Inclusion of spectrahedra, free spectrahedra and coin tossing (joint work with Bill Helton, Igor Klep and Scott McCullough) Structures algébriques ordonnées et leurs interactions Centre international de rencontres mathématiques Luminy

Markus Schweighofer

Universität Konstanz

October 16, 2015

A (closed convex) polyhedron



A (closed convex) polyhedron



...called rhombicosidodecahedron.

A spectrahedron



A pencil (of size d in n variables) is a monic linear symmetric real matrix polynomial

$$\begin{array}{lll} A & = & l_d + A_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + A_n \mathbf{x}_n \\ & = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_n & a_{12}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_n & \dots \end{pmatrix} \\ & a_{21}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_n & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_n & \dots \end{pmatrix} \\ & \in & \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n]^{d \times d} = \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d} \\ & \text{where } A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \le k, \ell \le d} \in S \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}. \end{array}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

where $A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

A pencil (of size d in n variables) is a monic linear symmetric real matrix polynomial

$$\begin{array}{lll} A &=& l_{d} + A_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \ldots A_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \cdots + a_{11}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_{n} & a_{12}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \cdots + a_{12}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_{n} & \ldots \\ & a_{21}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \cdots + a_{21}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_{n} & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}_{1} + \cdots + a_{22}^{(n)} \mathbf{x}_{n} & \ldots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in& \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}]^{d \times d} = \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d} \\ & \text{where } A_{i} = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d} \in S \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}. \\ & S_{A}(1) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A(x) \succeq 0 \} \text{ is the spectrahedron defined by } A. \end{array}$$

A pencil (of size d in n variables) is a monic linear symmetric real matrix polynomial

$$A = I_{d} + A_{1}x_{1} + \dots + A_{n}x_{n}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)}x_{1} + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)}x_{n} & a_{12}^{(1)}x_{1} + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)}x_{n} & \dots \\ a_{21}^{(1)}x_{1} + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)}x_{n} & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)}x_{1} + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)}x_{n} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\in \mathbb{R}[x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}]^{d \times d} = \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$$
where $A_{i} = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \le k, \ell \le d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

 $S_A(1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A(x) \succeq 0\}$ is the spectrahedron defined by A.

The $S_A(1)$ with A a pencil are exactly the spectrahedra with 0 in their interior.

A pencil (of size d in n variables) is a monic linear symmetric real matrix polynomial

$$\begin{array}{lll} A &=& l_{d} + A_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + A_{n}\mathbf{x}_{n} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_{n} & a_{12}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_{n} & \dots \\ & a_{21}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_{n} & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)}\mathbf{x}_{1} + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)}\mathbf{x}_{n} & \dots \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \\ &\in & \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n}]^{d \times d} = \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d} \\ & \text{where } A_{i} = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}. \\ & S_{A}(1) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A(x) \succeq 0 \} \text{ is the spectrahedron defined by } A. \end{array}$$

The $S_A(1)$ with A a diagonal pencil are exactly the polyhedra with 0 in their interior.

The cube

$$C_n := egin{pmatrix} 1+{
m x}_1 & & & & & \ & 1-{
m x}_2 & & & & \ & & 1-{
m x}_2 & & & \ & & & 1-{
m x}_2 & & & \ & & & & \ddots & & \ & & & & & 1+{
m x}_n & & \ & & & & & 1+{
m x}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

defines the cube $S_{C_n}(1) = [-1, 1]^n$.



The disk

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & 1 - x_1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

define both the disk

$$S_A(1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||x|| \le 1\} = S_B(1)$$

since det $A = 1 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 = \det B$.



What is this talk (not) about?

It is about detecting inclusion (containment) of two spectrahedra whose interiors contain both 0 (or another known point).

What is this talk (not) about?

It is about detecting inclusion (containment) of two spectrahedra whose interiors contain both 0 (or another known point).

Mainly, it is about detecting inclusion of a cube in a spectrahedron.



What is this talk (not) about?

It is about detecting inclusion (containment) of two spectrahedra whose interiors contain both 0 (or another known point).

Mainly, it is about detecting inclusion of a cube in a spectrahedron.



It is not about testing emptiness or low-dimensionality of spectrahedra.

Observation. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. If there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B = P^*P + \sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

then $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$.

Observation. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. If there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B = P^*P + \sum_i Q_i^* A Q_i,$$

then $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$.

