm \mathbf{E} > \mathbf{m},$$

for $\varphi \in \mathbf{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$, $s > 1/2$, and $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E})\varphi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$.

▷ We note $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E})\mathbf{H}_0\varphi = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{E})\varphi$, and $\{\mathbf{F}(\cdot)\}$ is extended to a unitary equivalence

$$\mathbf{F}(\cdot) : \mathcal{H} \cong \int_{\sigma(\mathbf{H}_0)}^{\oplus} \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^2) d\mathbf{E}.$$

▷ Then the scattering operator $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_0)$ is decomposed to the scattering matrices $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}) : \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^2; \mathbb{C}^2)$, $|\mathbf{E}| > \mathbf{m}$.

▷ We set $\mathbf{h} = |\mathbf{E}|^{-1}$, and we consider $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$ as $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \pm\infty$. We define

$$\mathbf{W}^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \pm \xi \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

and

$$\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{W}^\pm(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}\xi, \xi) d\mathbf{t}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \xi \neq 0.$$

▷ We also define

$$\psi_1^\pm(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) = \psi^\pm(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \tilde{\xi}), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{T}_\xi^* \mathbb{S}^2 \cong \xi^\perp,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{h}^2 \mathbf{m}^2)^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}, \quad \tilde{\xi} = (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{h}^2 \mathbf{m}^2)^{1/2} \xi.$$

Theorem 8: For sufficiently large $|\mathbf{E}|$, $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})$ is a 2×2 -matrix valued \mathbf{h} -pseudodifferential operator on \mathbb{S}^2 with a symbol in $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{g}_0)$. The principal symbol is given by $\mathbf{e}^{-i\psi_1^\pm(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)} \mathbf{1}_2$ for $\pm\mathbf{E} \gg \mathbf{m}$, i.e.,

$$\text{Sym}[\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E})] = \mathbf{e}^{-i\psi_1^\pm(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)} \mathbf{1}_2 + \mathbf{r}^\pm(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi), \quad \mathbf{r}^\pm \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu}, \mathbf{g}_0).$$

▷ We note $\psi_1^\pm(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \rightarrow \psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi)$ as $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow 0$, and we have

Theorem 9: Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ be a function that vanishes in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$\lim_{\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{2\pi}{|\mathbf{E}|} \text{Tr}[\varphi(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{E}))] = 2 \int_{\mathbf{T}^* \mathbb{S}^2} \varphi(\mathbf{e}^{-i\psi^\pm(\mathbf{x}, \xi)}) d\mathbf{x} d\xi.$$

5. Ideas of the proof

1. Isozaki-Kitada parametrices

We construct \mathbf{h} -pseudodifferential operators $\mathbf{A}_{\pm} = \mathbf{a}^{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{x}})$ such that

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{h}}\mathbf{A}_{\pm} - \mathbf{A}_{\pm}\mathbf{H}_0^{\mathbf{h}} \sim 0$$

as $\mathbf{h} \rightarrow 0$, $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ when $\pm \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\xi) \geq -1 + \varepsilon$, where $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{h}^m \mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbf{h}^m \mathbf{H}_0$. We construct \mathbf{a}^{\pm} of the form:

$$\mathbf{a}^{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) \sim e^{i\psi_{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi)} (1 + \mathbf{a}_1^{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) + \mathbf{a}_2^{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) + \cdots),$$

where

$$\psi_{\pm}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \xi) = \int_0^{\pm\infty} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{v}(\xi), \xi) dt,$$

and $\mathbf{a}_j^{\pm} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}^j \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle^{-\mu+1-j})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$

2. Microlocal resolvent estimate (in the semiclassical form)

We characterize the frequency sets of the distribution kernels of $(\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{h}} - \lambda \mp i0)^{-1}$ (in the Fourier space).

3. Representation formula of the scattering matrix

We use a variation of representation formulas of S-matrix due to Isozaki-Kitada (1986), Yafaev (2003).

4. Microlocal diagonalization of H^h

For Dirac operators, we use an approximate (block) diagonalization of the matrix-valued h -pseudodifferential operator H^h , which is a generalization of Taylor (1975), and Helffer-Sjöstrand (1990).

Remark: We may generalize our results to more general systems, but we need to assume technical assumptions to carry out this block diagonalization argument, and probably it would be technically complicated.