The search for certificates (*) can be done with semidefinite programming and is therefore tractable.

Observation. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. If there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B = P^*P + \sum_i Q_i^* A Q_i,$$

then $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$.

The search for certificates (*) can be done with semidefinite programming and is therefore tractable.

Example. With
$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & 1 - x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

from above, we have

$$2B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observation. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. If there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B=P^*P+\sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

then $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$. We will see that the converse fails in general.

The search for certificates (*) can be done with semidefinite programming and is therefore tractable.

Example. With
$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & 1 - x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

from above, we have

$$2B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider again a pencil

$$A = I_d + A_1 x_1 + \dots + A_n x_n$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)} x_n & a_{12}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ a_{21}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)} x_n & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$
 $\in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d}$

where $A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

For
$$X \in (S\mathbb{R}^{m \times m})^n$$

$$A(X) = I_d \otimes I_m + A_1 \otimes X_1 + \dots + A_n \otimes X_n$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} I_m + a_{11}^{(1)} X_1 + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)} X_n & a_{12}^{(1)} X_1 + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)} X_n & \dots \\ a_{21}^{(1)} X_1 + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)} X_n & I_m + a_{22}^{(1)} X_1 + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)} X_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \in \mathbb{R}^{dm \times dm}$

where $A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \le k, \ell \le d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

Consider again a pencil

$$A = I_d + A_1 x_1 + \dots + A_n x_n$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)} x_n & a_{12}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ a_{21}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)} x_n & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$
 $\in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d}$

where $A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \le k, \ell \le d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

 $S_{\mathcal{A}}(m) := \{ X \in (S\mathbb{R}^{m \times m})^n \mid \mathcal{A}(X) \succeq 0 \}$

 $S_A := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_A(m)$ is the free spectrahedron defined by A.

Consider again a pencil

$$A = I_d + A_1 x_1 + \dots + A_n x_n$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} 1 + a_{11}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{11}^{(n)} x_n & a_{12}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{12}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ a_{21}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{21}^{(n)} x_n & 1 + a_{22}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{22}^{(n)} x_n & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$
 $\in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d}$

where $A_i = (a_{k\ell}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d} \in S\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$.

 $S_{\mathcal{A}}(m) := \{ X \in (S\mathbb{R}^{m \times m})^n \mid \mathcal{A}(X) \succeq 0 \}$

 $S_A := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} S_A(m)$ is the free spectrahedron defined by A. Condition (*) certifies not only $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$ but even $S_A \subseteq S_B$.

The free cube

defines the free cube

$$\mathscr{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}} := S_{\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{n}}} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ X \in (S\mathbb{R}^{m \times m})^{\boldsymbol{n}} \mid \|X_i\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$



The free disk

With
$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & 1 - x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ from

above,

$$S_B = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ X \in (S\mathbb{R}^{m \times m})^2 \mid X_1^2 + X_2^2 \preceq I_m \right\}$$

is the free disk but $S_A \neq S_B$ since

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{3}{4}\\ \frac{3}{4} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in S_B \setminus S_A.$$

Although we have $S_A(1) = S_B(1)$, we have $S_B \not\subseteq S_A$.



Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough 2012). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. Then there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B = P^*P + \sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

if and only if $S_A \subseteq S_B$.

Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough 2012). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. Then there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B=P^*P+\sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

if and only if $S_A \subseteq S_B$.

The proof uses Arveson's extension theorem and Stinespring's dilation theorem.

Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough 2012). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. Then there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B=P^*P+\sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

if and only if $S_A \subseteq S_B$.

The proof uses Arveson's extension theorem and Stinespring's dilation theorem.

Helton, Klep, McCullough: The matricial relaxation of a linear matrix inequality, Math. Program. 138 (2013), no. 1-2, Ser. A, 401-445 (was first but appeared later) http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.0908.pdf

Helton, Klep, McCullough: The convex Positivstellensatz in a free algebra, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), no. 1, 516–534 http://arxiv.org/abs/1102.4859.pdf

Theorem (Helton, Klep, McCullough 2012). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{d \times d}$ be pencils. Then there exist $P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and $Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ such that

$$(*) \qquad B=P^*P+\sum_i Q_i^*AQ_i,$$

if and only if $S_A \subseteq S_B$.

The proof uses Arveson's extension theorem and Stinespring's dilation theorem.

Kellner, Theobald, Trabandt: Containment problems for polytopes and spectrahedra, SIAM J. Optim. 23 (2013), no. 2, 1000–1020 http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.4313

Kellner, Theobald, Trabandt: A Semidefinite Hierarchy for Containment of Spectrahedra http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.5076

Inclusion of free spectrahedra

Theorem. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d}$ be pencils with $S_A = -S_A$ and $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$. Then $S_A \subseteq dS_B$.

Inclusion of free spectrahedra

Theorem. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{m \times m}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{x}]^{d \times d}$ be pencils with $S_A = -S_A$ and $S_A(1) \subseteq S_B(1)$. Then $S_A \subseteq dS_B$.

Example. With
$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 + x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & 1 - x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

from above,

$$S_B \subseteq S_A \subseteq \mathbf{3}S_B.$$

The matrix cube problem

Theorem (Ben Tal, Nemirovski 2002). For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\vartheta(d) \in [1, \infty)$ by

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |\boldsymbol{a}_1| + \dots + |\boldsymbol{a}_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

Then $\vartheta(1) = 1$, $\vartheta(2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\vartheta(d) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{d} \leq \sqrt{3d} \ (\leq \sqrt{d^2} = d \text{ for } d \geq 3) \text{ and if}$ $A = I + A_1 x_1 + \dots + A_n x_n \text{ is a pencil with real matrices}$ $A_i \text{ of rank at most } d \text{ such that } [-1,1]^n \subseteq S_A(1), \text{ then}$

 $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A.$

The matrix cube problem

Theorem (Ben Tal, Nemirovski 2002). For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\vartheta(d) \in [1, \infty)$ by

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |a_1| + \dots + |a_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

Then $\vartheta(1) = 1$, $\vartheta(2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\vartheta(d) \le \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{d} \le \sqrt{3d} \ (\le \sqrt{d^2} = d \text{ for } d \ge 3) \text{ and if}$ $A = I + A_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + A_n \mathbf{x}_n \text{ is a pencil with real matrices}$ $A_i \text{ of rank at most } d \text{ such that } [-1,1]^n \subseteq S_A(1), \text{ then}$

 $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A.$

Ben-Tal, Nemirovski: On tractable approximations of uncertain linear matrix inequalities affected by interval uncertainty, SIAM J. Optim. 12 (2002), no. 3, 811–833

The matrix cube problem

Theorem (Ben Tal, Nemirovski 2002). For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\vartheta(d) \in [1, \infty)$ by

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |\boldsymbol{a}_1| + \dots + |\boldsymbol{a}_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

Then $\vartheta(1) = 1$, $\vartheta(2) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\vartheta(d) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{d} \leq \sqrt{3d} \ (\leq \sqrt{d^2} = d \text{ for } d \geq 3) \text{ and if}$ $A = I + A_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + A_n \mathbf{x}_n \text{ is a pencil with real matrices}$ $A_i \text{ of rank at most } d \text{ such that } [-1,1]^n \subseteq S_A(1), \text{ then}$

 $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A.$

Our contributions to this theorem:

- The theorem follows naturally from a new dilation theorem.
- ► Analytic expression for ϑ(d) for even d and implicit characterization of ϑ(d) for odd d.
- The scaling factor $\vartheta(d)$ is sharp.

Dilation theorem

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Dilation theorem

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

Dilation theorem

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d)V^*TV$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d.
We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d)V^*TV$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$. With the above theorem, this reduces to $(V^*T_1V, \ldots, V^*T_nV) \in S_A(d)$ for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{T}$.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$. With the above theorem, this reduces to $(V^*T_1V, \ldots, V^*T_nV) \in S_A(d)$ for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{T}$. Assume H is finite-dimensional (which it is not but this can be repaired with the spectral theorem),

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$. With the above theorem, this reduces to $(V^*T_1V, \ldots, V^*T_nV) \in S_A(d)$ for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{T}$. Assume H is finite-dimensional (which it is not but this can be repaired with the spectral theorem), then WLOG $H = \mathbb{R}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$. With the above theorem, this reduces to $(V^*T_1V, \ldots, V^*T_nV) \in S_A(d)$ for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{T}$. Assume H is finite-dimensional (which it is not but this can be repaired with the spectral theorem), then WLOG $H = \mathbb{R}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, since the T_i are commuting self-adjoints, WLOG $T_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ diagonal.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

In the Ben-Tal & Nemirovski theorem, let A be of size d. It was already known that to show $\mathscr{C}_n \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A$ it suffices to prove $\mathscr{C}_n(d) \subseteq \vartheta(d)S_A(d)$. With the above theorem, this reduces to $(V^*T_1V, \ldots, V^*T_nV) \in S_A(d)$ for all $T_1, \ldots, T_n \in \mathscr{T}$. Assume H is finite-dimensional (which it is not but this can be repaired with the spectral theorem), then WLOG $H = \mathbb{R}^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and, since the T_i are commuting self-adjoints, WLOG $T_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ diagonal. Since the T_i are contractions and $[-1, 1]^n \subseteq S_A(1), A(T) \succeq 0$. Hence $A(V^*TV) = V^*A(T)V \succeq 0$.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d)V^*TV$.

Proof idea: $H := L^2(O(d), \mathbb{R}^n), V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H, v \mapsto (U \mapsto v),$ $V^* : H \to \mathbb{R}^d, f \mapsto \int_{O(d)} f(U) dU, \mathscr{T}$ consists of all operators $T_D : H \to H, f \mapsto (U \mapsto UD(U)U^*f(U))$ where $D : O(d) \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is any measurable function taking diagonal contractive values.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

Proof idea: $H := L^2(O(d), \mathbb{R}^n), V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H, v \mapsto (U \mapsto v),$ $V^* : H \to \mathbb{R}^d, f \mapsto \int_{O(d)} f(U) \ dU, \mathscr{T} \text{ consists of all operators}$ $T_D : H \to H, f \mapsto (U \mapsto UD(U)U^*f(U)) \text{ where } D : O(d) \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is any measurable function taking diagonal contractive values. Note $V^*T_DV = \int_{O(d)} UD(U)U^*dU.$

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

Proof idea: $H := L^2(O(d), \mathbb{R}^n), V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H, v \mapsto (U \mapsto v),$ $V^* : H \to \mathbb{R}^d, f \mapsto \int_{O(d)} f(U) dU, \mathscr{T}$ consists of all operators $T_D : H \to H, f \mapsto (U \mapsto UD(U)U^*f(U))$ where $D : O(d) \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is any measurable function taking diagonal contractive values. Note $V^*T_DV = \int_{O(d)} UD(U)U^*dU$. Since \mathscr{T} is convex, consider an extreme point X of the compact convex set $\mathscr{C}_n(d)$.

We give here only a version of our dilation theorem from which the preceding theorem can be deduced in the case where each A_i is of size d (instead of rank at most d):

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a Hilbert space H, an isometry $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H$ and a set \mathscr{T} of commuting self-adjoint contractions on H such that for each $X \in \mathscr{C}_n(d)$ there exists a $T \in \mathscr{T}$ with $X = \vartheta(d) V^* T V$.

Proof idea: $H := L^2(O(d), \mathbb{R}^n), V : \mathbb{R}^d \to H, v \mapsto (U \mapsto v), V^* : H \to \mathbb{R}^d, f \mapsto \int_{O(d)} f(U) dU, \mathscr{T}$ consists of all operators $T_D : H \to H, f \mapsto (U \mapsto UD(U)U^*f(U))$ where $D : O(d) \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is any measurable function taking diagonal contractive values. Note $V^*T_DV = \int_{O(d)} UD(U)U^*dU$. Since \mathscr{T} is convex, consider an extreme point X of the compact convex set $\mathscr{C}_n(d)$. Take $D : O(d) \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, U \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \operatorname{sgn}(e_i^*U^*(\lambda + \mu X)Ue_i)e_ie_i^*$ for certain carefully chosen $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $X = \vartheta(d)V^*T_DV$.

Better bounds for $\vartheta(d)$

We considerably improve the upper bound on $\vartheta(d)$ given by Ben Tal and Nemirovski and prove also a lower bound.

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If d is even, then

$$rac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\sqrt{d+1} \leq artheta(d) \leq rac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \cdot rac{d}{\sqrt{d-1}}.$$

If $d \neq 1$ is odd, then

$$\sqrt[4]{\left(1-\frac{1}{d+1}\right)^{d+1} \left(1+\frac{1}{d-1}\right)^{d-1}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \sqrt{d+\frac{3}{2}} \leq \vartheta(d) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \cdot \frac{d+2}{\sqrt{d+\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

We have $\lim_{d\to\infty} \frac{\vartheta(d)}{\sqrt{d}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$.

Computing $\vartheta(d)$ Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function")

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For a, b > 0 and $0 \le x \le 1$: $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function")

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For a, b > 0 and $0 \le x \le 1$: $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function") $B_x(a, b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("incomplete beta function")

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For a, b > 0 and $0 \le x \le 1$: $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function") $B_x(a, b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("incomplete beta function") $I_x(a, b) = \frac{B_x(a,b)}{B(a,b)}$ ("regularized incomplete beta function")

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For a, b > 0 and $0 \le x \le 1$: $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function") $B_x(a, b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("incomplete beta function") $I_x(a, b) = \frac{B_x(a,b)}{B(a,b)}$ ("regularized incomplete beta function")

Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If d is even, then $\vartheta(d) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{4})}$.

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For a, b > 0 and $0 \le x \le 1$: $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function") $B_x(a, b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("incomplete beta function") $I_x(a, b) = \frac{B_x(a,b)}{B(a,b)}$ ("regularized incomplete beta function") Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If d is even, then $\vartheta(d) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2})}$.

Suppose $d \ge 3$ is odd. Then there is a unique $p \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $I_p\left(\frac{d+1}{4}, \frac{d+3}{4}\right) = I_{1-p}\left(\frac{d-1}{4}, \frac{d+5}{4}\right).$

Reminder. For a > 0: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt$ ("gamma function") For *a*, *b* > 0 and 0 < x < 1: $B(a,b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("beta function") $B_x(a,b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} dt$ ("incomplete beta function") $I_x(a,b) = \frac{B_x(a,b)}{B(a,b)}$ ("regularized incomplete beta function") Theorem. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. If d is even, then $\vartheta(d) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{d}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{d}{2})}$. Suppose $d \ge 3$ is odd. Then there is a unique $p \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $I_p\left(\frac{d+1}{4},\frac{d+3}{4}\right) = I_{1-p}\left(\frac{d-1}{4},\frac{d+5}{4}\right)$. For this p, we have $p \in \left[\frac{1}{2},\frac{d+1}{2d}\right]$. $\vartheta_{-}(d) \leq \vartheta(d) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+3}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+5}{4}\right)}{p^{\frac{d-1}{4}}(1-p)^{\frac{d+1}{4}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \leq \min\{\vartheta_{+}(d), \vartheta_{++}(d)\}$

where
$$\vartheta_{-}(d)$$
, $\vartheta_{+}(d)$ and $\vartheta_{++}(d)$ are given by
 $\vartheta_{-}(d) = \sqrt[4]{\frac{d^{2d}}{(d+1)^{d+1}(d-1)^{d-1}}} \vartheta_{++}(d)$,
 $\frac{1}{\vartheta_{+}(d)} = \frac{d-1}{d} I_{\frac{d+1}{2d}} \left(\frac{d+3}{4}, \frac{d+3}{4}\right) + \frac{d+1}{d} I_{\frac{d-1}{2d}} \left(\frac{d-1}{4}, \frac{d+5}{4}\right) - 1$ and
 $\vartheta_{++}(d) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}$.

d	$\vartheta_{-}(d)$	$\vartheta(d)$	$\vartheta_+(d)$	$\vartheta_{++}(d)$
1	-	1	—	-
2	_	1.5708	—	-
3	1.73205	1.73482	1.77064	1.88562
4	_	2	_	_
5	2.15166	2.1527	2.17266	2.26274
6	_	2.35619	_	_
7	2.49496	2.49548	2.50851	2.58599
8	_	2.66667	_	_
9	2.79445	2.79475	2.80409	2.87332
10	_	2.94524	_	_
11	3.064	3.06419	3.07131	3.13453
12	_	3.2	_	_
13	3.31129	3.31142	3.31707	3.37565
14	_	3.43612	_	_
15	3.54114	3.54123	3.54585	3.6007
16	_	3.65714	_	_
17	3.75681	3.75688	3.76076	3.8125
18	_	3.86563	_	_

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \ge 2$. We have simplified the formula of Ben Tal and Nemirovski

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |a_1| + \dots + |a_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. We have simplified the formula of Ben Tal and Nemirovski

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |a_1| + \dots + |a_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

to $\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d}} \min_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ s+t=d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| a \sum_{i=1}^s \xi_i^2 - b \sum_{i=s+1}^d \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi.$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. We have simplified the formula of Ben Tal and Nemirovski

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |a_1| + \dots + |a_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

to
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d}} \min_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \\ s+t=d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| a \sum_{i=1}^s \xi_i^2 - b \sum_{i=s+1}^d \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi.$$

We manage to compute the integral and reparameterize it to get

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d}} \min_{p \in [0,1]} \left(\frac{2(1-p)sI_{1-p}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2ptI_{p}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-p)s + pt} - 1 \right)$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. We have simplified the formula of Ben Tal and Nemirovski

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ |a_1| + \dots + |a_d| = d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi$$

to
$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d}} \min_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \\ s+t=d}} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| a \sum_{i=1}^s \xi_i^2 - b \sum_{i=s+1}^d \xi_i^2 \right| d\xi.$$

We manage to compute the integral and reparameterize it to get

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d}} \min_{p \in [0,1]} \left(\frac{2(1-p)sI_{1-p}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2ptI_{p}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-p)s + pt} - 1 \right)$$

and we prove that the inner minimum is assumed at the unique $\rho_{s,t} \in (0,1)$ satisfying

$$I_{p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{s}{2},1+\frac{t}{2}\right) = I_{1-p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{t}{2},1+\frac{s}{2}\right).$$

```
Let s, t \in \mathbb{N} such that s \ge t and set d := s + t.
```

Suppose you toss a biased coin d times with probability for heads $\frac{s}{d}$ and probability for tails $\frac{t}{d}$.

What is more likely – that you observe at least s heads or at least t tails?

```
Let s, t \in \mathbb{N} such that s \ge t and set d := s + t.
```

Suppose you toss a biased coin d times with probability for heads $\frac{s}{d}$ and probability for tails $\frac{t}{d}$.

What is more likely – that you observe at least s heads or at least t tails?

The expected number of heads is s but that seems only loosely related.

```
Let s, t \in \mathbb{N} such that s \geq t and set d := s + t.
```

Suppose you toss a biased coin d times with probability for heads $\frac{s}{d}$ and probability for tails $\frac{t}{d}$.

What is more likely – that you observe at least s heads or at least t tails?

The expected number of heads is s but that seems only loosely related.

The median number of heads could perhaps help. But it can be shown to be s also. That does not help!

```
Let s, t \in \mathbb{N} such that s \ge t and set d := s + t.
```

Suppose you toss a biased coin d times with probability for heads $\frac{s}{d}$ and probability for tails $\frac{t}{d}$.

What is more likely – that you observe at least s heads or at least t tails?

The expected number of heads is s but that seems only loosely related.

The median number of heads could perhaps help. But it can be shown to be s also. That does not help!

A theorem of Simmons from 1895 says: s heads or more is as least as probable than t tails or more.

```
Let s, t \in \mathbb{N} such that s \geq t and set d := s + t.
```

Suppose you toss a biased coin d times with probability for heads $\frac{s}{d}$ and probability for tails $\frac{t}{d}$.

What is more likely – that you observe at least s heads or at least t tails?

The expected number of heads is s but that seems only loosely related.

The median number of heads could perhaps help. But it can be shown to be s also. That does not help!

A theorem of Simmons from 1895 says: s heads or more is as least as probable than t tails or more.

A paper by Perrin and Redside from 2007 says something even more subtle: The difference grows when $s \notin \{0, d\}$ grows.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. Breaking the symmetry in *s* and *t*,

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d \\ s \ge t}} \min_{\substack{\rho \in [0,1] \\ s \ge t}} \left(\frac{2(1-p)sI_{1-p}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2ptI_{p}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-p)s + pt} - 1 \right)$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. Breaking the symmetry in s and t,

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d \\ s \ge t}} \min_{\substack{p \in [0,1]}} \left(\frac{2(1-p)sI_{1-p}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2ptI_{p}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-p)s + pt} - 1 \right)$$

where the inner minimum is assumed at $p_{s,t} \in (0,1)$ defined by $I_{p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right) = I_{1-p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right).$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. Breaking the symmetry in s and t,

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d \\ s \ge t}} \min_{\substack{p \in [0,1] \\ f = [0,1]}} \left(\frac{2(1-p)sI_{1-p}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2ptI_{p}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-p)s + pt} - 1 \right)$$

where the inner minimum is assumed at $p_{s,t} \in (0,1)$ defined by $I_{p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right) = I_{1-p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right)$. The outer minimum is assumed at $(s,t) = \left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}\right)$ for even d and at $(s,t) = \left(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d-1}{2}\right)$ for odd d but this seems extremely hard to prove.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d \geq 2$. Breaking the symmetry in *s* and *t*,

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta(d)} = \min_{\substack{s,t \in \mathbb{N} \\ s+t=d \\ s \ge t}} \min_{\substack{\rho \in [0,1]}} \left(\frac{2(1-\rho)sI_{1-\rho}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right) + 2\rho tI_{\rho}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right)}{(1-\rho)s + \rho t} - 1 \right)$$

where the inner minimum is assumed at $p_{s,t} \in (0,1)$ defined by $I_{p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{t}{2}\right) = I_{1-p_{s,t}}\left(\frac{t}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}\right)$. The outer minimum is assumed at $(s,t) = \left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}\right)$ for even d and at $(s,t) = \left(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d-1}{2}\right)$ for odd d but this seems extremely hard to prove.

For example, one ingredient in the proof is that $p_{s,t} \leq \frac{s}{d}$ (assuming $s, t \in \mathbb{N}, s + t = d$ and $s \geq t$) which is equivalent to

$$I_{\frac{s}{d}}\left(\frac{s}{2},1+\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq I_{\frac{t}{d}}\left(\frac{t}{2},1+\frac{s}{2}\right).$$

Simmons' theorem for half integers

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \ge t$ and set d := s + t.

It turns out that for even s and t, the inequality

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(rac{s}{2},1+rac{t}{2}
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(rac{t}{2},1+rac{s}{2}
ight)$$

can be interpreted exactly as the statement of Simmons' theorem for $\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\right)$ instead of (d, s, t).

Simmons' theorem for half integers

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \ge t$ and set d := s + t.

It turns out that for even s and t, the inequality

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(rac{s}{2},1+rac{t}{2}
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(rac{t}{2},1+rac{s}{2}
ight)$$

can be interpreted exactly as the statement of Simmons' theorem for $\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\right)$ instead of (d, s, t).

But what if s or t is odd?

Simmons' theorem for half integers

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s \ge t$ and set d := s + t.

It turns out that for even s and t, the inequality

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(rac{s}{2},1+rac{t}{2}
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(rac{t}{2},1+rac{s}{2}
ight)$$

can be interpreted exactly as the statement of Simmons' theorem for $\left(\frac{d}{2}, \frac{s}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\right)$ instead of (d, s, t).

But what if s or t is odd?

The only proof of Simmons' theorem that somewhat showed potential for generalization to half integers was the one of Perrin and Redside. With a lot of effort we could adapt their idea to find a proof for the half integer case.

Simmons' theorem for reals

Conjecture. For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s \ge t > 0$, setting d := s + t, we have

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(s,1+t
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(t,1+s
ight).$$
Simmons' theorem for reals

Conjecture. For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s \ge t > 0$, setting d := s + t, we have

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(s,1+t
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(t,1+s
ight).$$

It turns out that the above inequality is equivalent to

$$2I_{\frac{s}{d}}(s,t) + (s-t)\frac{s^{s-1}t^{t-1}}{d^dB(s,t)} \ge 1.$$

Simmons' theorem for reals

Conjecture. For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s \ge t > 0$, setting d := s + t, we have

$$I_{rac{s}{d}}\left(s,1+t
ight)\geq I_{rac{t}{d}}\left(t,1+s
ight).$$

It turns out that the above inequality is equivalent to

$$2I_{rac{s}{d}}(s,t)+(s-t)rac{s^{s-1}t^{t-1}}{d^dB(s,t)}\geq 1.$$

With a completely different method, we show the following weakening of Simmons for reals:

Theorem. For all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s \ge t \ge 1$ and $s + t \ge 3$, setting d := s + t, we have

$$2I_{rac{s}{d}}(s,t)+2(s-t)rac{s^{s-1}t^{t-1}}{d^{d}B(s,t)}\geq 1.$$

Reminder. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the beta distribution Beta(s, t) is the probability distribution on [0, 1] with density $x \mapsto \frac{x^{s-1}x^{t-1}}{B(s,t)}$ and cumulative density $x \mapsto I_x(s, t)$.

Reminder. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the beta distribution Beta(s, t) is the probability distribution on [0, 1] with density $x \mapsto \frac{x^{s-1}x^{t-1}}{B(s,t)}$ and cumulative density $x \mapsto I_x(s, t)$.

From the weakening of Simmons' for reals, we deduce:

Theorem. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \ge t \ge 1$ and $s + t \ge 3$, setting d := s + t, the median of Beta(s, t) lies between $\frac{s}{d}$ and $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{d^2}$.

Reminder. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the beta distribution Beta(s, t) is the probability distribution on [0, 1] with density $x \mapsto \frac{x^{s-1}x^{t-1}}{B(s,t)}$ and cumulative density $x \mapsto I_x(s, t)$.

From the weakening of Simmons' for reals, we deduce:

Theorem. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \ge t \ge 1$ and $s + t \ge 3$, setting d := s + t, the median of Beta(s, t) lies between $\frac{s}{d}$ and $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{d^2}$.

The previously known upper bound was only $\frac{s-1}{s-t-2}$ for $s \ge t > 1$.

Reminder. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the beta distribution Beta(s, t) is the probability distribution on [0, 1] with density $x \mapsto \frac{x^{s-1}x^{t-1}}{B(s,t)}$ and cumulative density $x \mapsto I_x(s, t)$.

From the weakening of Simmons' for reals, we deduce:

Theorem. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \ge t \ge 1$ and $s + t \ge 3$, setting d := s + t, the median of Beta(s, t) lies between $\frac{s}{d}$ and $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{d^2}$.

The previously known upper bound was only $\frac{s-1}{s-t-2}$ for $s \ge t > 1$.

If Simmons for reals holds, then we can improve the upper bound further to $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{2d^2}$ for $s \ge t \ge 1$.

Reminder. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the beta distribution Beta(s, t) is the probability distribution on [0, 1] with density $x \mapsto \frac{x^{s-1}x^{t-1}}{B(s,t)}$ and cumulative density $x \mapsto I_x(s, t)$.

From the weakening of Simmons' for reals, we deduce:

Theorem. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \ge t \ge 1$ and $s + t \ge 3$, setting d := s + t, the median of Beta(s, t) lies between $\frac{s}{d}$ and $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{d^2}$.

The previously known upper bound was only $\frac{s-1}{s-t-2}$ for $s \ge t > 1$.

If Simmons for reals holds, then we can improve the upper bound further to $\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{2d^2}$ for $s \ge t \ge 1$.

5	t	$\frac{s}{d}$	median	$\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{2d^2}$	$\frac{s}{d} + \frac{s-t}{d^2}$	$\frac{s-1}{s-t-2}$
2.5	1	0.714286	0.757858	0.77551	0.836735	1
3	1	0.75	0.793701	0.8125	0.875	1
3	2	0.6	0.614272	0.62	0.64	0.666667
4	2	0.666667	0.68619	0.694444	0.722222	0.75

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

Suppose you can choose $s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that d = s + t. Then you are given a possibly biased coin with probabilities for head and tail $\frac{s}{d}$ and $\frac{t}{d}$, respectively.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

```
Suppose you can choose s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0 such that d = s + t.
Then you are given a possibly biased coin with probabilities for head and tail \frac{s}{d} and \frac{t}{d}, respectively.
```

Now you can toss the coin d times.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

```
Suppose you can choose s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0 such that d = s + t.
Then you are given a possibly biased coin with probabilities for head and tail \frac{s}{d} and \frac{t}{d}, respectively.
```

Now you can toss the coin d times.

If you obtain at least s times head, you pay me t dollars. If you obtain at least t times tail, you pay me s dollars. (Consequently, if you obtain exactly s times head, then you pay d dollars in total.)

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be given.

```
Suppose you can choose s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0 such that d = s + t.
Then you are given a possibly biased coin with probabilities for head and tail \frac{s}{d} and \frac{t}{d}, respectively.
```

Now you can toss the coin d times.

If you obtain at least s times head, you pay me t dollars. If you obtain at least t times tail, you pay me s dollars. (Consequently, if you obtain exactly s times head, then you pay d dollars in total.)

Which coin should you choose to minimize the expected loss?